

A Fixed Point Theorem in Generalized Metric Spaces

Vishal Gupta¹, Saurabh Manro^{2,*} and Mano Verma³

¹ Department of Mathematics, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Haryana, India

² School of Mathematics and Computer Applications, Thapar University, Patiala, Punjab, India

³ Department of Mathematics, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Haryana, India

Received: 3 Aug. 2014, Revised: 28 Sep. 2014, Accepted: 2 Oct. 2014

Published online: 1 Jan. 2015

Abstract: In this paper, we state and prove a generalization of Ciric fixed point theorem[1] in generalized metric space by using a quasi-contractive map. Result presented in this paper generalize and extend well known fundamental metrical fixed point theorems in the literature (Banach [2], Kannan [3], Nadler [4], Reich [5], etc.) in the setting of generalized metric spaces.

Keywords: Fixed point, G -metric space, Quasi-contraction

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

In 2006, Mustafa and Sims [6] introduced the concept of G -metric spaces to overcome fundamental flaws in Dhage's theory of generalized metric spaces as follows:

Definition 1 Let X be a non-empty set, and let $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow R^+$ be a function satisfying the following axioms: for all $x, y, z, a \in X$,

(G1) $G(x, y, z) = 0$ if $x = y = z$;

(G2) $G(x, x, y) > 0$ with $x \neq y$;

(G3) $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$ with $z \neq y$;

(G4) $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \dots$;

(G5) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$;

then the function G is called a Generalized metric or more specifically a G -metric on X and the pair (X, G) is called a G -metric space.

Definition 2 [6] Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X , is said to be a G -Cauchy sequence if, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_k) < \epsilon$, for all $n, m, k \geq N$; i.e., if $G(x_n, x_m, x_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m, k \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 3 (6) Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X , is said to be G -convergent to a point $x \in X$ if $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} G(x, x_n, x_m) = 0$, i.e., for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$, for all $n, m \geq N$.

Definition 4 (6) A G -metric space (X, G) is said to be G -complete if every G -Cauchy sequence in (X, G) is G -convergent in X .

Definition 5 (6) A G -metric space (X, G) is called a symmetric G -metric space if $G(x, y, y) = G(x, x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Motivated by the work of Mustafa and Sims [6,7], various researchers (see, e.g., [8-10]) have proved number of well known results in G -metric spaces.

2 Main Result

In this section, we introduce quasi-contraction mappings in G -metric spaces as follows:

Definition 6 A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ of a G -metric space X into itself is said to be quasi-contraction iff there exists a number q , $0 \leq q < 1$ such that

$$G(Tx, Ty, Ty) \leq q \max\{G(x, y, y), G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(x, Ty, Ty), G(y, Tx, Tx)\}.$$

Definition 7 (1) Let T be a mapping of G -metric space X into itself. For $A \subseteq X$, define

(i) $\delta(A) = \sup\{G(a, b, c) : a, b, c \in A\}$ and

(ii) for each $x \in X$,

$$O(x, n) = \{x, Tx, T^2x, T^3x, \dots, T^n x\}, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

$$\text{and } O(x, \infty) = \{x, Tx, T^2x, T^3x, \dots\}.$$

A space (X, G) is said to be T -orbitally complete iff every Cauchy sequence which is contained in $O(x, \infty)$ for some $x \in X$ converges in X .

* Corresponding author e-mail: sauravmanro@hotmail.com

Theorem 1 Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. Suppose that $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a quasi-contraction and X is T -orbitally complete. Then we have

- (i) T has a unique fixed point.
(ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = z$ for all $x \in X$.
(iii) $G(T^n x, z, z) = \frac{q^n}{1-q} G(x, Tx, Tx)$ for all $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. For each $x \in X$ and $0 \leq i \leq n-1, 0 \leq j \leq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(T^i x, T^j x, T^j x) &= G(TT^{i-1} x, TT^{j-1} x, TT^{j-1} x) \\ &\leq q \max\{G(T^{i-1} x, T^{j-1} x, T^{j-1} x), \\ &G(T^{i-1} x, TT^{i-1} x, TT^{i-1} x), \\ &G(T^{j-1} x, TT^{j-1} x, TT^{j-1} x), \\ &G(T^{i-1} x, TT^{j-1} x, TT^{j-1} x), \\ &G(T^{j-1} x, TT^{i-1} x, TT^{i-1} x)\} \\ &= q \max\{G(T^{i-1} x, T^{j-1} x, T^{j-1} x), \\ &G(T^{i-1} x, T^i x, T^i x), G(T^{j-1} x, T^j x, T^j x), \\ &G(T^{i-1} x, T^j x, T^j x), G(T^{j-1} x, T^i x, T^i x)\} \\ &\leq q\delta[O_T(x, n)] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta[O_T(x, n)] = \max\{G(T^i x, T^j x, T^j x) : 0 \leq i, j \leq n\}.$$

Since $0 \leq q < 1$, there exists $h_n(x) \leq n$ such that

$$G(x, T^{h_n x}, T^{h_n x}) = \delta[O_T(x, n)].$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, T^{h_n x}, T^{h_n x}) &\leq \\ G(x, Tx, Tx) + G(Tx, T^{h_n x}, T^{h_n x}) \\ &\leq G(x, Tx, Tx) + q\delta[O_T(x, n)] \\ &= G(x, Tx, Tx) + qG(x, T^{h_n x}, T^{h_n x}). \end{aligned}$$

