

Point and Interval Estimation of $R = P(Y > X)$ for Generalized Inverse Weibull Distribution by Transformation Method

Surinder Kumar* and Mukesh Kumar

Department of Applied Statistics, School for Physical Sciences, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Lucknow-226025, India.

Received: 2 Jun. 2015, Revised: 17 Sep. 2015, Accepted: 18 Sep. 2015

Published online: 1 Jan. 2016

Abstract: The problem of estimating the $R = P(Y > X)$ through point and interval estimation is considered for the generalized inverse Weibull distribution. In order to obtain these estimators, the major role is played by the transformation method.

Keywords: Generalized Inverse Weibull distribution, Stress-Strength reliability, MLE, UMVUE, Confidence interval, Transformation method.

1 Introduction

A lot of work has been done in the literature to deal with various inferential problems related to $R = P(Y > X)$, which represents the reliability of an item of random strength Y subject to a random stress X . For a brief review, one may refer to Church and Harris (1970)[6], Enis and Geisser (1971)[9], Downton (1973)[8], Tong (1974)[15], Kelly, Kelly and Schucany (1976)[11], Sathe and Shah (1981)[13], Chao (1982)[5], Awad and Gharraf (1986)[1], Chaturvedi and Rani (1997)[2], Chaturvedi and Surinder (1998)[4], Chaturvedi and Sharma (2007)[3], Constantine Karson and Tse (1986)[7], Surinder and Mayank (2014)[14].

In the present paper, we have considered the generalized inverse Weibull distribution proposed by Keller and Kanath (1982)[10], which covers many lifetime distributions as specific cases. In section 2, the MLE and UMVUE of R are derived, when the random variables (rv's) X and Y follows generalized inverse Weibull distribution. In section 3, we construct the confidence interval for R . In order to derive the MLE, UMVUE and confidence interval for R the major role is played by the transformation method.

2 MLE and UMVUE of $R = P(Y > X)$ for Generalized Inverse Weibull distributions

The probability density function (pdf) of generalized inverse Weibull distribution is given by

$$f(x; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \gamma \beta \alpha^\beta x^{-(\beta+1)} \exp\left[-\gamma \left(\frac{\alpha}{x}\right)^\beta\right]; x > 0 \quad (2.1)$$

* Corresponding author e-mail: surindermtls@gmail.com

On considering different values for α, β, γ the pdf's of different continuous distributions such as one-parameter Inverse exponential distribution, Inverse Weibull distribution, Inverse Rayleigh distribution etc. can be obtained. Let the rv's X and Y follows the generalized inverse Weibull distribution given at (2.1) with the parameters (α, β, γ) and (θ, μ, χ) , respectively.

Theorem 1: The MLE of $R = P(Y > X)$ is given by

$$\tilde{R} = \frac{\bar{T}_Y}{\bar{T}_X + \bar{T}_Y} \quad (2.2)$$

where $\bar{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} X_i^{-\beta_1} = \bar{T}_X$ (say) and, $\bar{\eta} = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} Y_j^{-\beta_2} = \bar{T}_Y$ (say).

Proof: Let us consider the transformation $x^{-\beta} = \varepsilon$ in (2.1) we get

$$f(\varepsilon; \lambda) = \lambda \exp[-\lambda \varepsilon]; \quad \varepsilon, \lambda > 0 \quad (2.3)$$

which is exponential distribution with parameter λ , where $\lambda = \alpha^\beta \gamma$.

Now, let us consider ε and η two independent rv's which follows exponential distribution λ_1 and λ_2 parameters respectively, where $\varepsilon = x^{-\beta_1}$ and $\eta = y^{-\beta_2}$.

Thus for $R = P(\eta > \varepsilon)$, we have

$$R = P(\eta > \varepsilon) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\varepsilon=0}^\eta f(\varepsilon|\lambda_1) d\varepsilon f(\eta|\lambda_2) d\eta$$

where $f(\varepsilon|\lambda_1) = \lambda_1 \exp(-\lambda_1 \varepsilon)$ and $f(\eta|\lambda_2) = \lambda_2 \exp(-\lambda_2 \eta)$,

$$R = \int_0^\infty (1 - \exp(-\lambda_1 \varepsilon)) \lambda_2 \exp(-\lambda_2 \varepsilon) d\varepsilon$$

$$R = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \quad (2.4)$$

If $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n_1}$ and $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n_2}$ are two independent random samples of size n_1 and n_2 from the pdf's $f(\varepsilon|\lambda_1)$ and $f(\eta|\lambda_2)$ respectively, then the joint pdf is given by

$$f(\varepsilon, \eta | \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \lambda_1^{n_1} \lambda_2^{n_2} \exp(-n_1 \lambda_1 \bar{\varepsilon} - n_2 \lambda_2 \bar{\eta}) \quad (2.5)$$

