

Integral Inequalities Involving New Conformable Derivatives

George A. Anastassiou

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Memphis, Memphis, TN 38152, U.S.A.

Received: 2 Jun. 2023, Revised: 18 Jul. 2023, Accepted: 20 Sep. 2023

Published online: 1 Oct. 2024

Abstract: Here, we first describe the new conformable derivatives and integrals ([1]). Then, we establish Opial, Ostrowski, Poincaré, Sobolev, Polya and Hilbert-Pachpatte type integral inequalities.

Keywords: New conformable derivative and integral, integral inequalities.

1 Background

All in this section come from [1].

The motivation though comes from control theory [2]. A proportional derivative (PD) controller for controller output u at time t with two tuning parameters is given by

$$u(t) = k_p E(t) + k_d \frac{d}{dt} E(t), \tag{1}$$

where k_p is the proportional gain, k_d is the derivative gain, and E is the error between the state variable and the process variable.

The above motivate the following:

Definition 1. (A class of New Conformable Derivatives) ([1]) Let $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, and let the functions $k_0, k_1 : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be continuous such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} k_1(\alpha, t) &= 1, & \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} k_0(\alpha, t) &= 0, & \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} k_1(\alpha, t) &= 0, & \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} k_0(\alpha, t) &= 1, & \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ k_1(\alpha, t) &\neq 0, & \alpha \in [0, 1], & k_0(\alpha, t) \neq 0, & \alpha \in (0, 1], & \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Then, the following differentiable operator D^α , defined via

$$D^\alpha f(t) = k_1(\alpha, t) f(t) + k_0(\alpha, t) f'(t) \tag{3}$$

is the New Conformable derivative given that $f'(t)$ exists for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Here, k_1 is a type of proportional gain k_p , k_0 is a type of derivative gain k_d , f is the error, and $u = D^\alpha f$ is the controller output. For example, one may choose $k_1 := (1 - \alpha) \omega^\alpha$ and $k_0 := \alpha \omega^{1-\alpha}$ for any $\omega \in (0, \infty)$; or $k_1 := (1 - \alpha) |t|^\alpha$ and $k_0 := \alpha |t|^{1-\alpha}$ on $\mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, so that

$$D^\alpha f(t) = (1 - \alpha) |t|^\alpha f(t) + \alpha |t|^{1-\alpha} f'(t). \tag{4}$$

* Corresponding author e-mail: ganastss@memphis.edu

Another class of conformable derivatives is

$$D^\alpha f(t) = \cos\left(\alpha \frac{\pi}{2}\right) |t|^\alpha f(t) + \sin\left(\alpha \frac{\pi}{2}\right) |t|^{1-\alpha} f'(t). \quad (5)$$

In general we have that $D^\beta D^\alpha \neq D^\alpha D^\beta$ for $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.([1]) (Conformable Exponential Function). Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, the points $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s \leq t$, and let the function $p : [s, t] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Let $k_0, k_1 : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be continuous and satisfy (2) with $\frac{p}{k_0}$ and $\frac{k_1}{k_0}$ Riemann integrable on $[s, t]$. Then the exponential function with respect to D^α in (3) is defined to be

$$e_p(t, s) := e^{\int_s^t \frac{p(\tau) - k_1(\alpha, \tau)}{k_0(\alpha, \tau)} d\tau}, \quad e_0(t, s) := e^{-\int_s^t \frac{k_1(\alpha, \tau)}{k_0(\alpha, \tau)} d\tau}. \quad (6)$$

Using (3) and (6) we have the following basic results.

Lemma 1.([1]) (Basic Derivatives). Let the conformable differential operator D^α be given as in (3), where $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Let the function $p : [s, t] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Let $k_0, k_1 : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be continuous and satisfy (2), with $\frac{p}{k_0}$ and $\frac{k_1}{k_0}$ Riemann integrable on $[s, t]$. Assume the functions f and g are differentiable as needed. Then

- (i) $D^\alpha [af + bg] = aD^\alpha [f] + bD^\alpha [g]$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (ii) $D^\alpha c = ck_1(\alpha, \cdot)$ for all constants $c \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (iii) $D^\alpha [fg] = fD^\alpha [g] + gD^\alpha [f] - fgk_1(\alpha, \cdot)$;
- (iv) $D^\alpha \left[\frac{f}{g} \right] = \frac{gD^\alpha [f] - fD^\alpha [g]}{g^2} + \frac{f}{g} k_1(\alpha, \cdot)$;
- (v) for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the exponential function satisfies

$$D_t^\alpha [e_p(t, s)] = p(t) e_p(t, s) \quad (7)$$

for $e_p(t, s)$ given in (6);

