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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate an inclusive innovative fourth-order nonlinear Kadomtsev-Petviashvili-like model, a threedimensional nonlinear partial differential equation. The focus is on utilizing the Lie symmetry method to derive exact solutions that demonstrate significant advancements in the model. Initially, a systematic approach is employed to compute the Lie point symmetries of the equation. These symmetries play a crucial role in identifying a diverse range of group invariant results for the model. The obtained solutions encompass logarithmic, exponential, and hyperbolic functions, as well as elliptic integral functions, with the latter being the most general solutions. Additionally, several noteworthy algebraic function solutions are also discovered. This research distinguishes itself by presenting a wealth of results that exhibit substantial variation. Furthermore, the dynamics of the solutions are thoroughly explored through diagrammatic analysis using computer software. Towards the conclusion, Ibragimov's theorem is applied to construct various conservation laws for the underlying model. This technique yields a multitude of conservation laws, which are subsequently discussed and highlighted.
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## 1 Introduction

Nonlinear partial differential equations (NLNPADEs) remain the subject of much research that is done today. This is due to their unquestionable role in attempting to model natural and man-made relationships between physical quantities. In recent times, significant inroads have been made in coming up with algorithms for handling NLNPADEs, with much credit due to the advancement of computers and their computational power. Nevertheless, great minds have had to lay the theoretical foundations upon which these technologies are built.

Lately, many researchers who have a keen interest in the nonlinear physical phenomena have delved into examining exact solutions of NLNPADEs due to their relevance in analyzing the outcome of any given model. Therefore, it is germane that the research into closed-form
solutions to NLNPADEs serves a very crucial purpose in observing certain physical circumstances. Besides, the diversity of solutions of NLNPADEs occupies an essential position in a variety of areas of sciences inclusive of optical fibres, chemical physics, geochemistry, biology, hydrodynamics, chemical kinematics, meteorology, heat flow, plasma physics together with electromagnetic theory. Given the aforementioned and for emphasis, having realized that sizable scientists have contemplated nonlinear science as the most outstanding borderline for fundamental cognition of nature, we present some pertinent models that include an investigation in [1] was carried out on the modified as well as generalized Zakharov-Kuznetsov model, delineating the ion-acoustic meandering solitary waves resident in a magneto-plasma and possessive of electron-positron-ion observable in the autochthonous universe. This model was utilized in representing

[^0]dust-magneto-acoustic, and ion-acoustic, together with dust-ion-acoustic waves in the laboratory dusty plasmas. Additionally, the bright solitons, alongside their various interaction attribute related to the coupled Fokas-Lenells system was studied in reference [2].

Femtosecond optical pulses embedded in a double-refractive optical fibre, modeled into an NLNPADE, was further investigated. Moreover, the Boussinesq-Burgers-type system recounting shallow water waves and also emerging near ocean beaches and lakes was given attention in the paper [3]. We can continue with the list, but we mention a few. See more, e.g., in [4-16].

Now, having established the fact that no general technique in achieving various exact traveling wave results of NLNPADEs has been found, researchers have come up with some sound, effective, and efficient techniques so that the seemingly nagging problem could be nibbed in the bud. Some of these techniques include bifurcation technique [17], Painlevé expansion [18], homotopy perturbation technique [19], tanh-coth approach [20], extended homoclinic test approach [21], Cole-Hopf transformation technique [22], Adomian decomposition approach [23], Bäcklund transformation [24], Lie symmetry analysis [25, 26], F-expansion technique [27], rational expansion technique [28], tan-cot technique [29], Hirota technique [30], Darboux transformation [31], tanh-function technique [32], the $\left(G^{\prime} / G\right)$-expansion technique [33], sine-Gordon equation expansion technique [34], generalized unified technique [35], exponential function technique [36], and so on and so forth.

Take for example, Sophus Lie (1842-1899), with his quintessential work on Lie Algebras [25,26] is essentially a unified approach for the treatment of a wide class of differential equations (DEs). More recent methods of solving DEs include Hirota's bilinear method [37], simplest equation method [38], multiple exponential function method [39], Kudryashov's method [40], extended simplest equation approach [41], just to mention a few. Since the inception of Kadomtsev and Petviashvili's hierarchy of equations a little more than half a century ago, dozens of research papers have emerged, each exploring an aspect of this rich domain of equations, see for example, [42-48]. Basically, the standard Kadomtsev-Petviashvili model (KPm) is given as [49]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{t}+6 u u_{x}+u_{x x x}\right)_{x}+u_{y y}=0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The KPm is established as a common generalization of the well-recognized Korteweg-de Vries equation (KdVe). In the KdVe , waves are stringently unidimensional. Nonetheless, in the KP model, this stringency is slackened. Both the KdVe as well as the KPm are completely integrable. The KP hierarchy has an infinite number of bilinear equations [50]. These
hierarchies contain the extended form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{t}-6 u u_{x}+u_{x x x}\right)_{x}+a u_{t t}+b u_{t y}-u_{y y}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$.
In particular, this model furnishes an extension of the KPm (1). We remark that its KPm extended version (eKPmm) emerges in [51] as non-integrable which is an example revealing the extent to which Hirota's technique can be applied with regards to systems that are not integrable. In addition, as asserted in [51], the eKPmm model is non-integrable due to the reason that it possesses no three-soliton solution unless we have $a=b^{2} / 12$ contingent upon the fact that it is transformable to (1). Therefore, upon introducing the additional terms presented as $a u_{t t}$ alongside $b u_{t y}$ engenders the extended model to be non-integrable in comparison with various other extended KPm where the added terms do not suppress or terminate as it were, the integrability property of the equation (see for example [52]). Moreover, it is from this hierarchy that we also obtained the B-type KP equations, BKP in short. Additionally, there are other derived varieties of models of KP referred as the extended KP-Like equation (exKp-Likeq) that reads [53]:
$w_{t x}+3 w_{x} w_{x x}+3 w w_{x}^{2}+\frac{3}{2} w^{3} w_{x}+\frac{3}{2} w^{2} w_{x x}+w_{y y}=0$,
where $w=w(t, x, y)$.
Some researchers have examined exKp-Likeq (3), intending to achieve some solutions to the model. For instance, the authors in [53] studied (3) via the generalized bilinear differential equation related to KP type given as [30]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(D_{t t t} D_{x x x}+D_{x x x}^{4}+D_{y y y}^{2}\right) f \cdot f=  \tag{4}\\
& 2 f_{t x} f-2 f_{t} f_{x}+6 f_{x x}^{2}+2 f f_{y y}-2 f_{y}^{2}=0
\end{align*}
$$

to seek various results in polynomial structures. Eventually, nine classes of rational solutions were secured through the use of Maple symbolic computation.