Taking likelihood function of (2.5) and derivatives w.r.to λ_1 and λ_2 and equating to zero, we get MLE's of λ_1 and λ_2 respectively i.e.

$$\frac{dL}{d\lambda_1} = \frac{n_1}{\lambda_1} - n_1 \bar{\varepsilon} = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}_1 = \frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}}$$

$$\frac{dL}{d\lambda_2} = \frac{n_2}{\lambda_2} - n_2 \bar{\eta} = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}_2 = \frac{1}{\bar{\eta}}$$

The reliability function $\tilde{R} = \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{\tilde{\varepsilon} + \tilde{\eta}}$, can be written as

$$\tilde{R} = \frac{\bar{T}_Y}{\bar{T}_X + \bar{T}_Y},$$

hence, the theorem follows.

Corollary 1

1. On substituting $\gamma = 1$ in (2.1), we get the pdf of Inverse Weibull distribution and subsequently

$$\tilde{R} = \frac{\bar{T}_Y}{\bar{T}_X + \bar{T}_Y},$$

where $\bar{T}_X = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} X_i^{-\beta}$ and, $\bar{T}_Y = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} Y_j^{-\beta}$, which is MLE of $R = P(Y > X)$ when X and Y follows Inverse Weibull distribution.

2. On substituting $\gamma = \beta = 1$ in (2.1), we get the pdf of Inverse exponential distribution and subsequently

$$\tilde{R} = \frac{\bar{T}_Y}{\bar{T}_X + \bar{T}_Y},$$

where $\bar{T}_X = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} X_i^{-1}$ and $\bar{T}_Y = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} Y_j^{-1}$, which is MLE of $R = P(Y > X)$ when X and Y follows Inverse exponential distribution.

3. On substituting $\gamma = 1, \beta = 2$ in (2.1), we get the pdf of Inverse Rayleigh distribution and subsequently

$$\tilde{R} = \frac{\bar{T}_Y}{\bar{T}_X + \bar{T}_Y},$$

where $\bar{T}_X = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} X_i^{-2}$ and $\bar{T}_Y = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} Y_j^{-2}$, which is MLE of $R = P(Y > X)$ when X and Y follows Inverse Raleigh distribution.

Theorem 2:The UMVUE of $R = P(Y > X)$ is given by

$$\hat{R} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1} (-1)^i \frac{\Gamma(n_1)\Gamma(n_2)}{\Gamma(n_2-i)\Gamma(n_1+i)} \left(\frac{n_1\bar{\varepsilon}}{n_2\bar{\eta}}\right)^i; & \text{if } n_1\bar{\varepsilon} < n_2\bar{\eta} \\ \sum_{i=0}^{n_1-2} (-1)^i \frac{\Gamma(n_1)\Gamma(n_2)}{\Gamma(n_1-i-1)\Gamma(n_2+i+1)} \left(\frac{n_2\bar{\eta}}{n_1\bar{\varepsilon}}\right)^{i+1}; & \text{if } n_1\bar{\varepsilon} > n_2\bar{\eta} \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

where $\bar{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} X_i^{-\beta_1}$, and $\bar{\eta} = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} Y_j^{-\beta_2}$.

Proof: Let us consider the transformation $x^{-\beta} = \varepsilon$ in(2.1), we get

$$f(\varepsilon|\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda\varepsilon); \quad \varepsilon, \lambda > 0$$

Now we obtain $P(\eta > \varepsilon)$, we required to obtain UMVUE of $f(\varepsilon; \lambda_1)$ and $f(\eta; \lambda_2)$ i.e. $\hat{f}(\varepsilon; \lambda_1)$ and $\hat{f}(\eta; \lambda_2)$ which is given by

$$\hat{f}(\varepsilon; \lambda_1) = \frac{(n_1 - 1)}{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}^{n_1 - 1}} \left[\bar{\varepsilon} - \frac{\varepsilon}{n_1}\right]^{n_1 - 2}; \quad \varepsilon < n_1 \bar{\varepsilon} \quad (2.7)$$

