

On Some New Entire Sequence Spaces

Kuldip Raj^{1,*} and Ayhan Esi^{2,*}

¹ School of Mathematics, Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University, Katra J & K, India

² Department of Mathematics, Science and Art Faculty, Adiyaman University, 02040 Adiyaman, Turkey

Received: 24 Feb. 2014, Revised: 26 Apr. 2014, Accepted: 29 Apr. 2014

Published online: 1 Jul. 2014

Abstract: In this paper we introduce entire sequence spaces and analytic sequence spaces on seminormed spaces defined by a Musielak-Orlicz function and study some topological properties and inclusion relations between these spaces. We also make an effort to study these sequence spaces over n -normed spaces.

Keywords: paranorm space, Orlicz function, Musielak-Orlicz function, solid, monotone, entire sequence space, analytic sequence space.

subjclass[2000] 40A05, 40C05, 40D05.

1 Introduction

An Orlicz function $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous, non-decreasing and convex function such that $M(0) = 0$, $M(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [17] used the idea of Orlicz function to define the following sequence space. Let w be the space of all real or complex sequences $x = (x_k)$, then

$$l_M = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty \right\}$$

which is called a Orlicz sequence space. Also l_M is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Also, it was shown in [17] that every Orlicz sequence space l_M contains a subspace isomorphic to $l_p (p \geq 1)$. The Δ_2 -condition is equivalent to $M(Lx) \leq LM(x)$, for all L with $0 < L < 1$. An Orlicz function M can always be represented in the following integral form

$$M(x) = \int_0^x \eta(t) dt$$

where η is known as the kernel of M , is right differentiable for $t \geq 0$, $\eta(0) = 0$, $\eta(t) > 0$, η is

non-decreasing and $\eta(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

A sequence $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ of Orlicz functions is called a Musielak-Orlicz function see ([18],[20]). A sequence $\mathcal{N} = (N_k)$ of Orlicz functions defined by

$$N_k(v) = \sup \{ |v|u - M_k(u) : u \geq 0 \}, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

is called the complementary function of the Musielak-Orlicz function \mathcal{M} . For a given Musielak-Orlicz function \mathcal{M} , the Musielak-Orlicz sequence space $t_{\mathcal{M}}$ and its subspace $h_{\mathcal{M}}$ are defined as follows

$$t_{\mathcal{M}} = \left\{ x \in w : I_{\mathcal{M}}(cx) < \infty, \text{ for some } c > 0 \right\},$$

$$h_{\mathcal{M}} = \left\{ x \in w : I_{\mathcal{M}}(cx) < \infty, \text{ for all } c > 0 \right\},$$

where $I_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a convex modular defined by

$$I_{\mathcal{M}}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k(x_k), x = (x_k) \in t_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

We consider $t_{\mathcal{M}}$ equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ k > 0 : I_{\mathcal{M}}\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

or equipped with the Orlicz norm

$$\|x\|^0 = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \left(1 + I_{\mathcal{M}}(kx) \right) : k > 0 \right\}.$$

* Corresponding author e-mail: kuldipraj68@gmail.com, aesi23@hotmail.com

Let X be a linear metric space. A function $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called paranorm, if

1. $p(x) \geq 0$, for all $x \in X$,
2. $p(-x) = p(x)$, for all $x \in X$,
3. $p(x+y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$, for all $x, y \in X$,
4. if (λ_n) is a sequence of scalars with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and (x_n) is a sequence of vectors with $p(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $p(\lambda_n x_n - \lambda x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

A paranorm p for which $p(x) = 0$ implies $x = 0$ is called total paranorm and the pair (X, p) is called a total paranormed space. It is well known that the metric of any linear metric space is given by some total paranorm (see [28], Theorem 10.4.2, P-183). For more details about sequence spaces see ([1], [3], [5], [15], [16], [21], [22], [23], [24], [25], [26], [27]).

A complex sequence, whose k^{th} term is x_k is denoted by (x_k) . Let ϕ be the set of all finite sequences. A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be analytic if $\sup_k |x_k|^{\frac{1}{k}} < \infty$. The vector space of all analytic sequences will be denoted by Λ . A sequence x is called entire sequence if $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |x_k|^{\frac{1}{k}} = 0$. The vector space of all entire sequences will be denoted by Γ . Let σ be a one-one mapping of the set of positive integers into itself such that $\sigma^m(n) = \sigma(\sigma^{m-1}(n))$, $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. A continuous linear functional ϕ on Λ is said to be an invariant mean or a σ -mean if and only if

1. $\phi(x) \geq 0$ when the sequence $x = (x_n)$ has $x_n \geq 0$ for all n ,
2. $\phi(e) = 1$ where $e = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$ and
3. $\phi(\{x_{\sigma(n)}\}) = \phi(\{x_n\})$ for all $x \in \Lambda$.