Moreover, we have the three dimensional KP-like equation that reads [54]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{t x}+\frac{3}{2} w^{3} w_{x}+\frac{3}{2} w^{2} w_{x x}+  \tag{5}\\
& 3 w w_{x}^{2}+3 w_{x} w_{x x}+w_{y y}+w_{z z}=0
\end{align*}
$$

which is observed to be an extended version of (3). So (5) is called the extended Kadomtsev-Petviashvili-like equation (extKP-Lke). Lü et al. adopted the generalized bilinear operators with $p=3$ to generate eighteen classes of rational solutions to extKP-Lke (5). They were able to accomplish a search for polynomial solutions associated with generalized bilinear equations via symbolic computations. Later, in [55], the authors engaged the concept of Lie group theory to compute exact solutions of (5). Moreover, Kudryashov as well as power series
techniques, were employed to achieve more solutions to the equation. Conservation laws of (5) were constructed via Ibragimov's method. Besides, in [56] the authors obtained various copious invariant solutions associated with extKP-Lke (5) using the symmetry method.

One other member of KP hierarchy is the Bousinesque-Kadomtsev-Petviashvili, abbreviated as BKP and one of the families of these BKP equations is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t z}-u_{x x x y}-3\left(u_{x} u_{y}\right)_{x}+3 u_{x x}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation has been the subject of much research [43-46]. Studies have shown that in [57], the author articulated the multiple exponential-function approaches in handling BKP (6) using symbolic computation in dealing with the involved computational algebraic systems. As a result, the shape-changing character of the anti-kink solution of (6) was explored. Besides, Ma and Fan in [44] utilized the analyzed linear superposition principle associated with exponential travelling waves for Hirota bilinear equations. In consequence, the construction of a specific sub-class of $N$-soliton solutions was achieved via the linear combinations of these exponential travelling waves which were later applied to secure some particular $N$-wave solutions. In their recent work, researchers in [43] successfully derived two new NLNPADEs from equation (6) and simultaneously established the validity of the equations by using the simplified linear superposition principle [44]. Furthermore, there are other derived varieties of models of KP referred to as KP-like equations. One such is the derived equation in [43], that is the (3+1)-dimensional Kadomtsev-Petviashvili like equation (3D-KPLike)

$$
\begin{align*}
& a u_{t x}+b u_{t y}+c u_{t z}-d u_{x x x y}- \\
& \quad 3 u_{x} u_{x y}-3 u_{x x} u_{y}+e u_{x x}=0, \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

with arbitrary non-zero constants $a, b, c, d$ and $e$. The authors went on to obtain generalized resonant multi-solitons whose existence, according to the authors, justifies the validity of the equation. We state categorically here for novelty, that model (7) is a new equation which has not been comprehensively examined using Lie symmetry analysis. Therefore, in this paper, for the first time, a detailed and comprehensive study of equation (7) is performed using its Lie algebras with a view to generating various exact solutions of the equation. We intend to use the symmetries to find group-invariant solutions of equation (7). Therefore in Section 2, stepwise computations of Lie point symmetries associated with (7) are outlined. Section 3 reveals the reductions of the underlying model using the obtained symmetries so that various possible exact solutions could be found. Besides, Section 4 is dedicated to the display of various graphical representations of the obtained solutions. Finally, we compute conservation laws using Ibragimov's theorem in Section 5. The concluding remarks are made thereafter.

## 2 Lie symmetries of 3D-KPLike (7)

We begin by extracting the Lie symmetries associated with the 3D-KPLike (7). A generic infinitesimal generator of (7) takes the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\xi^{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\xi^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\xi^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\xi^{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\eta \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi^{1}, \xi^{2}, \xi^{3}, \xi^{4}$ and $\eta$ are functions of $(t, x, y, z)$. Generator (8) must, however, conform to the invariance condition:

$$
\begin{align*}
X^{[4]}\left(a u_{t x}+b\right. & u_{t y}+c u_{t z}-d u_{x x x y} \\
& \left.-3 u_{x} u_{x y}-3 u_{x x} u_{y}+e u_{x x}\right)\left.\right|_{(7)}=0 . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $X^{[4]}$ is the third extension of the generator $X$ given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
X^{[3]}= & X+\zeta_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x}}+\zeta_{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{y}} \\
& +\zeta_{t x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t x}}+\zeta_{t y} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t y}}+\zeta_{t z} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t z}}  \tag{10}\\
& +\zeta_{x x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x x}}+\zeta_{x y} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x y}}+\zeta_{x x x y} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x x x y}}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\zeta_{x}, \zeta_{y}, \zeta_{t x}, \zeta_{t y}, \zeta_{t z}, \zeta_{x x}, \zeta_{x y}$ and $\zeta_{x x x y}$ defined by:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\zeta_{x}=D_{x}(\eta)-u_{t} D_{x}\left(\xi^{1}\right)-u_{x} D_{x}\left(\xi^{2}\right)- \\
u_{y} D_{x}\left(\xi^{3}\right)-u_{z} D_{x}\left(\xi^{4}\right), \\
\zeta_{y}=D_{y}(\eta)-u_{t} D_{x}\left(\xi^{1}\right)-u_{x} D_{x}\left(\xi^{2}\right)- \\
u_{y} D_{x}\left(\xi^{3}\right)-u_{z} D_{x}\left(\xi^{4}\right), \\
\zeta_{t x}=D_{x}\left(\zeta_{t}\right)-u_{t t} D_{x}\left(\xi^{1}\right)-u_{t x} D_{x}\left(\xi^{2}\right)- \\
u_{t y} D_{x}\left(\xi^{3}\right)-u_{t z} D_{x}\left(\xi^{4}\right), \\
\zeta_{t y}=D_{y}\left(\zeta_{t}\right)-u_{t t} D_{y}\left(\xi^{1}\right)-u_{t x} D_{y}\left(\xi^{2}\right)- \\
u_{t y} D_{y}\left(\xi^{3}\right)-u_{t z} D_{y}\left(\xi^{4}\right), \\
\zeta_{t z}=D_{z}\left(\zeta_{t}\right)-u_{t t} D_{z}\left(\xi^{1}\right)-u_{t x} D_{z}\left(\xi^{2}\right)- \\
u_{t y} D_{z}\left(\xi^{3}\right)-u_{t z} D_{z}\left(\xi^{4}\right), \\
\zeta_{x x}=D_{x}\left(\zeta_{x}\right)-u_{x t} D_{x}\left(\xi^{1}\right)-u_{x x} D_{x}\left(\xi^{2}\right)- \\
u_{x y} D_{x}\left(\xi^{3}\right)-u_{x z} D_{x}\left(\xi^{4}\right), \\
\zeta_{x y}=D_{y}\left(\zeta_{x}\right)-u_{x t} D_{y}\left(\xi^{1}\right)-u_{x x} D_{y}\left(\xi^{2}\right)- \\
u_{x y} D_{y}\left(\xi^{3}\right)-u_{x z} D_{y}\left(\xi^{4}\right), \\
\zeta_{x x x}=D_{x}\left(\zeta_{x x}\right)-u_{x x t} D_{x}\left(\xi^{1}\right)-u_{x x x} D_{x}\left(\xi^{2}\right)- \\
u_{x x y} D_{z}\left(\xi^{3}\right)-u_{x x z} D_{x}\left(\xi^{4}\right), \\
\zeta_{x x x y}=D_{y}\left(\zeta_{x x x}\right)-u_{x x x t} D_{y}\left(\xi^{1}\right)-u_{x x x x} D_{y}\left(\xi^{2}\right)- \\
u_{x x x y} D_{y}\left(\xi^{3}\right)-u_{x x x z} D_{y}\left(\xi^{4}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $D_{t}, D_{x}, D_{y}$ and $D_{z}$ are the total derivatives, which are given by:

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+u_{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+u_{t t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t}}+u_{t x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x}}+ \\
u_{t y} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{y}}+u_{t z} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{z}}+\cdots, \\
D_{x}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+u_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+u_{x x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x}}+u_{x t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t}}+ \\
u_{x y} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{y}}+u_{x z} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{z}}+\cdots, \\
D_{y}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y}+u_{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+u_{y y} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{y}}+u_{y t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t}}+  \tag{11}\\
u_{y x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x}}+u_{y z} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{z}}+\cdots \\
D_{z}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z}+u_{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+u_{z z} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{z}}+u_{z t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t}}+ \\
u_{z y} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{y}}+u_{z x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x}}+\cdots
\end{gather*}
$$

Expanding (9) and splitting leads to the following Lie symmetries:

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{1}= & \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad X_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad X_{3}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad X_{4}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\
X_{5}= & c t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-a z \frac{\partial}{\partial x}-b z \frac{\partial}{\partial y}-c z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\
X_{6}= & 3 c t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-3(b z-c y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+c e y \frac{\partial}{\partial u}  \tag{12}\\
X_{7}= & 2 c t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-(a z-c x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+ \\
& (b z-c y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}-c u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \\
X_{G}= & G(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad X_{F}=F(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial u}
\end{align*}
$$

where $G$ and $F$ are arbitrary functions of $t$ and $z$ respectively.

## 3 Symmetry reductions and exact solutions of (7)

In this section, we obtain different types of exact solutions of the 3D-KPLike (7) by utilizing the symmetries (12).

### 3.1 Invariant solutions under the symmetry $X_{1}+X_{G}$

First we take into account symmetry $X_{1}+X_{G}$ which furnishes invariants:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
Q(\xi, \eta, \zeta)+\int G(t) d t=u(t, x, y, z)  \tag{13}\\
\xi=x, \eta=y, \zeta=z
\end{array}
$$

Inserting the value of $u$ in the equation (7) yields the NLNPADE

$$
\begin{equation*}
e Q_{\xi, \xi}-3 Q_{\eta} Q_{\xi \xi}-3 Q_{\xi} Q_{\xi \eta}-d Q_{\xi \xi \xi \eta}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be easily integrated using Maple. Thus, we gain the solution of 3D-KPLike (7) in this regard as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(t, x, y, z)=\int G(t) d t+A_{4}+ \\
& \quad 2 d A_{2} \tanh \left(A_{2} x+\frac{e}{4 d A_{2}} y+A_{3} z+A_{1}\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where arbitrary constants $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{4}$ are involved. Furthermore, we observe that (14) possesses the symmetries:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}, \quad M_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}, \quad M_{3}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \\
& M_{4}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}, \\
& M_{5}=\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}+\zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}+\left(\frac{1}{3} e \eta+\zeta-Q\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial Q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We invoke $M_{1}$ and this furnishes the invariants $W(r, s)=Q(\xi, \eta, \zeta), r=\xi$ and $s=\eta$. Using these invariants, (14) reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e W_{r r}-3 W_{s} W_{r r}-3 W_{r} W_{r s}-d W_{r r r s}=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (16), we achieve the solution of 3D-KPLike (7) as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u(t, x, y, z)=2 d C_{1} \tanh \left(C_{1} x+\frac{e}{4 d C_{1}} y+C_{0}\right) \\
+\int G(t) d t+C_{2} \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

with arbitrary constants $C_{0}, C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$. Further exploration of (16) gives its symmetries as:
$J_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial r}+F_{1}(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s}+\frac{1}{3} e F_{1}(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial W}$,
$J_{2}=F_{2}(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s}+\left(\frac{1}{3} e F_{1}(s)+1\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial W}$,
$J_{3}=r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+F_{3}(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s}+\left(\frac{1}{3} e F_{3}(s)+\frac{1}{3} e s s-W\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial W}$.
By taking $F_{i}=1, i=1,2,3$, the symmetry $J_{1}$ yields the invariants $H(w)=W(r, s), w=s-r$ and making use of them further reduces (7) to the nonlinear ordinary differential equation (NLNODE) given as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 e H^{\prime \prime}(w)-6 H^{\prime}(w) H^{\prime \prime}(w)+d H^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}(w)=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for symmetry $J_{2}$, we have $H(w)+\left(\frac{1}{3} e+1\right) s=$ $W(r, s)$, where $w=r$, hence transforming (7) further to
linear ordinary differential equation (LNODE) $H^{\prime \prime}(w)=$ 0 . This, then gives a solution of the equation (7) as:

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t, x, y, z)=B_{1} x+ & \left(\frac{1}{3} e+1\right) y  \tag{19}\\
& +\int G(t) d t+B_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are arbitrary constants. In the case of symmetry $J_{3}$, we have invariants $\frac{1}{3 r}\{3 H(w)+(e r+1) s\}=W(r, s), w=-\ln (r)$, thus reducing (7) to:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 11 d H^{\prime \prime}(w)-12 H^{\prime}(w)^{2}-6 H^{\prime}(w) H^{\prime \prime}(w) \\
& \quad-9 H(w) H^{\prime}(w)+6 d H^{\prime}(w)-3 H(w) H^{\prime \prime}(w)  \tag{20}\\
& \quad+6 d H^{\prime \prime \prime}(w)+d H^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}(w)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Exploring $J_{2}$ gives a trivial solution of (7) whereas $J_{3}$ purveys $W(r, s)=Q(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$, where $r=\xi$ and $s=\zeta$. Hence, (7) gives $W_{r r}=0$ and so we have a solution of 3D-KPLike (7) in this regard as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x, y, z)=f_{1}(z) x+f_{2}(z)+\int G(t) d t \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{1}(z)$ and $f_{2}(z)$ are arbitrary functions of $z$.
Now, we consider the symmetry $M_{4}$ and so, we have $W(r, s)=Q(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$, where $r=\eta-\xi$ and $s=\zeta-\xi$. Substituting this in (7), one achieves the NLNPADE:

$$
\begin{align*}
& e W_{r r}+e W_{s s}+2 e W_{r s}-6 W_{r} W_{r r}-9 W_{r} W_{r s} \\
& \quad-3 W_{r} W_{s s}-3 W_{s} W_{r r}-3 W_{s} W_{r s}+d W_{r r r s}  \tag{22}\\
& \quad+3 d W_{r r s s}+d W_{r s s s}+d W_{r r r r}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we achieve a solution of the 3D-KPLike (7) as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(t, x, y, z)=\int G(t) d t  \tag{23}\\
& +C_{3}+C_{2} \tanh \left[C_{1}(y-x)-C_{1}(z-x)+C_{0}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where arbitrary constants $C_{0}, C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ exist. Further exploration of equation (22) yields three symmetries presented as:
$I_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial}{\partial s}+\frac{1}{3} e \frac{\partial}{\partial W}, I_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\left(\frac{1}{3} e+1\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial W}$,
$I_{3}=(r+1) \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+s \frac{\partial}{\partial s}+\left\{\frac{1}{3} e+\frac{2}{3} e(r-s)-W\right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial W}$.
Using symmetry $I_{1}$ purveys a trivial solution of (7). However, in case of $I_{2}$, following the above procedure, one secures $\frac{1}{3} e r+H(w)+r=W(r, s), w=s$, which reduces
(7) to LNODE $H^{\prime \prime}(w)=0$. Solving this equation, one achieves the solution:

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t, x, y, z)=A_{1}(z-x)+ & \left\{\frac{1}{3} e+1\right\}(y-x)  \tag{24}\\
& +\int G(t) d t+A_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

which satisfies 3D-KPLike (7) with $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ serving as arbitrary integration constants. Meanwhile, symmetry generator $I_{3}$ gives no result of interest.

Now, we examine the symmetry $M_{5}$. This furnishes $\frac{1}{6 \xi}\{2 e \xi \eta+3 \xi \zeta+6 W(r, s)\}=Q(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$ with $r=\eta$ and $s=\zeta / \xi$. Invoking these invariants, (7) transforms into NLNPADE:

$$
\begin{align*}
18 d s & W_{r, s}-3 s^{2} W_{r} W_{s s}-3 s^{2} W_{s} W_{r s} \\
& \quad+9 d s^{2} W_{r s s}-15 s W_{r} W_{s}-3 s W W_{r, s}  \tag{25}\\
& -9 W W_{r}+6 d W_{r}+d s^{3} W_{r s s s}=0
\end{align*}
$$

which can be integrated using Maple. Thus, the 3DKPLike (7) has the solution:

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t, x, y, z)= & \frac{2 e x y+3 x z+6 f_{1}(y) f_{2}\left(\frac{z}{x}\right)}{6 x}  \tag{26}\\
& +\int G(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

with arbitrary functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ depending on their respective arguments. Moreover, the symmetries of (25) are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial r}+s \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \quad K_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{s} \frac{\partial}{\partial W} \\
& K_{3}=\frac{\partial}{\partial r}+s^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}-s W \frac{\partial}{\partial W}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case of symmetry $K_{1}$, one secures $H(w)=W(r, s), w=s / \exp (r)$ as invariants, which reduces (7) to NLNODE:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 18 w^{2} H^{\prime}(w)^{2}-24 d w H^{\prime}(w)+12 w H(w) H^{\prime}(w) \\
& \quad-36 d w^{2} H^{\prime \prime}(w)+3 w^{2} H(w) H^{\prime \prime}(w)  \tag{27}\\
& +6 w^{3} H^{\prime}(w) H^{\prime \prime}(w)-12 d w^{3} H^{\prime \prime \prime}(w) \\
& \quad-d w^{4} H^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}(w)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we deal with $K_{2}$, which furnishes $\frac{1}{s}\{r+s H(w)\}=W(r, s)$ with $w=s$. Substituting the achieved result in (25) gives the ordinary differential equation (ORDE):