Similarly on replacing ε by η and n_1 by n_2 in (2.7), we get the UMVUE of $f(\eta; \lambda_2)$.

$$\hat{f}(\eta; \lambda_2) = \frac{(n_2 - 1)}{n_2 \bar{\eta}^{n_2 - 1}} \left[\bar{\eta} - \frac{\eta}{n_2}\right]^{n_2 - 2}; \quad \eta < n_2 \bar{\eta} \quad (2.8)$$

Now, let us consider ε and η be the two random variables follows exponential distribution with the parameters λ_1 and λ_2 respectively, where $\varepsilon = x^{-\beta_1}$ and $\eta = y^{-\beta_2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R} &= \int_0^{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}} \int_{\varepsilon}^{n_2 \bar{\eta}} \hat{f}(\varepsilon; \lambda_1) \hat{f}(\eta; \lambda_2) d\eta d\varepsilon \\ \hat{R} &= \int_0^{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}} \int_{\varepsilon}^{n_2 \bar{\eta}} \frac{(n_1 - 1)}{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}^{n_1 - 1}} \left[\bar{\varepsilon} - \frac{\varepsilon}{n_1}\right]^{n_1 - 2} \frac{(n_2 - 1)}{n_2 \bar{\eta}^{n_2 - 1}} \left[\bar{\eta} - \frac{\eta}{n_2}\right]^{n_2 - 2} d\eta d\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Let $t = \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{n_2 \bar{\eta}}\right)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R} &= \frac{(n_1 - 1)}{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}} \int_0^{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}} \left[1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}}\right]^{n_1 - 2} \left[1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{n_2 \bar{\eta}}\right]^{n_2 - 1} d\varepsilon \\ &= \frac{(n_1 - 1)}{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}} \int_0^{\min(n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}, n_2 \bar{\eta})} \left[1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}}\right]^{n_1 - 2} \sum_{i=0}^{n_2 - 1} (-1)^i \binom{n_2 - 1}{i} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{n_2 \bar{\eta}}\right)^i d\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the case (i) when, $n_1 \bar{\varepsilon} < n_2 \bar{\eta}$,

$$\hat{R} = \frac{(n_1 - 1)}{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}} \sum_{i=0}^{n_2 - 1} (-1)^i \binom{n_2 - 1}{i} \int_0^{\min(n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}, n_2 \bar{\eta})} \left[1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}}\right]^{n_1 - 2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{n_2 \bar{\eta}}\right)^i d\varepsilon$$

Let $(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}}) = z$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R} &= (n_1 - 1) \sum_{i=0}^{n_2 - 1} (-1)^i \binom{n_2 - 1}{i} \left(\frac{\bar{\varepsilon} n_1}{n_2 \bar{\eta}}\right) \int_0^1 z^{n_1 - 2} (1 - z)^i dz \\ \hat{R} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n_2 - 1} (-1)^i \frac{\Gamma(n_1) \Gamma(n_2)}{\Gamma(n_2 - i) \Gamma(n_1 + i)} \left(\frac{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}}{n_2 \bar{\eta}}\right)^i; \text{ if } n_1 \bar{\varepsilon} < n_2 \bar{\eta} \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Case (ii) when, $n_1 \bar{\varepsilon} > n_2 \bar{\eta}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{(n_1 - 1)}{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}} \int_0^{n_1 \bar{\eta}} \left[1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}}\right]^{n_1 - 2} \left[1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{n_2 \bar{\eta}}\right]^{n_2 - 1} d\varepsilon \\ \hat{R} &= (n_1 - 1) \sum_{i=0}^{n_1 - 2} (-1)^i \binom{n_1 - 2}{i} \left(\frac{\bar{\eta} n_2}{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}}\right)^{i+1} \int_0^1 z^{n_2 - 1} (1 - z)^i dz \\ \hat{R} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n_1 - 2} (-1)^i \frac{\Gamma(n_1) \Gamma(n_2)}{\Gamma(n_1 - i - 1) \Gamma(n_2 + i + 1)} \left(\frac{n_2 \bar{\eta}}{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}}\right)^{i+1}; \text{ if } n_1 \bar{\varepsilon} > n_2 \bar{\eta} \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

which is the UMVUE for $R = P(Y > X)$ where $\bar{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} X_i^{-\beta_1}$ and $\bar{\eta} = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} Y_j^{-\beta_2}$ in (2.9) and (2.10), when X and Y follows Generalized Inverse Weibull distribution, hence the theorem follows.