(vi) for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and for the exponential function e_0 given in (6), we have

$$D^\alpha \left[\int_a^t \frac{f(s) e_0(t, s)}{k_0(\alpha, s)} ds \right] = f(t). \quad (8)$$

Definition 3.([1]) (Integrals). Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. In the light of (6) and Lemma 1 (v) & (vi), define the antiderivative via

$$\int D^\alpha f(t) d_\alpha t = f(t) + ce_0(t, t_0), \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Similarly, define the integral of f over $[a, b]$ as

$$\int_a^t f(s) e_0(t, s) d_\alpha s := \int_a^t \frac{f(s) e_0(t, s)}{k_0(\alpha, s)} ds, \quad d_\alpha s := \frac{1}{k_0(\alpha, s)} ds; \quad (9)$$

recall that

$$e_0(t, s) := e^{-\int_s^t \frac{k_1(\alpha, \tau)}{k_0(\alpha, \tau)} d\tau} = e^{-\int_s^t k_1(\alpha, \tau) d_\alpha \tau}$$

from (6).

Lemma 2.([1]) (Basic Integrals). Let the conformable differential operator D^α be given as in (3), the integral be given as in (9) with $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. Let the functions k_0, k_1 be continuous and satisfy (2), and let f and g be differentiable as needed. Then

(i) the derivative of the definite integral of f is given by

$$D^\alpha \left[\int_a^t f(s) e_0(t, s) d_\alpha s \right] = f(t); \quad (10)$$

(ii) the definite integral of the derivative of f is given by

$$\int_a^t D^\alpha [f(s)] e_0(t, s) d_\alpha s = f(s) e_0(t, s) \Big|_{s=a}^t := f(t) - f(a) e_0(t, a); \quad (11)$$

(iii) an integration by parts formula is given by

$$\int_a^b f(t) D^\alpha [g(t)] e_0(b,t) d_\alpha t = f(t) g(t) e_0(b,t) \Big|_{t=a}^b - \int_a^b g(t) (D^\alpha [f(t)] - k_1(\alpha,t) f(t)) e_0(b,t) d_\alpha t; \tag{12}$$

(iv) a version of the Leibniz rule for differentiation of an integral is given by

$$D^\alpha \left[\int_a^t f(t,s) e_0(t,s) d_\alpha s \right] = \int_a^t (D_t^\alpha [f(t,s)] - k_1(\alpha,t) f(t,s)) e_0(t,s) d_\alpha s + f(t,t), \tag{13}$$

using (15); or, if e_0 is absent,

$$D^\alpha \left[\int_a^t f(t,s) d_\alpha s \right] = f(t,t) + \int_a^t D_t^\alpha [f(t,s)] d_\alpha s. \tag{14}$$

Definition 4.([1]) (Partial Conformable Derivatives). Let $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, and let the functions $k_0, k_1 : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be continuous and satisfy (2). Given a function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t, s)$ exists for each fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}$, define the partial differential operator D_t^α via

$$D_t^\alpha f(t, s) = k_1(\alpha, t) f(t, s) + k_0(\alpha, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t, s). \tag{15}$$

Next comes the important Taylor’s formula.

Theorem 1.([1]) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, and suppose f is n times continuously differentiable on $[t_0, \infty)$. Let $t, s \in [t_0, \infty)$, and define the functions h_k by

$$h_0(t, s) \equiv 1 \text{ and } h_{k+1}(t, s) = \int_s^t h_k(\tau, s) d_\alpha \tau \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{16}$$

Then

$$f(t) = e_0(t, s) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k h_k(s, t) (D^\alpha)^k f(s) + (-1)^{n-1} \int_s^t h_{n-1}(\tau, t) (D^\alpha)^n f(\tau) e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \tag{17}$$

for $t \in [t_0, \infty)$.

Example 1.([1]) For $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, let $\omega_0, \omega_1 \in (0, \infty)$, let k_1 satisfy (2), and take

$$k_0(\alpha, t) \equiv \alpha \omega_0^{1-\alpha}. \tag{18}$$

By (9),

$$d_\alpha \tau = \frac{1}{k_0(\alpha, \tau)} d\tau = \frac{1}{\alpha \omega_0^{1-\alpha}} d\tau.$$

Letting $h_0(t, s) \equiv 1$, we calculate h_1 via (16) to get

$$h_1(t, s) = \int_s^t h_0(\tau, s) d_\alpha \tau = \frac{1}{\alpha \omega_0^{1-\alpha}} \int_s^t 1 d\tau = \frac{t-s}{\alpha \omega_0^{1-\alpha}};$$

additionally,

$$h_2(t, s) = \int_s^t h_1(\tau, s) d_\alpha \tau = \frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{t-s}{\alpha \omega_0^{1-\alpha}} \right)^2.$$

In general we have that

$$h_n(t, s) = \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{t-s}{\alpha \omega_0^{1-\alpha}} \right)^n. \tag{19}$$

Note that at $\alpha = 1$ we have

$$h_n(t, s) = \frac{1}{n!} (t-s)^n$$

as expected.