For certain kinds of mappings σ , every invariant mean ϕ extends the limit functional on the space \mathcal{C} of all convergent sequences in the sense that $\phi(x) = \lim x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{C}$. Consequently $\mathcal{C} \subset V_\sigma$, where V_σ is the set of analytic sequences all of those σ -means are equal. If $x = (x_n)$, set $Tx = (Tx)_n^{\frac{1}{n}} = (x_{\sigma(n)})$. It can be shown that

$$V_\sigma = \left\{ x = (x_n) : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_{mn}(x)_n^{\frac{1}{n}} = L \text{ uniformly in } n, L = \sigma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right\},$$

where

$$t_{mn}(x) = \frac{(x_n + Tx_n + \dots + T^m x_n)^{\frac{1}{n}}}{m+1}.$$

Given a sequence $x = \{x_k\}$ its n^{th} section is the sequence $x^{(n)} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots\}$, $\delta^{(n)} = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$, in the n^{th} place and zeros elsewhere.

The space consisting of all those sequences x in w such that $M_k \left(\frac{|x_k|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for some arbitrary fixed $\rho > 0$ is denoted by $\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}$ and is known as Musielak-Orlicz space of entire sequences. The space $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a metric space with the metric $d(x, y) = \sup_k M_k \left(\frac{|x_k - y_k|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right)$ for all

$x = \{x_k\}$ and $y = \{y_k\}$ in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}$.

The space consisting of all those sequences x in w such

that $\left(\sup_k \left(M_k \left(\frac{|x_k|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right) < \infty$ for some arbitrarily fixed $\rho > 0$ is denoted by $\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}$ and is known as Musielak-Orlicz space of analytic sequences.

A sequence space E is said to be solid or normal if $(\alpha_k x_k) \in E$ whenever $(x_k) \in E$ and for all sequences of scalars (α_k) with $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ (see [20]). The following inequality will be used throughout the paper. Let $p = (p_k)$ be a sequence of positive real numbers with $0 \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k = G$, $K = \max(1, 2^{G-1})$ then

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq K \{ |a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k} \} \text{ for all } k \text{ and } a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (1.1)$$

Also $|a|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |a|^G)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be a Musielak-Orlicz function, X be locally convex Hausdorff topological linear space whose topology is determined by a set of continuous seminorms q . The symbol $\Lambda(X)$, $\Gamma(X)$ denotes the space of all analytic and entire sequences respectively defined over X . In this paper we define the following classes of sequences:

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s) = \left\{ x \in \Lambda(X) : \sup_{n,k} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty \text{ uniformly in } n \geq 0, s \geq 0 \text{ and for some } \rho > 0 \right\},$$

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s) = \left\{ x \in \Gamma(X) : \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly in } n \geq 0, s \geq 0 \text{ and for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

If we take $p = (p_k) = 1$, we get

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}(\sigma, q, s) = \left\{ x \in \Lambda(X) : \sup_{n,k} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] < \infty \text{ uniformly in } n \geq 0, s \geq 0 \text{ and for some } \rho > 0 \right\},$$

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(\sigma, q, s) = \left\{ x \in \Gamma(X) : \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly in } n \geq 0, s \geq 0 \text{ and for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

The main purpose of this paper is to study some entire and analytic sequence spaces on seminormed spaces defined by a Musielak-Orlicz function $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$. We study some topological properties and inclusion relations between the spaces $\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$ in the second section of this paper. In the third section we make an effort to study some properties of these sequence spaces over n -normed spaces.

2 Some topological properties of spaces

$\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$

Theorem 2.1 Let $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be a Musielak-Orlicz function and $p = (p_k)$ be a sequence of strictly positive

real numbers. Then the spaces $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$ and $\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$ are linear spaces over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} .