Corollary 2

1. On substituting $\gamma = 1$ in (2.1), we get the pdf of Inverse Weibull distribution and subsequently

$$\hat{R} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{n_2 - 1} (-1)^i \frac{\Gamma(n_1) \Gamma(n_2)}{\Gamma(n_2 - i) \Gamma(n_1 + i)} \left(\frac{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}}{n_2 \bar{\eta}}\right)^i; & \text{if } n_1 \bar{\varepsilon} < n_2 \bar{\eta} \\ \sum_{i=0}^{n_1 - 2} (-1)^i \frac{\Gamma(n_1) \Gamma(n_2)}{\Gamma(n_1 - i - 1) \Gamma(n_2 + i + 1)} \left(\frac{n_2 \bar{\eta}}{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}}\right)^{i+1}; & \text{if } n_1 \bar{\varepsilon} > n_2 \bar{\eta} \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

where $\bar{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} X_i^{-\beta}$ and $\bar{\eta} = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} Y_j^{-\beta}$, which is UMVUE of $R = P(Y > X)$ when X and Y follows Inverse Weibull distribution.

2. On substituting $\gamma = \beta = 1$ (2.1), we get the pdf of Inverse exponential distribution and subsequently

$$\hat{R} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{n_2 - 1} (-1)^i \frac{\Gamma(n_1) \Gamma(n_2)}{\Gamma(n_2 - i) \Gamma(n_1 + i)} \left(\frac{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}}{n_2 \bar{\eta}}\right)^i; & \text{if } n_1 \bar{\varepsilon} < n_2 \bar{\eta} \\ \sum_{i=0}^{n_1 - 2} (-1)^i \frac{\Gamma(n_1) \Gamma(n_2)}{\Gamma(n_1 - i - 1) \Gamma(n_2 + i + 1)} \left(\frac{n_2 \bar{\eta}}{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}}\right)^{i+1}; & \text{if } n_1 \bar{\varepsilon} > n_2 \bar{\eta} \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

where $\bar{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} X_i^{-1}$ and $\bar{\eta} = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} Y_j^{-1}$, which is UMVUE of $R = P(Y > X)$ when X and Y follows Inverse exponential distribution.

3. On substituting $\gamma = 1, \beta = 2$ we get the pdf of Inverse Rayleigh distribution and subsequently

$$\hat{R} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{n_2 - 1} (-1)^i \frac{\Gamma(n_1) \Gamma(n_2)}{\Gamma(n_2 - i) \Gamma(n_1 + i)} \left(\frac{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}}{n_2 \bar{\eta}}\right)^i; & \text{if } n_1 \bar{\varepsilon} < n_2 \bar{\eta} \\ \sum_{i=0}^{n_1 - 2} (-1)^i \frac{\Gamma(n_1) \Gamma(n_2)}{\Gamma(n_1 - i - 1) \Gamma(n_2 + i + 1)} \left(\frac{n_2 \bar{\eta}}{n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}}\right)^{i+1}; & \text{if } n_1 \bar{\varepsilon} > n_2 \bar{\eta} \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

where $\bar{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} X_i^{-2}$ and $\bar{\eta} = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} Y_j^{-2}$, which is UMVUE of $R = P(Y > X)$ when X and Y follows Inverse Rayleigh distribution.

3 Interval estimation of $R = P(Y > X)$

Theorem 3: The confidence interval for $R = P(Y > X)$ is given by

$$P\left[\frac{n_2\tilde{R}a}{n_1(1-\tilde{R})(1-a)+n_2\tilde{R}a} < R < \frac{n_2\tilde{R}b}{n_1(1-\tilde{R})(1-b)+n_2\tilde{R}b}\right] = 1 - \gamma \tag{3.1}$$

where $\tilde{R} = \frac{\bar{\eta}}{\bar{\varepsilon} + \bar{\eta}}$, a and b are random quantities.