Example 2.([1]) For $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, let $\omega_0, \omega_1 \in (0, \infty)$, let k_1 satisfy (2), and this time take

$$k_0(\alpha, t) = \alpha (\omega_0 t)^{1-\alpha}, \quad t \in [0, \infty). \tag{20}$$

By (9),

$$d_\alpha \tau = \frac{\tau^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha \omega_0^{1-\alpha}} d\tau.$$

Again starting with $h_0(t, s) \equiv 1$, we see that

$$h_1(t, s) = \int_s^t h_0(\tau, s) d_\alpha \tau = \frac{1}{\alpha \omega_0^{1-\alpha}} \int_s^t \tau^{\alpha-1} d\tau = \frac{t^\alpha - s^\alpha}{\alpha^2 \omega_0^{1-\alpha}},$$

and

$$h_2(t, s) = \int_s^t h_1(\tau, s) d_\alpha \tau = \frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{t^\alpha - s^\alpha}{\alpha^2 \omega_0^{1-\alpha}} \right)^2.$$

Continuing, we find that

$$h_n(t, s) = \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{t^\alpha - s^\alpha}{\alpha^2 \omega_0^{1-\alpha}} \right)^n, \tag{21}$$

which is just $\frac{1}{n!} (t-s)^\alpha$ at $\alpha = 1$.

2 Main Results

Motivation comes from [3]-[5]. We need

Remark.(to Lemma 2 (iii))

When $f = g$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(t) D^\alpha [f(t)] e_0(b, t) d_\alpha t &= f^2(b) - f^2(a) e_0(b, a) - \\ \int_a^b f(t) D^\alpha [f(t)] e_0(b, t) d_\alpha t &+ \int_a^b k_1(\alpha, t) f^2(t) e_0(b, t) d_\alpha t. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Therefore it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(t) D^\alpha [f(t)] e_0(b, t) d_\alpha t &= \left(\frac{f^2(b) - f^2(a) e_0(b, a)}{2} \right) + \\ &\frac{1}{2} \int_a^b f^2(t) k_1(\alpha, t) e_0(b, t) d_\alpha t. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

We present the following Opial's type inequality:

Theorem 2. All as in Theorem 1. Further assume that $t \geq s$, $(D^\alpha)^k f(s) = 0$, for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$; $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$; and $p, q > 1 : \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_s^t |f(w)| |(D^\alpha)^n f(w)| e_0(t, w) d_\alpha w \leq \\ & \left[\int_s^t \left(\int_s^w |h_{n-1}(\tau, w)|^p e_0(w, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right) e_0(t, w) d_\alpha w \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \left\{ \frac{\left(\int_s^t |(D^\alpha)^n f(w)|^q e_0(t, w) d_\alpha w \right)^2}{2} + \right. \\ & \left. \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_s^t \left(\int_s^w |(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)|^q e_0(w, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^2 k_1(\alpha, w) e_0(t, w) d_\alpha w \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Proof. Since $(D^\alpha)^k f(s) = 0$, for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, $t \geq s$, we have

$$f(t) = (-1)^{n-1} \int_s^t h_{n-1}(\tau, t) (D^\alpha)^n f(\tau) e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau, \tag{25}$$

and

$$f(w) = (-1)^{n-1} \int_s^w h_{n-1}(\tau, w) (D^\alpha)^n f(\tau) e_0(w, \tau) d_\alpha \tau, \tag{26}$$

for all $s \leq w \leq t$.

By Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f(w)| & \leq \int_s^w |h_{n-1}(\tau, w)| |(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)| e_0(w, \tau) d_\alpha \tau = \\ & \int_s^w |h_{n-1}(\tau, w)| (e_0(w, \tau))^{\frac{1}{p}} |(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)| (e_0(w, \tau))^{\frac{1}{q}} d_\alpha \tau \leq \\ & \left(\int_s^w |h_{n-1}(\tau, w)|^p (e_0(w, \tau)) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_s^w |(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)|^q (e_0(w, \tau)) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Call

$$z(w) := \int_s^w |(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)|^q (e_0(w, \tau)) d_\alpha \tau, \quad z(s) = 0, \tag{28}$$

$s \leq w \leq t$.