It implies that

$$G(x, T^{h_n x}, T^{h_n x}) \leq \frac{1}{1-q} G(x, Tx, Tx) \dots (1)$$

For all $n, m \geq 1$ and $n < m$, it follows from the quasi contractive condition of T and (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} G(T^n x, T^m x, T^m x) &= \\ G(TT^{n-1} x, T^{m-n+1} T^{n-1} x, T^{m-n+1} T^{n-1} x) \\ &\leq q \cdot \delta(O_T(T^{n-1} x, m-n+1)) \\ &= q \cdot G(T^{n-1} x, T^{m-n+1} T^{n-1} x, T^{m-n+1} T^{n-1} x) \\ &= q \cdot G(TT^{n-2} x, T^{m-n+2} T^{n-2} x, T^{m-n+2} T^{n-2} x) \\ &\leq q^2 \delta(O_T(T^{n-2} x, m-n+2)) \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq q^n \delta[O_T(x, m)]$$

$$\leq \frac{q^n}{1-q} G(x, Tx, Tx) \dots (A)$$

This gives $\{T^n x\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is T -orbitally complete, there exists z belongs to X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = z$ (2)

By using the quasi contractive condition, we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(z, Tz, Tz) &= 0 \\ &\leq G(z, T^{n+1} x, T^{n+1} x) \\ &+ q \max\{G(T^n x, z, z), G(T^n x, TT^n x, TT^n x), \\ &G(z, Tz, Tz), G(T^n x, Tz, Tz), G(z, TT^n x, TT^n x)\} \dots (3) \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3) and using (2), we get

$$G(z, Tz, Tz) \leq qG(z, Tz, Tz).$$

Since $0 \leq q < 1$, we obtain $G(z, Tz, Tz) = 0$. This gives, T has a fixed point $z \in X$.

To prove uniqueness of fixed point, let w be another fixed point of T . Then by using quasi-contractive condition on T , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(z, w, w) &= G(Tz, Tw, Tw) \\ &\leq q \max\{G(z, w, w), G(z, Tz, Tz), G(w, Tw, Tw), \\ &G(z, Tw, Tw), G(w, Tz, Tz)\} \\ G(z, w, w) &\leq qG(z, w, w) \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction, hence $z = w$. This proves uniqueness of fixed point. Also, by taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (A), we have

$$G(T^n x, z, z) = \frac{q^n}{1-q} G(x, Tx, Tx).$$

Hence result follows.

3 Conclusion

Result presented in this paper generalize and extend well known fundamental metrical fixed point theorems in the literature (Banach [2], Kannan [3], Nadler [4], Reich [5], etc.) in the setting of generalized metric spaces.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for a careful checking of the details and for helpful comments that improved this paper.

References

- [1] L. B. Ćirić, A generalization of Banach's contraction principle, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **45**, 267-273 (1974).
- [2] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux équations intégrales, Fund. Math., **3**, 133-181 (1922).
- [3] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., **60**, 71-76 (1968).
- [4] S. B. Nadler, Multi-valued contraction mappings, Pacific J. Math., **30**(2), 475-488 (1969).
- [5] S. Reich, Some remarks concerning contraction mappings, Oanad. Math. Bull., **14**, 121-124 (1971).
- [6] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., **7**, 289-297 (2006).
- [7] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G -metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Article ID 917175, 10 pages, Vol. 2009.
- [8] S. Manro, S. Kumar and S.S. Bhatia, Weakly compatible maps of type (A) in G -metric spaces, Demonstratio Mathematica, **45**(4), 901-908 (2012).
- [9] S. Manro, Fixed point theorems in G -metric space using E.A. property, J. Mathematics., **1**(1), 1015-1025 (2012).
- [10] S. Manro, S. S. Bhatia, S. Kumar and C. Vetro, A common fixed point theorem for two weakly compatible pairs in G -metric spaces using the property E.A, Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2013, 2013:41.



Vishal Gupta having more than 10 years of teaching experience, is working as Associate Professor in Department of Mathematics, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, India. He received his Ph.D. degree in 2010. Also, he is M.Phil (Mathematics) and M.Ed. He

has published one research book with international publisher and his immense contribution in journals of national and international repute is more than Fifty. He has presented more than thirty research papers in national and international conferences. His research interests are fixed point theory, fuzzy set theory, fuzzy mappings, topology, differential and integral equations.



Saurabh Manro received the PhD degree in “Non-linear Analysis” at Thapar University, Patiala (India). He is referee of several international journals in the frame of pure and applied mathematics. His main research interests are: fixed point theory, fuzzy mathematics, game theory, optimization theory, differential geometry and applications,

geometric dynamics and applications.



Mano Verma having more than 10 years of teaching experience, is working as Assistant Professor in Department of Applied Sciences, Doon Valley College of Engineering and Technology, Karnal, Haryana, India. Currently pursuing Ph.D. degree from Department of Mathematics, Maharishi Markandeshwar University,

Mullana, Haryana, India. Also, she is M.Phil (Mathematics) from Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra, Haryana. She has published 02 research papers and 03 research papers are accepted in international journals. She has attended 04 national conferences and 01 international conference and 02 workshops. Her research interests are fixed point theory and applications.