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 H(w)+12 w H^{\prime}(w)+3 w^{2} H^{\prime \prime}(w)=0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which solves and yields the solution of 3D-KPLike (7) as:

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t, x, y, z) & =\frac{1}{6 x}\left\{2 e x y+3 x z+\frac{6 x}{z}\right. \\
\{ & \left.\left\{y+\frac{z}{x}\left[\left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{2} C_{0}+\left(\frac{x}{z}\right) C_{1}\right]\right\}\right\}  \tag{29}\\
+ & \int G(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

where constants $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ are both integration constants. Next, we take on $K_{3}$, which yields $\frac{1}{s} H(w)=W(r, s)$ with $w=(1+r s) / s$. This further reduces (7) to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 H^{\prime}(w) H^{\prime \prime}(w)+d H^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}(w)=0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we gain a solution of (7) here with regards to Weierstrass zeta function [58]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(t, x, y, z)=\frac{1}{6 z}\left\{3 z^{2}+2 e y z\right. \\
& \left.+12 d \text { WeierstrassZeta }\left[\frac{1}{z}\left(x+y z+C_{0} z\right) ; 0 ; C_{1}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\int G(t) d t \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where integration constants $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ exist.

### 3.2 Invariant solutions under the symmetry $X_{2}+X_{F}$

Here, we explore the symmetry $X_{2}+X_{F}$ and it purveys the invariants $Q(\xi, \eta, \zeta)+x F(t)=u(t, x, y, z), \quad \xi=$ $t, \eta=y, \zeta=z$, which transforms (7) to yield the partial differential equation $a F(t)+b Q_{\xi \eta}+c Q_{\xi \zeta}=0$. This can be easily solved and consequently, the 3D-KPLike (7) has the solution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x, y, z)=f_{1}(y, z)+f_{2}(t, b z-c y)-\frac{a}{b} t y F^{\prime}(t) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are arbitrary and dependent on their stated arguments.

### 3.3 Invariant solutions under the symmetry $X_{3}+X_{F}$

The symmetry $X_{3}+X_{F}$ secures the invariants $Q(\xi, \eta, \zeta)+$ $y F(t)=u(t, x, y, z), \xi=t, \eta=x$, and $\zeta=z$. Invoking these invariants, equation (7) reduces to the NLNPADE:
$a Q_{\xi \eta}+b F^{\prime}(t)+c Q_{\xi \zeta}-3 F(t) Q_{\eta \eta}+e Q_{\eta \eta}=0$.

Solving this equation, we gain a set of solutions of the 3DKPLike (7) as:

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t, x, y, z)= & f_{1}(t)+f_{2}(x)+f_{3}(z)-\frac{e c_{0}}{c} t z \\
& +z\left(\frac{3 c_{0}}{c} \int F(t) d t-\frac{b}{c} F(t)\right) \tag{34a}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(t, x, y, z)= f_{1}(t) f_{2}(x) f_{3}(z)- \\
& \frac{b F^{\prime}(t)}{A_{0}(e-3 F(t))}\left(\frac{1}{2} A_{0} x^{2}+A_{1} x+A_{2}\right)  \tag{34b}\\
& u(t, x, y, z)= y F(t)-\frac{e c_{1}}{c} t z-\frac{b}{c} z F(t) \\
&+\frac{3 c_{1}}{c} z \int F(t) d t+f_{4}(t)+f_{5}(z)  \tag{34c}\\
&+\frac{1}{2} c_{1} x^{2}+C_{0} x+C_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where functions $f_{1}(t), f_{2}(x), f_{3}(z), f_{4}(t), f_{5}(z)$ are arbitrary. To secure more robust results we take $F(t)=1$ in the PDE (33) and then the resulting equation admits the following symmetries:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}, \quad M_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}, \quad M_{3}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \\
& M_{4}=Q \frac{\partial}{\partial Q}, \quad M_{5}=\frac{1}{2 c}(a \zeta+c \eta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}+\zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \\
& M_{6}=\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}-\frac{1}{2 c}(a \zeta-c \eta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}, \\
& M_{7}=\frac{1}{a}(a \zeta-c \eta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}+\frac{2}{a}(e-3) \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}, \\
& M_{8}=\frac{1}{2} \eta \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}+\frac{1}{2} \zeta^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}-\frac{1}{8 c(e-3)}(a \zeta-c \eta)^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}- \\
& \frac{1}{4} Q \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial Q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We engage the combination of $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{4}$ and this yields the invariant functions $\exp (\xi) W(r, s)=Q(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$, $r=\eta-\xi$ and $s=\zeta-\xi$. Using these results, (7) reduces to:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a W_{r r}-e W_{r r}+a W_{r s}+c W_{r s}- \\
& \quad a W_{r}+c W_{s s}-c W_{s}+3 W_{r r}=0, \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

which admits the two translation symmetries $\partial / \partial r$ and $\partial / \partial s$. Their linear combination $\partial / \partial r+\alpha_{1} \partial / \partial s$ provides invariants $H(w)=W(r, s)$ and $w=\left(s \alpha_{0}-r \alpha_{1}\right) / \alpha_{0}$ and these transform (7) to NLNODE:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a \alpha_{1}^{2} H^{\prime \prime}(w)-a \alpha_{0} \alpha_{1} H^{\prime \prime}(w)+c \alpha_{0}^{2} H^{\prime \prime}(w) \\
& -c \alpha_{0} \alpha_{1} H^{\prime \prime}(w)-e \alpha_{1}^{2} H^{\prime \prime}(w)+a \alpha_{0} \alpha_{1} H^{\prime}(w)  \tag{36}\\
& -c \alpha_{0}^{2} H^{\prime}(w)+3 \alpha_{1}^{2} H^{\prime \prime}(w)=0
\end{align*}
$$