Proof. Let $x = (x_k), y = (y_k) \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$. Then there exist positive numbers ρ_1 and ρ_2 such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $\rho_3 = \max(2|\alpha|\rho_1, 2|\beta|\rho_2)$. Since $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ is non decreasing, convex and q is a seminorm so by using inequality (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|\alpha x_{\sigma^k(n)} + \beta y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_3} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|\alpha x_{\sigma^k(n)}|}{\rho_3} + \frac{|\beta y_{\sigma^k(n)}|}{\rho_3} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{p_k}} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) + M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) + M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq K \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & + K \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\alpha x + \beta y \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$. Hence $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$ is a linear space. Similarly, we can show that $\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$ is a linear space.

Theorem 2.2 Suppose $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ is Musielak-Orlicz function and $p = (p_k)$ be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers. Then the space $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$ is a paranormed space with the paranorm defined by

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pm}{M}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \text{ uniformly in } n > 0, \rho > 0 \right\}, \text{ where } M = \max(1, \sup p_k).$$

Proof. Clearly $g(x) \geq 0, g(x) = g(-x)$ and $g(\theta) = 0$, where θ is the zero sequence of X . Let $(x_k), (y_k) \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$. Let $\rho_1, \rho_2 > 0$ be such that

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1$$

and

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1.$$

Let $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$ and by using Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)} + y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} & \leq \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & + \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $g(x+y)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \inf \left\{ (\rho_1 + \rho_2)^{\frac{pm}{M}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)} + y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \rho_1, \rho_2 > 0, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ & \leq \inf \left\{ (\rho_1)^{\frac{pm}{M}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \rho_1 > 0, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ & + \inf \left\{ (\rho_2)^{\frac{pm}{M}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \rho_2 > 0, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $g(x+y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$. Hence g satisfies the triangle inequality. Now

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda x) & = \inf \left\{ (\rho)^{\frac{pm}{M}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \rho > 0, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ & = \inf \left\{ (r|\lambda|)^{\frac{pm}{M}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, r > 0, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r = \frac{\rho}{|\lambda|}$. Hence $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$ is a paranormed space.

Theorem 2.3 Let $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be a Musielak-Orlicz function. Then

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s) \cap \Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s) \subseteq \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s).$$

Proof. The proof is trivial so we omit.

Theorem 2.4 $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s) \subseteq \Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$.

Proof. The proof is trivial so we omit.

Theorem 2.5 Let $0 \leq p_k \leq r_k$ and let $\left\{ \frac{r_k}{p_k} \right\}$ be bounded. Then $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(r, \sigma, q, s) \subset \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$.

Proof. Let $x \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(r, \sigma, q, s)$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{r_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.1)$$

Let $t_k = \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{q_k}$ and $\lambda_k = \frac{p_k}{r_k}$. Since $p_k \leq r_k$, we have $0 \leq \lambda_k \leq 1$. Take $0 < \lambda < \lambda_k$. Define

$$u_k = \begin{cases} t_k, & \text{if } t_k \geq 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } t_k < 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$v_k = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t_k \geq 1 \\ t_k, & \text{if } t_k < 1 \end{cases}$$

$t_k = u_k + v_k$, $t_k^{\lambda_k} = u_k^{\lambda_k} + v_k^{\lambda_k}$. It follows that $u_k^{\lambda_k} \leq t_k \leq t_k$, $v_k^{\lambda_k} \leq v_k$. Since $t_k^{\lambda_k} = u_k^{\lambda_k} + v_k^{\lambda_k}$, then $t_k^{\lambda_k} \leq t_k + v_k^{\lambda_k}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{r_k} &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{r_k} \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{r_k / r_k} &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{r_k} \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{r_k}. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{r_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ (by (2.1)).}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $x \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$. From (2.1), we get $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(r, \sigma, q, s) \subset \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$.

Theorem 2.6(i) Let $0 < \inf p_k \leq p_k \leq 1$. Then $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s) \subset \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(\sigma, q, s)$,

(ii) let $1 \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k < \infty$. Then $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(\sigma, q, s) \subset \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$.

Proof. (i) Let $x \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.2)$$

Since $0 < \inf p_k \leq p_k \leq 1$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] \leq \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

From (2.2) and (2.3) it follows that, $x \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(\sigma, q, s)$. Thus $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s) \subset \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(\sigma, q, s)$.

(ii) Let $p_k \geq 1$ for each k and $\sup p_k < \infty$ and let $x \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(\sigma, q, s)$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

Since $1 \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k < \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] \\ \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $x \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$. Therefore $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(\sigma, q, s) \subset \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$.