Then (by (10))

$$D^\alpha z(w) = |(D^\alpha)^n f(w)|^q, \tag{29}$$

and

$$|(D^\alpha)^n f(w)| = (D^\alpha z(w))^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \text{all } s \leq w \leq t. \tag{30}$$

Therefore we have (all $s \leq w \leq t$)

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(w)| |(D^\alpha)^n f(w)| e_0(t, w) \leq \\ & \left[\left(\int_s^w |h_{n-1}(\tau, w)|^p e_0(w, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right) e_0(t, w) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} [z(w) D^\alpha z(w) e_0(t, w)]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Next, we apply again Hölder's inequality and finally we use (23) to get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_s^t |f(w)| |(D^\alpha)^n f(w)| e_0(t, w) d_\alpha w \leq \\ & \int_s^t \left\{ \left[\left(\int_s^w |h_{n-1}(\tau, w)|^p e_0(w, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right) e_0(t, w) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} [z(w) D^\alpha z(w) e_0(t, w)]^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\} d_\alpha w \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left(\int_s^t \left(\int_s^w |h_{n-1}(\tau, w)|^p e_0(w, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right) e_0(t, w) d_\alpha w \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (32)$$

$$\left(\int_s^t z(w) D^\alpha z(w) e_0(t, w) d_\alpha w \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} =: (\xi).$$

By (23), we derive that

$$\int_s^t z(w) D^\alpha z(w) e_0(t, w) d_\alpha w =$$

$$\frac{z^2(t)}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t z^2(w) k_1(\alpha, w) e_0(t, w) d_\alpha w =$$

$$\frac{\left(\int_s^t |(D^\alpha)^n f(w)|^q e_0(t, w) d_\alpha w \right)^2}{2} + \quad (33)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[\int_s^t \left(\int_s^w |(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)|^q e_0(w, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^2 k_1(\alpha, w) e_0(t, w) d_\alpha w \right].$$

Consequently, we get that

$$(\xi) = \left[\int_s^t \left(\int_s^w |h_{n-1}(\tau, w)|^p e_0(w, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right) e_0(t, w) d_\alpha w \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\left\{ \frac{\left(\int_s^t |(D^\alpha)^n f(w)|^q e_0(t, w) d_\alpha w \right)^2}{2} + \right. \quad (34)$$

$$\left. \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_s^t \left(\int_s^w |(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)|^q e_0(w, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^2 k_1(\alpha, w) e_0(t, w) d_\alpha w \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

The claim is proved.

Corollary 1. (to Theorem 2, for $n = 1$) Here f is continuously differentiable on $[t_0, \infty)$, $t, s \in [t_0, \infty)$, $t \geq s$, $f(s) = 0$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$; and $p, q > 1 : \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then

$$\int_s^t |f(w)| |D^\alpha f(w)| e_0(t, w) d_\alpha w \leq$$

$$\left[\int_s^t \left(\int_s^w e_0(w, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right) e_0(t, w) d_\alpha w \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\left\{ \frac{\left(\int_s^t |D^\alpha f(w)|^q e_0(t, w) d_\alpha w \right)^2}{2} + \right. \quad (35)$$

$$\left. \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_s^t \left(\int_s^w |D^\alpha f(\tau)|^q e_0(w, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^2 k_1(\alpha, w) e_0(t, w) d_\alpha w \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Corollary 2. (to Theorem 2, for $n = 2$) Let f be twice continuously differentiable on $[t_0, \infty)$, $t, s \in [t_0, \infty)$, $t \geq s$, $f(s) = D^\alpha f(s) = 0$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$; and $p, q > 1 : \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Here it is $h_1(t, s) = \int_s^t \frac{d\tau}{k_0(\alpha, \tau)}$. Then

$$\int_s^t |f(w)| |(D^\alpha)^2 f(w)| e_0(t, w) d_\alpha w \leq$$

$$\left[\int_s^t \left(\int_s^w |h_1(\tau, w)|^p e_0(w, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right) e_0(t, w) d_\alpha w \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\left\{ \frac{\left(\int_s^t |(D^\alpha)^2 f(w)|^q e_0(t,w) d_\alpha w \right)^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_s^t \left(\int_s^w |(D^\alpha)^2 f(\tau)|^q e_0(w,\tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^2 k_1(\alpha,w) e_0(t,w) d_\alpha w \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{36}$$

It follows an Ostrowski like inequality.