This ORDE can be easily solved and consequently, we achieve a solution of the 3D-KPLike (7) as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u(t, x, y, z)=\exp (t)\left\{A_{0}+A_{1} \exp \left\{\frac{\alpha_{0}\left(a \alpha_{1}-c \alpha_{0}\right)}{\Lambda}\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.\times\left[\frac{1}{\alpha_{0}}\left\{\alpha_{0}(z-t)-\alpha_{1}(x-t)\right\}\right]\right\}\right\}+y \tag{37}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Lambda=a \alpha_{0} \alpha_{1}-a \alpha_{1}^{2}-c \alpha_{0}^{2}+c \alpha_{0} \alpha_{1}+$ $e \alpha_{1}^{2}-3 \alpha_{1}^{2}, A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ are arbitrary integration constants. Next, we make use of the symmetry $M_{5}$ and see that it produces the invariants $W(r, s)=Q(\xi, \eta, \zeta), r=\xi$ and $s=\frac{1}{c \sqrt{\zeta}}(c \eta-a \zeta)$, thus reducing (7) to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 e W_{s s}-c s W_{r s}-6 W_{s s}=0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving the above equation yields the solution of the 3DKPLike (7) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(t, x, y, z)=y+f_{1}(t)+ \\
& \quad \int f_{2}\left(\frac{1}{c}\left[c \Omega^{2}+(4 e-12) t\right]\right) d \Omega \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\Omega=\frac{1}{c \sqrt{z}}(c x-a z)$ as well as arbitrary functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ depending on their respective arguments. Now for the symmetry $M_{6}$, the invariants are $W(r, s)=Q(\xi, \eta, \zeta), r=\frac{1}{c \sqrt{\xi}}(c \eta-a \zeta)$ and $s=\zeta$, which reduces (7) to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 e W_{r r}-c r W_{r s}-6 W_{r r}=0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

In consequence, we secure the solution of (7) presented as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(t, x, y, z)=y+f_{1}(z) \\
& \quad+\int f_{2}\left(\frac{1}{4(e-3)}\left[c \Delta_{0}^{2}+(4 e-12) z\right]\right) d \Delta_{0} \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta_{0}=\frac{1}{c \sqrt{t}}(c x-a z)$ and functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are arbitrary, depending on their various arguments. With regards to the symmetry $M_{7}$, we obtain invariants:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W(r, s)=Q(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \\
& r=\frac{1}{4(e-3) \zeta}\left\{2 a \eta \zeta-c \eta^{2}+(12-4 e) \xi \zeta\right\} \\
& s=\zeta
\end{aligned}
$$

and by utilizing them the model (7) transforms to:

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
6 c W_{r}-4 & 4 c e s W_{r s}-a^{2} s W_{r r} \\
& -2 c e W_{r}+12 c s W_{r s}=0 \tag{42}
\end{array}
$$

Eventually, we achieve a solution of 3D-KPLike (7) as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(t, x, y, z)= & f_{1}(z)+\frac{1}{a \sqrt{z}} f_{2}\left\{\frac { 1 } { a ^ { 2 } } \left[a^{2} z\right.\right. \\
& -4 c(e-3)\left\{\frac{1}{4(e-3) z}[2 a x z\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.-c x^{2}+(12-4 e) t z\right]\right\}\right]\right\}+y
\end{aligned}
$$

where functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are arbitrary and depend on their respective arguments. Finally, for the symmetry $M_{9}$ invariants are:
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta}} W(r, s)=Q(\xi, \eta, \zeta), \quad r=\frac{\zeta}{\eta}$
$s=\frac{1}{4 c(e-3) \zeta}\left\{a^{2} \zeta^{2}-2 a c \eta \zeta+c^{2} \eta^{2}+4 c(e-3) \xi \zeta\right\}$,
which when used in equation (7), reduces (7) to:

$$
\begin{align*}
12 e r W_{r} & -36 r W_{r}+3 e W-9 W \\
& +4 e r^{2} W_{r r}-12 r^{2} W_{r r}=0 . \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we secure a solution of 3D-KPLike (7) as:

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t, x, y, z)= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\left\{\left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{1 / 2} f_{1}(P)\right.  \tag{45}\\
& \left.+\left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{3 / 2} f_{2}(P)\right\}+y
\end{align*}
$$

with arbitrary functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ depending on their respective arguments $P=\frac{1}{4 c(e-3) z}\left[a^{2} z^{2}-2 a c x z+c^{2} x^{2}\right.$ $+4 c(e-3) t z$.

### 3.4 Invariant solutions under the symmetry $X_{4}+X_{F}$

The Lie symmetry operator $X_{4}+X_{F}$ gives the invariants:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi=t, \quad \eta=x, \quad \zeta=y \\
& Q(\xi, \eta, \zeta)+\int F(z) d z=u(t, x, y, z) \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

Inserting the value of $u$ in the equation (7) yields the NLNPADE:

$$
\begin{align*}
a Q_{\xi \eta}+b Q_{\xi \zeta}+ & e Q_{\eta \eta}-3 Q_{\zeta} Q_{\eta \eta} \\
& -3 Q_{\eta} Q_{\eta \zeta}-d Q_{\eta \eta \eta \zeta}=0 \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, by solving this equation we gain the solution of 3D-KPLike (7) as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u(t, x, y, z)=2 d C_{2} \tanh \left(\frac{C_{2}^{2}\left(4 d C_{2} C_{3}-e\right)}{a C_{2}+b C_{3}} t\right.  \tag{48}\\
\left.\quad+C_{2} x+C_{3} y+C_{1}\right)+\int F(z) d z+C_{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{4}$ are arbitrary constants. Further study of (47) reveals that the equation admits the following
symmetries:
$M_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}+\frac{\partial}{\partial Q}, \quad M_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}+\frac{\partial}{\partial Q}$,
$M_{3}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}+\frac{\partial}{\partial Q}$,
$M_{4}=\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}+\left(1-\frac{1}{3} a \zeta-\frac{1}{3} b \eta\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial Q}$,
$M_{5}=\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}+\frac{1}{3} \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}+\frac{1}{3} \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}+\left(1+\frac{2}{9} e \zeta-\frac{1}{3} Q\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial Q}$.
We now explore $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{5}$ in obtaining some solutions to (7). Beginning with $M_{1}$, we have invariants $\xi+W(r, s)=Q(\xi, \eta, \zeta), r=\eta$ and $s=\zeta$, which transform (7) to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e W_{r r}-3 W_{s} W_{r r}-3 W_{r} W_{r s}-d W_{r r r s}=0 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have a solution of 3D-KPLike model in this instance as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(t, x, y, z)=t+\int F(z) d z+C_{2} \\
& \quad+2 d C_{1} \tanh \left(C_{1} x+\frac{e}{4 d C_{1}} y+C_{0}\right) \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