Theorem 2.7 Suppose

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq |x_k|^{1/k}, \text{ then } \Gamma \subset \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s).$$

Proof. Let $x \in \Gamma$. Then we have,

$$|x_k|^{1/k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.5)$$

But $\sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq |x_k|^{1/k}$, by our assumption, implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \text{ by (2.5)}$$

Then $x \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$ and $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$.

Theorem 2.8 $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$ is solid.

Proof. Let $|x_k| \leq |y_k|$ and let $y = (y_k) \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$, because $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ is non-decreasing

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k}.$$

Since $y \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|y_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\frac{|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore $x = \{x_k\} \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$.

Theorem 2.9 $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s)$ is monotone.

Proof. The proof is trivial.

3 Sequence spaces over n -normed spaces

The concept of 2-normed spaces was initially developed by Gähler[11] in the mid of 1960's, while that of n -normed spaces one can see in Misiak[19]. Since then, many others have studied this concept and obtained various results, see Gunawan ([12],[13]) and Gunawan and Mashadi [14]. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and X be a linear space over the field \mathbb{R} , where \mathbb{R} is field of reals of dimension d , where $d \geq n \geq 2$. A real valued function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$ on X^n satisfying the following four conditions:

1. $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = 0$ if and only if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent in X ;

2. $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ is invariant under permutation;
3. $\|\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and
4. $\|x + x', x_2, \dots, x_n\| \leq \|x, x_2, \dots, x_n\| + \|x', x_2, \dots, x_n\|$

is called an n -norm on X , and the pair $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is called a n -normed space over the field \mathbb{R} .

For example, we may take $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ being equipped with the n -norm $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E =$ the volume of the n -dimensional parallelepiped spanned by the vectors x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n which may be given explicitly by the formula

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E = |\det(x_{ij})|,$$

where $x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{in}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be an n -normed space of dimension $d \geq n \geq 2$ and $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ be linearly independent set in X . Then the function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$ on X^{n-1} defined by

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|_\infty = \max\{\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, a_i\| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

is known as an $(n - 1)$ -norm on X with respect to $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and X be a real vector space of dimension d , where $2 \leq n \leq d$. Let β_{n-1} be the collection of linearly independent sets B with $n - 1$ elements. For $B \in \beta_{n-1}$, let us define

$$q_B(x_1) = \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|, \quad x_1 \in X.$$

Then q_B is a seminorm on X and the family $q = \{q_B : B \in \beta_{n-1}\}$ of seminorms generates a locally convex topology on X . The seminorms q_B have the following properties:

1. $\ker(q_B) =$ the linear span of B .
2. For $B \in \beta_{n-1}$, $y \in B$ and $x \in X \setminus$ the linear span of B we have

$$q_{B \cup \{x\}}(y) = q_B(x). \quad \text{See ([10])}$$

A sequence (x_k) in a n -normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is said to converge to some $L \in X$ if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - L, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0 \text{ for every } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X.$$

A sequence (x_k) in a n -normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is said to be Cauchy if

$$\lim_{k, p \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x_p, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0 \text{ for every } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X.$$

If every Cauchy sequence in X converges to some $L \in X$, then X is said to be complete with respect to the n -norm. Any complete n -normed space is said to be n -Banach space. For more details about n -normed spaces one can see ([2], [4], [6], [7], [8], [9]) and references therein.

Let $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be a Musielak-Orlicz function, X be locally convex Hausdorff topological real linear n -normed space whose topology is determined by a set of continuous seminorms q . The symbol $\Lambda(X)$, $\Gamma(X)$

denotes the space of all analytic and entire sequences respectively defined over X . In this section, for each $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ we define the following classes of sequences:

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) = \left\{ x \in \Lambda(X) : \sup_{n,k} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(x_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty \text{ uniformly in } n \geq 0, s \geq 0 \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\},$$

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) = \left\{ x \in \Gamma(X) : \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(x_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly in } n \geq 0, s \geq 0 \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

If we take $p = (p_k) = 1$, we get

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}(\sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) = \left\{ x \in \Lambda(X) : \sup_{n,k} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(x_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right] < \infty \text{ uniformly in } n \geq 0, s \geq 0 \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\},$$

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(\sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) = \left\{ x \in \Gamma(X) : \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(x_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly in } n \geq 0, s \geq 0 \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

In the present section we study some topological properties of the spaces $\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ and also examine some inclusion relation between these spaces.