Proof: We know that $R = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$ and the MLE of R is $\tilde{R} = \frac{\bar{\eta}}{\bar{\varepsilon} + \bar{\eta}}$

where $\bar{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} X_i^{-\beta_1}$ and $\bar{\eta} = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} Y_j^{-\beta_2}$. Here $\bar{\varepsilon}_{n_1}$ and $\bar{\eta}_{n_2}$ follows gamma distribution with parameters (λ_1, n_1) and (λ_2, n_2) respectively. In order to obtain exact confidence interval for $R = P(Y > X)$, we derive the exact distribution of

$$\zeta = \frac{\lambda_1 n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}}{(\lambda_1 n_1 \bar{\varepsilon} + \lambda_2 n_2 \bar{\eta})}$$

On substituting $\phi = \lambda_1 n_1 \bar{\varepsilon}$ and $\psi = \lambda_2 n_2 \bar{\eta}$, we observe that ϕ and ψ have gamma distribution with parameters $(1, n_1)$ and $(1, n_2)$. We can write

$$\zeta = \frac{\phi}{\phi + \psi}$$

On taking $\tau = \psi$ and expressing the old variables in terms of new set of variables then $\phi = \frac{\zeta \tau}{1 - \zeta}$, we find joint probability density function of (ζ, τ) .

$$\rho(\zeta, \tau) = \frac{e^{-(\frac{\tau}{1-\zeta})} \tau^{n_1+n_2-1} \zeta^{n_1-1}}{\Gamma n_1 \Gamma n_2 (1-\zeta)^{n_1+1}}$$

The marginal distribution of ζ ,

$$p(\zeta) = \frac{1}{[B(n_1, n_2)]} \zeta^{n_1-1} (1-\zeta)^{n_2-1}; \quad 0 < \zeta < 1$$

here n_1, n_2 are the known parameters for any value $0 < a < b$.

$$P(a < \zeta < b) = I_b(n_1, n_2) - I_a(n_1, n_2)$$

where $I_x(n_1, n_2) = \frac{1}{[B(n_1, n_2)]} \int_0^x z^{n_1-1} (1-z)^{n_2-1} dz$ is incomplete beta function. We know that $R = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$ and $\tilde{R} = \frac{\bar{\eta}}{\bar{\varepsilon} + \bar{\eta}}$, we get

$$\zeta = \left[1 + \frac{n_2 \tilde{R} (1-R)}{n_1 R (1-\tilde{R})}\right]^{-1} \tag{3.2}$$

The right hand side pivotal quantities is a and b such that

$$I_b(n_1, n_2) - I_a(n_1, n_2) = 1 - \gamma, \text{ then}$$

$$P(a < \zeta < b) = 1 - \gamma$$

On substituting the value from (3.2), get

$$P\left(a < \left[1 + \frac{n_2 \tilde{R} (1-R)}{n_1 R (1-\tilde{R})}\right]^{-1} < b\right) = 1 - \gamma.$$

Hence

$$P\left[\frac{n_2\tilde{R}a}{n_1(1-\tilde{R})(1-a)+n_2\tilde{R}a} < R < \frac{n_2\tilde{R}b}{n_1(1-\tilde{R})(1-b)+n_2\tilde{R}b}\right] = 1 - \gamma$$

where $\tilde{R} = \frac{\bar{\eta}}{\bar{\varepsilon} + \bar{\eta}}$ and $\bar{T}_X = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} X_i^{-\beta_1} = \bar{\varepsilon}$, $\bar{T}_Y = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} Y_j^{-\beta_2} = \bar{\eta}$, a and b random quantity and the theorem follows.

Corollary 3

1. On substituting $\gamma = 1$ in (2.1), we get the pdf of Inverse Weibull distribution and subsequently

$$P\left[\frac{n_2 \tilde{R} a}{n_1(1 - \tilde{R})(1 - a) + n_2 \tilde{R} a} < R < \frac{n_2 \tilde{R} b}{n_1(1 - \tilde{R})(1 - b) + n_2 \tilde{R} b}\right] = 1 - \gamma$$

where $\tilde{R} = \frac{\bar{\eta}}{\bar{\varepsilon} + \bar{\eta}}$ and $\bar{T}_X = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} X_i^{-\beta} = \bar{\varepsilon}$, $\bar{T}_Y = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} Y_j^{-\beta} = \bar{\eta}$, a and b are the random quantities which is the confidence interval for $R = P(Y > X)$ when X and Y follows Inverse Weibull distribution.