Theorem 3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and f is n times continuously differentiable over $[a,b] \subset \mathbb{R}$; h_k as in (16). For fixed $s \in [a,b]$, assume that $(D^\alpha)^k f(s) = 0$, $k = 1, \dots, n-1$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, and let $p, q > 1 : \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then

$$\left| \int_a^b (f(t) - e_0(t,s)f(s)) dt \right| \leq \int_a^b |f(t) - e_0(t,s)f(s)| dt \leq \left[\left\| (D^\alpha)^n f \right\|_{L_q([a,s], e_0(\alpha, \cdot) d_\alpha \tau)} \left(\int_a^s \left(\int_t^s |h_{n-1}(\tau,t)|^p e_0(t,\tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \right) + \left\| (D^\alpha)^n f \right\|_{L_q([s,b], d_\alpha \tau)} \left(\int_s^b \left(\int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau,t)|^p e_0(t,\tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \right) \right] \tag{37}$$

Proof. Here $(D^\alpha)^k f(s) = 0$, $k = 1, \dots, n-1$; $t, s \in [a,b]$, s is fixed.

We have by (17) that

$$f(t) - e_0(t,s)f(s) = (-1)^{n-1} \int_s^t h_{n-1}(\tau,t) (D^\alpha)^n f(\tau) e_0(t,\tau) d_\alpha \tau.$$

Let $t \geq s$, then by Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t) - e_0(t,s)f(s)| &\leq \int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau,t)| |(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)| e_0(t,\tau) d_\alpha \tau = \\ &\int_s^t \left(|h_{n-1}(\tau,t)| (e_0(t,\tau))^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \left(|(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)| e_0(t,\tau)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right) d_\alpha \tau \leq \\ &\left(\int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau,t)|^p e_0(t,\tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_s^t |(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)|^q e_0(t,\tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \\ &\left(\int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau,t)|^p e_0(t,\tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_s^t |(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)|^q d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \\ &\left\| (D^\alpha)^n f \right\|_{L_q([s,b], d_\alpha \tau)} \left(\int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau,t)|^p e_0(t,\tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

So when, $s \leq t \leq b$, we got that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t) - e_0(t,s)f(s)| &\leq \\ &\left\| (D^\alpha)^n f \right\|_{L_q([s,b], d_\alpha \tau)} \left(\int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau,t)|^p e_0(t,\tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Let now $t \leq s$, then

$$-(f(t) - e_0(t,s)f(s)) = (-1)^{n-1} \int_t^s h_{n-1}(\tau,t) (D^\alpha)^n f(\tau) e_0(t,\tau) d_\alpha \tau. \tag{40}$$

Hence, it holds (again by Hölder's inequality)

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(t) - e_0(t, s) f(s)| &\leq \int_t^s h_{n-1}(\tau, t) |(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)| e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau = \\
 &\int_t^s h_{n-1}(\tau, t) (e_0(t, \tau))^{\frac{1}{p}} |(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)| e_0(t, \tau)^{\frac{1}{q}} d_\alpha \tau \leq \\
 &\left(\int_t^s (h_{n-1}(\tau, t))^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_t^s |(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)|^q e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \\
 &\left(\int_t^s (h_{n-1}(\tau, t))^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_a^s |(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)|^q e_0(\alpha, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \\
 &\left(\int_t^s (h_{n-1}(\tau, t))^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|(D^\alpha)^n f\|_{L_q([a, s], e_0(\alpha, \cdot) d_\alpha \tau)}. \tag{41}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, when, $a \leq t \leq s$, we found that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(t) - e_0(t, s) f(s)| &\leq \\
 &\|(D^\alpha)^n f\|_{L_q([a, s], e_0(\alpha, \cdot) d_\alpha \tau)} \left(\int_t^s (h_{n-1}(\tau, t))^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{42}
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_a^b (f(t) - e_0(t, s) f(s)) dt \right| &\leq \int_a^b |f(t) - e_0(t, s) f(s)| dt = \\
 &\int_a^s |f(t) - e_0(t, s) f(s)| dt + \int_s^b |f(t) - e_0(t, s) f(s)| dt \leq \\
 &\left[\left(\int_a^s \left(\int_t^s (h_{n-1}(\tau, t))^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \right) \|(D^\alpha)^n f\|_{L_q([a, s], e_0(\alpha, \cdot) d_\alpha \tau)} + \right. \\
 &\left. \left(\int_s^b \left(\int_s^t (|h_{n-1}(\tau, t)|)^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \right) \|(D^\alpha)^n f\|_{L_q([s, b], d_\alpha \tau)} \right]. \tag{43}
 \end{aligned}$$

The claim is proved.

Next comes a Poincaré type inequality.