Theorem 3.1 Let $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be a Musielak-Orlicz function and $p = (p_k)$ be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers. Then the spaces $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ and $\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ are linear space over the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} .

Proof. Let $x = (x_k)$, $y = (y_k) \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$. Then there exist positive numbers ρ_1 and ρ_2 such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(x_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(y_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $\rho_3 = \max(2|\alpha|\rho_1, 2|\beta|\rho_2)$. Since $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ is non decreasing, convex and q is a seminorm and by using

inequality (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(\alpha x_{\sigma^k(n)} + \beta y_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_3} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{\alpha(x_{\sigma^k(n)})}{\rho_3} + \frac{\beta(y_{\sigma^k(n)})}{\rho_3} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{p_k}} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(x_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(y_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(x_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(y_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq K \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(x_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \quad + K \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(y_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\alpha x + \beta y \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$. Hence $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is a linear space. Similarly, we can prove $\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is a linear space.

Theorem 3.2 Suppose $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ is Musielak-Orlicz function and $p = (p_k)$ be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers. Then the space $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is a paranormed space with the paranorm defined by

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pm}{M}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(x_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \right. \\ \left. \text{uniformly in } n > 0, \rho > 0 \right\}, \text{ where}$$

$$M = \max(1, \sup_k p_k).$$

Proof. Clearly $g(x) \geq 0, g(x) = g(-x)$ and $g(\theta) = 0$, where θ is the zero sequence of X . Let $(x_k), (y_k) \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$. Let $\rho_1, \rho_2 > 0$ be such that

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(x_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1$$

and

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(y_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(x_{\sigma^k(n)} + y_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(x_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \quad + \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(y_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_2} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $g(x+y)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \inf \left\{ (\rho_1 + \rho_2)^{\frac{pm}{M}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(x_{\sigma^k(n)} + y_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \rho_1, \rho_2 > 0, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ & \leq \inf \left\{ (\rho_1)^{\frac{pm}{M}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(x_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \rho_1 > 0, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ & \quad + \inf \left\{ (\rho_2)^{\frac{pm}{M}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(y_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \rho_2 > 0, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $g(x+y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$. Hence g satisfies the triangle inequality. Now $g(\lambda x)$

$$\begin{aligned} & = \inf \left\{ (\rho)^{\frac{pm}{M}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(x_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \rho > 0, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ & = \inf \left\{ (r|\lambda|)^{\frac{pm}{M}} : \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(x_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, r > 0, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r = \frac{\rho}{|\lambda|}$. Hence $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is a paranormed space.

Theorem 3.3 Let $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be a Musielak-Orlicz function. Then

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \cap \Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|).$$

Proof. It is easy to prove so we omit the proof.

Theorem 3.4

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq \Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|).$$

Proof. It is easy to prove so we omit the proof.

Theorem 3.5 $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is solid.

Proof. Let $|x_k| \leq |y_k|$ and let $y = (y_k) \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$, since $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ is non-decreasing, so

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(x_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(y_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $y \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(y_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

So that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \left[M_k \left(q \left(\left\| \frac{(x_{\sigma^k(n)})^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$. Hence $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is solid.

Theorem 3.6 $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}}(p, \sigma, q, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is monotone.

Proof. The proof is trivial so we omit it.

References

[1] H. Dutta and B.S. Reddy, *On some sequence spaces*, Tamsui Oxford Journal of Information and Mathematical Sciences, **28** (1) (2012), pp. 1-12.

[2] H. Dutta, *An Orlicz extension of difference sequences on real linear n-normed spaces*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, **2013** (2013), art. no. 232.

[3] H. Dutta and F. Başar, *A generalization of Orlicz sequence spaces by Cesàro mean of order one*, Acta Mathematica Universitatis Comenianae, **80**(2) (2011), pp. 185-200.

[4] H. Dutta and B.S. Reddy, *On non-standard n-norm on some sequence spaces*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., **68**(1) (2011), pp. 1-11.

[5] H. Dutta and T. Bilgin, *Strongly $(V^\lambda, A, \Delta_{vm}^n, p)$ -summable sequence spaces defined by an Orlicz function*, Applied Mathematics Letters, **24**(7) (2011), pp. 1057-1062.