2. On substituting $\gamma = \beta = 1$ in (2.1), we get the pdf of Inverse exponential distribution and subsequently

$$P\left[\frac{n_2 \tilde{R} a}{n_1(1 - \tilde{R})(1 - a) + n_2 \tilde{R} a} < R < \frac{n_2 \tilde{R} b}{n_1(1 - \tilde{R})(1 - b) + n_2 \tilde{R} b}\right] = 1 - \gamma$$

where $\tilde{R} = \frac{\bar{\eta}}{\bar{\varepsilon} + \bar{\eta}}$ and $\bar{T}_X = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} X_i^{-1} = \bar{\varepsilon}$, $\bar{T}_Y = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} Y_j^{-1} = \bar{\eta}$, a and b are the random quantities which is the confidence interval for $R = P(Y > X)$ when X and Y follows Inverse exponential distribution.

3. On substituting $\gamma = 1, \beta = 2$ in (2.1), we get the pdf of Inverse Rayleigh distribution and subsequently

$$P\left[\frac{n_2 \tilde{R} a}{n_1(1 - \tilde{R})(1 - a) + n_2 \tilde{R} a} < R < \frac{n_2 \tilde{R} b}{n_1(1 - \tilde{R})(1 - b) + n_2 \tilde{R} b}\right] = 1 - \gamma$$

where $\tilde{R} = \frac{\bar{\eta}}{\bar{\varepsilon} + \bar{\eta}}$ and $\bar{T}_X = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} X_i^{-2} = \bar{\varepsilon}$, $\bar{T}_Y = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} Y_j^{-2} = \bar{\eta}$, a and b are the random quantities which is the confidence interval for $R = P(Y > X)$ when X and Y follows Inverse Rayleigh distribution.

References

- [1] Awad, A. M. and Gharraf, M. K. (1986): Estimation of $P(Y < X)$ in the Burr case, A comparative study. *Comm. Statist.-Simul. Comp.*, **15(2)**, 389-403.
- [2] Chaturvedi, A. and Rani, U. (1997): Estimation procedures for a family of density functions representing various life testing models. *Metrika*, **46(3)**, 213-219.
- [3] Chaturvedi, A. and Sharma, V. (2007): A family of inverse distributions and related estimation and testing procedures for the reliability function. *Int. Jour. of Statist. Manag. Sys.*, **2**, 44-66.
- [4] Chaturvedi, A. and Kumar, S. (1998): Further remarks on estimating the reliability function of exponential distribution under type-I and type-II censorings. *Brazilian Jour. Prob. Statist.*, **13**, 29-39.
- [5] Chao, A. (1982): On comparing estimators of $P(X > Y)$ in the exponential case. *IEEE. Trans. Reliab.*, **31**, 389-392.
- [6] Church, J.D. and Harris, B. (1970): The estimation of reliability from Stress-Strength relationships. *Technometrics*, **12**, 49-54.
- [7] Constantine, K., Karson, M. and Tse, S. K. (1986): Estimation of $P(Y < X)$ in the gamma case. *Commun. Statist. - Simul.*, **15(2)**, 365-388.
- [8] Downton, F. (1973): On estimation of $Pr(Y < X)$ in the Normal case. *Technometrics*, **15**, 551-558.
- [9] Enis, P. and Geisser, S. (1971): Estimation of the probability that $(Y > X)$. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.*, **66**, 162-168.
- [10] Keller, A.Z. and Kanath, A.R.R. (1982): Alternative reliability models for mechanical systems, Third International conference on reliability and maintainability, 18-21 October, Toulouse, France.
- [11] Kelly, G. D., Kelly, J. A. and Schucany, W. R. (1976): Efficient estimation of $P(Y < X)$ in the exponential case. *Technometrics*, **18**, 359-360.
- [12] Kotz, S., Lumelskii, Y. and Pensky, M. (2003): The stress-strength model and its generalization theory and applications. *World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd, Singapore*,
- [13] Sathe, Y. S. and Shah, S. P. (1981): On estimating $P(X < Y)$ for the exponential distribution. *Commun. Statist.- Theor. Meth.*, **10**, 39-47.
- [14] Kumar, S. and Vaish, M. (2014): On the estimation of for class of life time distributions by transformation method. *J. Stat. Appl. Prob. Letters*, **3**, 369-378.
- [15] Tong, H. (1974): A note on the estimation of $P(Y < X)$ in the exponential case. *Technometrics*, **16**, 625.