Theorem 4. Let all as in Theorem 3, including $f(s) = 0$, and $a \leq s \leq t \leq b$. Then

$$\|f\|_{L_q([s, b])} \leq \left(\int_s^b \left(\int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau, t)|^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|(D^\alpha)^n f\|_{L_q([s, b], d_\alpha \tau)}. \tag{44}$$

Proof. Since $(D^\alpha)^k f(s) = 0$, for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$; $t \geq s$, we have

$$f(t) = (-1)^{n-1} \int_s^t h_{n-1}(\tau, t) (D^\alpha)^n f(\tau) e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau. \tag{45}$$

By Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(t)| &\leq \int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau, t)| |(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)| e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau = \\
 &\int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau, t)| (e_0(t, \tau))^{\frac{1}{p}} |(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)| e_0(t, \tau)^{\frac{1}{q}} d_\alpha \tau \leq
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau, t)|^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_s^t |(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)|^q e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \\ & \left(\int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau, t)|^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_s^b |(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)|^q e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

$\forall t \in [s, b]$.
Hence it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(t)|^q \leq \\ & \left(\int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau, t)|^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \left(\int_s^b |(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)|^q e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right) \leq \\ & \left(\int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau, t)|^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \left(\int_s^b |(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)|^q d_\alpha \tau \right) = \\ & \left(\int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau, t)|^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \|(D^\alpha)^n f\|_{L_q([s, b], d_\alpha \tau)}^q. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Consequently, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_s^b |f(t)|^q dt \leq \\ & \left(\int_s^b \left(\int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau, t)|^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dt \right) \|(D^\alpha)^n f\|_{L_q([s, b], d_\alpha \tau)}^q. \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Thus, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_s^b |f(t)|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \\ & \left(\int_s^b \left(\int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau, t)|^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|(D^\alpha)^n f\|_{L_q([s, b], d_\alpha \tau)}. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

The claim is proved.

It follows a Sobolev type inequality.

Theorem 5. All as in Theorem 4, $r \geq 1$. Then

$$\|f\|_{L_r([s, b])} \leq \left(\int_s^b \left(\int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau, t)|^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \|(D^\alpha)^n f\|_{L_q([s, b], d_\alpha \tau)}. \tag{51}$$

Proof. As in (47) we obtain

$$|f(t)| \leq \left(\int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau, t)|^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_s^b |(D^\alpha)^n f(\tau)|^q e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{52}$$

$$\leq \left(\int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau, t)|^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|(D^\alpha)^n f\|_{L_q([s, b], d_\alpha \tau)}, \tag{53}$$

$\forall t \in [s, b]$.
Hence, by $r \geq 1$, we obtain

$$|f(t)|^r \leq \left(\int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau, t)|^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} \|(D^\alpha)^n f\|_{L_q([s, b], d_\alpha \tau)}^r, \tag{54}$$

$\forall t \in [s, b]$.

Consequently, it holds

$$\int_s^b |f(t)|^r dt \leq \left(\int_s^b \left(\int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau, t)|^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} dt \right) \| (D^\alpha)^n f \|_{L_q([s, b], d_\alpha \tau)}^r. \quad (55)$$

Finally we get

$$\left(\int_s^b |f(t)|^r dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \left(\int_s^b \left(\int_s^t |h_{n-1}(\tau, t)|^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \| (D^\alpha)^n f \|_{L_q([s, b], d_\alpha \tau)}. \quad (56)$$

The claim is proved.

We continue with Polya type inequalities.

Corollary 3. (to Theorem 3) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f \in C^n([a, b])$; h_k as in (16). For fixed $s \in [a, b]$, assume that $(D^\alpha)^k f(s) = 0$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, and let $p, q > 1 : \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then

$$\left| \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \leq \int_a^b |f(t)| dt \leq \left[\| (D^\alpha)^n f \|_{L_q([a, s], e_0(\alpha, \cdot) d_\alpha \tau)} \left(\int_a^s \left(\int_t^s (h_{n-1}(\tau, t))^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \right) + \| (D^\alpha)^n f \|_{L_q([s, b], d_\alpha \tau)} \left(\int_s^b \left(\int_s^t (|h_{n-1}(\tau, t)|)^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \right) \right]. \quad (57)$$

Proof. By (37), just set $f(s) = 0$.

We give the following result.