[6] H. Dutta, B.S. Reddy and S.S. Cheng, *Strongly summable sequences defined over real normed spaces*, Applied Mathematics E - Notes, **10**(2010), pp. 199-209.

[7] H. Dutta, *On n-normed linear space valued strongly $(C, 1)$ -summable difference sequences*, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics, **3**(4) (2010), pp. 565-575.

[8] H. Dutta, *On sequence spaces with elements in a sequence of real linear n-normed spaces*, Applied Mathematics Letters, **23**(9) (2010), pp. 1109-1113.

[9] H. Dutta, *An application of lacunary summability method to n-norm*, International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, **15**(09) (2009), pp. 89-97.

[10] H. Dutta, *On sequence spaces with elements in a sequence of real linear n-normed spaces*, Applied Mathematics Letters, **23**(9) (2010), pp. 1109-1113.

[11] S. Gahler, *Linear 2-normierte Rume*, Math. Nachr., **28** (1965), pp. 1-43.

[12] H. Gunawan, *On n-Inner Product, n-Norms, and the Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality*, Sci. Math. Jap., **5** (2001), pp. 47-54.

[13] H. Gunawan, *The space of p-summable sequence and its natural n-norm*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., **64** (2001), pp. 137-147.

[14] H. Gunawan and M., Mashadi, *On n-normed spaces*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **27** (2001), pp. 631-639.

[15] P. K. Kamthan and M. Gupta, *Sequence spaces and series*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 65 Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, (1981).

[16] V. Karakaya and H. Dutta, *On some vector valued generalized difference modular sequence spaces*, Filomat, **25**(3) (2011), pp. 15-27.

[17] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *On Orlicz sequence spaces*, Israel J. Math; **10**, 379-390 (1971).

[18] L. Maligranda, *Orlicz spaces and interpolation*, Seminars in Mathematics 5, Polish Academy of Science, 1989.

[19] A. Misiak, *n-inner product spaces*, Math. Nachr., **140** (1989), pp. 299-319.

[20] J. Musielak, *Orlicz spaces and modular spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1034, (1983).

[21] S. D. Prashar and B. Choudhary, *Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **25**(14) (1994), 419-428.

[22] K. Raj, A. K. Sharma and S. K. Sharma, *A Sequence space defined by Musielak-Orlicz functions*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., **67** (2011), 475-484.

[23] K. Raj, S. K. Sharma and A. K. Sharma, *Difference sequence spaces in n-normed spaces defined by Musielak-Orlicz functions*, Armen. J Math., **3** (2010), pp. 127-141.

[24] K. Raj and S. K. Sharma, *Generalized difference sequence spaces defined by Musielak-Orlicz function*, International J. of Math. Sci. & Engg. Appls., **5** (2011), pp. 337-351.

[25] K. Raj and S. K. Sharma, *Some difference sequence spaces defined by sequence of modulus function*, Int. Journal of Mathematical Archive, **2** (2011), pp. 236-240.

[26] B. C. Tripathy and H. Dutta, *Some difference paranormed sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions*, Fasciculi Mathematici, Nr **42** (2009), 121-131.

[27] B.C. Tripathy, and H. Dutta, *On some lacunary difference sequence spaces defined by a sequence of orlicz functions and q-lacunary Δ_m^n statistical Convergence*, Analele Stiintifice ale Universitatii Ovidius Constanta, Seria Matematica, **20**(1) (2012), pp. 417-430.

[28] A. Wilansky, *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North- Holland Math. Stud. (1984).



Kuldeep Raj is an assistant professor, works at School of Mathematics, Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University, Katra-182320, J&K, India. His qualification is Ph. D. (1999, Mathematics) in the area of Functional analysis, Operator theory, Sequence, Series and Summability. His total teaching experience is 15 years. His research experience is 20 years. He has 100 published papers.



Ayhan Esi was born in Istanbul, Turkey, on March 5, 1965. Ayhan Esi got his B.Sc. from Inonu University in 1987 and M. Sc. and Ph.D. degree in pure mathematics from Elazig University, Turkey in 1990 and 1995, respectively. His research interests include Summability

Theory, Sequences and Series in Analysis and Functional Analysis. In 2000, Esi was appointed to Education Faculty in Gaziantep University. In 2002, Esi was appointed as the head of Department of Mathematics in Science and Art Faculty in Adiyaman of the Inonu University. In 2006, Esi joined the Department of Mathematics of Adiyaman University.