Theorem 6. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in C^n([a, b])$; h_k as in (16). Assume that $(D^\alpha)^k f(a) = (D^\alpha)^k f(b) = 0$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, and let $p, q > 1 : \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then

$$\left| \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \leq \int_a^b |f(t)| dt \leq \| (D^\alpha)^n f \|_{L_q([a, \frac{a+b}{2}], d_\alpha \tau)} \left(\int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} \left(\int_a^t (|h_{n-1}(\tau, t)|)^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \right) + \| (D^\alpha)^n f \|_{L_q([\frac{a+b}{2}, b], e_0(\alpha, \cdot) d_\alpha \tau)} \left(\int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b \left(\int_t^b (h_{n-1}(\tau, t))^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \right).$$

Proof. Let $s = a$ and instead of b we choose $\frac{a+b}{2}$. Then, by (57), we derive

$$\left| \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} f(t) dt \right| \leq \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} |f(t)| dt \leq \| (D^\alpha)^n f \|_{L_q([a, \frac{a+b}{2}], d_\alpha \tau)} \left(\int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} \left(\int_a^t (|h_{n-1}(\tau, t)|)^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \right). \quad (59)$$

Next, assume that $s = b$ and instead of a we choose $\frac{a+b}{2}$.

Then, again by (57), we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b f(t) dt \right| \leq \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b |f(t)| dt \leq \| (D^\alpha)^n f \|_{L_q([\frac{a+b}{2}, b], e_0(\alpha, \cdot) d_\alpha \tau)} \left(\int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b \left(\int_t^b (h_{n-1}(\tau, t))^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \right). \tag{60}$$

Finally, we have that (by (59), (60))

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| &\leq \int_a^b |f(t)| dt = \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} |f(t)| dt + \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b |f(t)| dt \leq \\ &\| (D^\alpha)^n f \|_{L_q([a, \frac{a+b}{2}], d_\alpha \tau)} \left(\int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} \left(\int_a^t (|h_{n-1}(\tau, t)|)^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \right) + \\ &\| (D^\alpha)^n f \|_{L_q([\frac{a+b}{2}, b], e_0(\alpha, \cdot) d_\alpha \tau)} \left(\int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b \left(\int_t^b (h_{n-1}(\tau, t))^p e_0(t, \tau) d_\alpha \tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \right). \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

The claim is proved.

We finish with a Hilbert-Pachpatte type inequality.

Theorem 7. Here $j = 1, 2$. Let $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f_j \in C^{n_j}([a_j, b_j])$; h_{kj} as in (16). For fixed $s_j \in [a_j, b_j]$, assume that $(D^\alpha)^{k_j} f_j(s_j) = 0$, $k_j = 0, 1, \dots, n_j - 1$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, and let $p, q > 1 : \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{s_1}^{b_1} \int_{s_2}^{b_2} \frac{|f_1(t_1)| |f_2(t_2)| dt_1 dt_2}{\left[\frac{\left(\int_{s_1}^{t_1} (h_{n_1-1}(\tau_1, t_1))^p e_0(t_1, \tau_1) d_\alpha \tau_1 \right)}{p} + \frac{\left(\int_{s_2}^{t_2} (h_{n_2-1}(\tau_2, t_2))^q e_0(t_2, \tau_2) d_\alpha \tau_2 \right)}{q} \right]} \leq \\ &(b_1 - s_1)(b_2 - s_2) \left(\int_{s_1}^{b_1} |(D^\alpha)^{n_1} f_1(\tau_1)|^q d_\alpha \tau_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{s_2}^{b_2} |(D^\alpha)^{n_2} f_2(\tau_2)|^p d_\alpha \tau_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

Proof. Here $j = 1, 2$. Since $(D^\alpha)^{k_j} f_j(s_j) = 0$, $k_j = 0, 1, \dots, n_j - 1$; $t_j \geq s_j$, we have

$$f_j(t_j) = (-1)^{n_j-1} \int_{s_j}^{t_j} h_{n_j-1}(\tau_j, t_j) (D^\alpha)^{n_j} f_j(\tau_j) e_0(t_j, \tau_j) d_\alpha \tau_j. \tag{63}$$

As in (27) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f_1(t_1)| &\leq \left(\int_{s_1}^{t_1} |h_{n_1-1}(\tau_1, t_1)|^p e_0(t_1, \tau_1) d_\alpha \tau_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\left(\int_{s_1}^{t_1} |(D^\alpha)^{n_1} f_1(\tau_1)|^q e_0(t_1, \tau_1) d_\alpha \tau_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |f_2(t_2)| &\leq \left(\int_{s_2}^{t_2} |h_{n_2-1}(\tau_2, t_2)|^q e_0(t_2, \tau_2) d_\alpha \tau_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\left(\int_{s_2}^{t_2} |(D^\alpha)^{n_2} f_2(\tau_2)|^p e_0(t_2, \tau_2) d_\alpha \tau_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Hence

$$|f_1(t_1)| |f_2(t_2)| \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{s_1}^{t_1} |h_{n_1-1}(\tau_1, t_1)|^p e_0(t_1, \tau_1) d\alpha \tau_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{s_2}^{t_2} |h_{n_2-1}(\tau_2, t_2)|^q e_0(t_2, \tau_2) d\alpha \tau_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \left(\int_{s_1}^{t_1} |(D^\alpha)^{n_1} f_1(\tau_1)|^q e_0(t_1, \tau_1) d\alpha \tau_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{s_2}^{t_2} |(D^\alpha)^{n_2} f_2(\tau_2)|^p e_0(t_2, \tau_2) d\alpha \tau_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

(using Young's inequality for $a^*, b^* \geq 0, a^{\frac{1}{p}} b^{*\frac{1}{q}} \leq \frac{a^*}{p} + \frac{b^*}{q}$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \left[\frac{\left(\int_{s_1}^{t_1} |h_{n_1-1}(\tau_1, t_1)|^p e_0(t_1, \tau_1) d\alpha \tau_1 \right)}{p} + \frac{\left(\int_{s_2}^{t_2} |h_{n_2-1}(\tau_2, t_2)|^q e_0(t_2, \tau_2) d\alpha \tau_2 \right)}{q} \right] \\ & \left(\int_{s_1}^{t_1} |(D^\alpha)^{n_1} f_1(\tau_1)|^q e_0(t_1, \tau_1) d\alpha \tau_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{s_2}^{t_2} |(D^\alpha)^{n_2} f_2(\tau_2)|^p e_0(t_2, \tau_2) d\alpha \tau_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

Thus, it holds

$$\int_{s_1}^{b_1} \int_{s_2}^{b_2} \frac{|f_1(t_1)| |f_2(t_2)| dt_1 dt_2}{\left[\frac{\left(\int_{s_1}^{t_1} |h_{n_1-1}(\tau_1, t_1)|^p e_0(t_1, \tau_1) d\alpha \tau_1 \right)}{p} + \frac{\left(\int_{s_2}^{t_2} |h_{n_2-1}(\tau_2, t_2)|^q e_0(t_2, \tau_2) d\alpha \tau_2 \right)}{q} \right]}$$

(denominator can be zero only when both $t_1 = s_1$ and $t_2 = s_2$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \left(\int_{s_1}^{b_1} \left(\int_{s_1}^{t_1} |(D^\alpha)^{n_1} f_1(\tau_1)|^q e_0(t_1, \tau_1) d\alpha \tau_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} dt_1 \right) \\ & \left(\int_{s_2}^{b_2} \left(\int_{s_2}^{t_2} |(D^\alpha)^{n_2} f_2(\tau_2)|^p e_0(t_2, \tau_2) d\alpha \tau_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt_2 \right) \leq \\ & \left(\int_{s_1}^{b_1} \left(\int_{s_1}^{t_1} |(D^\alpha)^{n_1} f_1(\tau_1)|^q d\alpha \tau_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} dt_1 \right) \\ & \left(\int_{s_2}^{b_2} \left(\int_{s_2}^{t_2} |(D^\alpha)^{n_2} f_2(\tau_2)|^p d\alpha \tau_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt_2 \right) \leq \\ & \left(\int_{s_1}^{b_1} \left(\int_{s_1}^{t_1} |(D^\alpha)^{n_1} f_1(\tau_1)|^q d\alpha \tau_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} dt_1 \right) \\ & \left(\int_{s_2}^{b_2} \left(\int_{s_2}^{t_2} |(D^\alpha)^{n_2} f_2(\tau_2)|^p d\alpha \tau_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt_2 \right) = \\ & (b_1 - s_1)(b_2 - s_2) \left(\int_{s_1}^{b_1} |(D^\alpha)^{n_1} f_1(\tau_1)|^q d\alpha \tau_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{s_2}^{b_2} |(D^\alpha)^{n_2} f_2(\tau_2)|^p d\alpha \tau_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

proving the claim.

References

- [1] D. R. Anderson and D. J. Ulness, Newly defined conformable derivatives, *Adv. Dyn. Syst. Appl.* **10**(2), 109–137 (2015).
- [2] Y. Li, K.H. Ang and G. C. Y. Chog, PID control systems analysis and design, *IEEE Contr. Syst. Mag.* **26**(1), 32–41 (2006).
- [3] G. A. Anastassiou, *Probabilistic inequalities*, World Scientific, New Jersey, Singapore, 2010.
- [4] G. A. Anastassiou, *Advanced inequalities*, World Scientific, New Jersey, Singapore, 2011.
- [5] G. A. Anastassiou, *Intelligent comparisons: analytic inequalities*, Springer, Heidelberg, New York, 2016.