with $C_{0}, C_{1}, C_{2}$ being arbitrary constants.
Further study of (49) gives
$J_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial r}+F_{1}(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s}+\frac{1}{3} e F_{1}(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial W}$,
$J_{2}=F_{2}(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s}+\left(\frac{1}{3} e F_{2}(s)+1\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial W}$,
$J_{3}=r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+F_{3}(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s}+\left(\frac{1}{3} e F_{3}(s)+\frac{1}{3} e s-W\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial W}$.
We assume $F_{i}(s)=s, i=1,2,3$, and then for $J_{1}$, one secures the invariants $H(w)+\frac{1}{3}$ es $=W(r, s)$ and $w=s / e^{r}$. Thus, these invariants further reduce (7) to the NLNODE given as:

$$
\begin{align*}
d H^{\prime}(w) & -6 w H^{\prime}(w)^{2}+7 d w H^{\prime \prime}(w) \\
& +6 d w^{2} H^{\prime \prime \prime}(w)-6 w^{2} H^{\prime}(w) H^{\prime \prime}(w)  \tag{51}\\
& +d w^{3} H^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}(w)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Next, for $J_{2}$, one gains the invariants $H(w)+\frac{1}{3} e s+\ln (s)=W(r, s)$ and $w=r$, which further reduce (7) to $H^{\prime \prime}(w)=0$. Thus, this gives a solution:

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t, x, y, z)= & t+A_{1} x+\frac{1}{3} e y+  \tag{52}\\
& \ln (y)+\int F(z) d z+A_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are arbitrary constants. In the case of $J_{3}$, we have invariants $\frac{1}{3 r}\{3 H(w)+e r s\}=W(r, s)$ and
$w=s / r$. Hence, using these invariants equation (7) reduces to:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 36 d w H^{\prime \prime}(w)-18 w H^{\prime}(w)^{2}-6 w^{2} H^{\prime}(w) H^{\prime \prime}(w) \\
&-3 w H(w) H^{\prime \prime}(w)+24 d H^{\prime}(w) \\
& \quad-12 H(w) H^{\prime}(w)+12 d w^{2} H^{\prime \prime \prime}(w)  \tag{53}\\
&+d w^{3} H^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}(w)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Exploring $M_{2}$ purveys $\zeta+W(r, s)=Q(\xi, \eta, \zeta), r=$ $\xi, s=\eta$, which reduce (7) to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a W_{r s}-3 W_{s s}+e W_{s s}=0 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equations is solved easily, and so we have a solution of 3D-KPLike (7) as:

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t, x, y, z)= & y+f_{1}(t) \\
& +f_{2}[a x-(e-3) t]+\int F(z) d z \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

with arbitrary functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$.
Now, we consider $M_{3}$ and so, we have the invariants $\eta+W(r, s)=Q(\xi, \eta, \zeta), r=\xi$ and $s=\zeta$, which reduce (7) to $W_{r s}=0$, thus yielding a solution of the 3D-KPLike (7) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x, y, z)=x+f_{1}(t)+f_{2}(y)+\int F(z) d z \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ being arbitrary functions. The symmetry $\quad M_{4}$ furnishes the invariants $\eta+W(r, s)=Q(\xi, \eta, \zeta), r=\xi$ and $s=\zeta$, thus reducing (7) to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 r W_{r s}+3 W_{s}-e=0 \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

By solving differential equation (57), one has a solution of (7) as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(t, x, y, z)=x+\frac{1}{6 t}\left\{6 x-2 a x y-b x^{2}\right\} \\
& \quad+2 e y+6 f_{1}(t)+\frac{6}{t} f_{2}(y)+\int F(z) d z \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

with $f_{1}(t)$ and $f_{2}(y)$ are arbitrary functions of $t$ and $y$ respectively.

Finally, under symmetry $X_{4}+X_{F}$ we explore $M_{5}$, which gives the invariants:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{3}(e \zeta+9)+\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\xi}} W(r, s)=Q(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \\
& r=\frac{\eta}{\sqrt[3]{\xi}} \text { and } s=\frac{\zeta}{\sqrt[3]{\xi}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Utilizing these invariants, equation (7) reduces to the NLNPADE:
$a r W_{r r}+b s W_{s s}+b r W_{r s}+a s W_{r s}$
$+2 a W_{r}+2 b W_{s}+9 W_{r} W_{r s}+9 W_{s} W_{r r}+3 d W_{r r r s}=0$.
Further exploration of the above equation yields no solution of interest.

### 3.5 Invariant solutions under the symmetry $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{4}$

We will now explore various types of solutions emanating from the translation symmetries.

## Jacobi elliptic function solutions of equation (7)

Using the time and space translations $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{4}$, we have the group invariant:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x, y, z)=U(p), \quad p=\alpha x+\beta y+\nu z-\gamma t \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \nu$ and $\gamma$ are arbitrary constants. Using (59), equation (7) is reduced to the fourth-order NLNODE:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(e \alpha^{2}\right. & -a \alpha \gamma-b \beta \gamma-c \nu \gamma) U^{\prime \prime}(p) \\
& -6 \beta \alpha^{2} U^{\prime \prime}(p) U^{\prime}(p)-d \beta \alpha^{3} U^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}(p)=0 \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating (60) once with respect to $p$ gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{0}+\left(e \alpha^{2}-a \alpha \gamma-b \beta \gamma-c \nu \gamma\right) U^{\prime}(p) \\
& \quad-3 \beta \alpha^{2} U^{\prime}(p)^{2}-d \beta \alpha^{3} U^{\prime \prime \prime}(p)=0, \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{0}$ is an integration constant.
We now let:

$$
\begin{align*}
& U^{\prime}(p)=\alpha d \psi(p), \\
& \omega=\frac{1}{d \beta \alpha^{3}}\left\{e \alpha^{2}-a \alpha \gamma-b \beta \gamma-c \nu \gamma\right\}, \quad \text { and }  \tag{62}\\
& C_{1}=\frac{C_{0}}{d \beta \alpha^{4}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (61) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime \prime}+3 \psi^{2}-\omega \psi+C_{1}=0 . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (63) by $\psi^{\prime}$ and integrating with respect to $p$ gives:

$$
\frac{1}{2} \psi^{\prime 2}+\psi^{3}-\frac{1}{2} \omega \psi^{2}+C_{1} \psi+C_{2}=0
$$

which when rearranged becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime 2}=-\left(2 \psi^{3}-\omega \psi^{2}+2 C_{1} \psi+2 C_{2}\right) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{2}$ is an arbitrary constant of integration.
Now, the right hand side of equation (64) is a cubic function and suppose it has factors $\theta_{1}>\theta_{2}>\theta_{3}$ such that $\theta_{i} \in \Re, \quad i=1,2,3$. Thus we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime 2}=-2\left(\psi-\theta_{1}\right)\left(\psi-\theta_{2}\right)\left(\psi-\theta_{3}\right) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution for (65) is well-known in terms of the Jacobi elliptic cosine function and is given by [59,60]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(p)=\theta_{2}+\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right) \mathrm{cn}^{2}\left(\left.\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{1}-\theta_{3}}{2}} p \right\rvert\, S^{2}\right) \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S^{2}=\frac{\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}}{\theta_{1}-\theta_{3}}$.
Notice the appearance of the Jacobi elliptic cosine function $\operatorname{cn}\left(p \mid S^{2}\right)$ with special parameter $S^{2}: 0<S^{2}<1$. The behaviour of $S^{2}$ greatly dictates how the function morphs into its trigonometric and hyperbolic counterparts. In general, when $S^{2} \rightarrow 1$, $\operatorname{cn}\left(p \mid S^{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{sech}(p) \quad$ and $\quad$ when $\quad S^{2} \rightarrow \quad 0$, $\operatorname{cn}\left(p \mid S^{2}\right) \rightarrow \cos (p)$ [61]. Now, since $U(p)=\alpha d \int \psi(p)$, the solution of the 3D-KPLike (7) is thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(t, x, y, z)=\alpha d\left\{\frac{\sqrt{2}\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{3}\right)}{\sqrt{\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}}}\right. \\
& \quad \times \mathrm{E}\left\{\operatorname{sn}\left[\left.\frac{(x \alpha+y \beta-t \gamma+z \nu) \sqrt{\theta_{1}-\theta_{3}}}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rvert\, \frac{\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}}{\theta_{1}-\theta_{3}}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times \frac{\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}}{\theta_{1}-\theta_{3}}\right\}+\theta_{2}(\alpha x-\gamma t+\beta y+\nu z) \\
& \quad-\frac{\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{3}\right)^{2}}{\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}}(\alpha x-\gamma t+\beta y+\nu z) \\
& \left.\quad \times\left(1-\frac{\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{3}\right)^{2}}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s n$ is the Jacobi elliptic sine function and $E$ is the elliptic integral of the second kind. Solution (67) contains both topological kink when $\left\{\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right) /\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{3}\right)\right\} \rightarrow 1$, and periodic solutions when $\left\{\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right) /\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{3}\right)\right\} \rightarrow 0$.

## Hyperbolic function solutions of equation (7)

Multiplying equation (61) by $U^{\prime \prime}$ and integrating once with respect to $p$ leads to the second-order NLNODE:

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{1}+C_{0} U^{\prime}(p) & +A U^{\prime}(p)^{2} \\
& -B U^{\prime}(p)^{3}-E U^{\prime \prime}(p)^{2}=0 \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A=\left(e \alpha^{2}-a \alpha \gamma-b \beta \gamma-c \nu \gamma\right) / 2, B=\beta \alpha^{2}$, and $E=1 / 2 d \beta \alpha^{3}$.

Now letting $U^{\prime}(p)=V(p)$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{1}+C_{0} V(p)+A V & (p)^{2} \\
& -B V(p)^{3}-E V^{\prime}(p)^{2}=0 \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $C_{0}=C_{1}=0$, and solving the resultant equation to get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& V(p)=\frac{A}{B} \\
& V(p)=-\frac{A}{B}\left[\left\{\tanh \left(\frac{\sqrt{E A}\left(C_{3}-p\right)}{2 E}\right)\right\}^{2}-1\right] . \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that $U(p)=\int V(p) \mathrm{d} p$. Consequently, reverting to the original variables, the analytic solutions of (7) are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=\frac{A}{B} p+K_{0} . \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{2} & =-\frac{2 E A}{B \sqrt{E A}} \tanh \left\{\frac{\sqrt{E A}\left(C_{3}-p\right)}{2 E}\right\} \\
& -\frac{E A}{B \sqrt{E A}} \ln \left\{\tanh \left(\frac{\sqrt{E A}\left(C_{3}-p\right)}{2 E}\right)-1\right\}  \tag{71}\\
& +\frac{E A}{B \sqrt{E A}} \ln \left(\tanh \left\{\frac{\sqrt{E A}\left(C_{3}-p\right)}{2 E}\right\}+1\right) \\
& +\frac{A}{B} p+K_{1},
\end{align*}
$$

where $p=\alpha x+\beta y+\nu z-\gamma t$, with $K_{0}$ and $K_{1}$, integration constants.

## Exact solutions of (7) using Kudryashov's method

We invoke the Kudryashov method [62] to determine additional exact solutions of (7). We begin by assuming that the solutions to the fourth-order NLNODE (60) can be written in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(p)=\sum_{i=0}^{M} A_{i} Y^{i}(p) \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y(p)$ solves the Riccati equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{\prime}(p)=Y^{2}(p)-Y(p) \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has an exact solution given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(p)=\frac{1}{1+e^{p}} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $M$ in (72) can be determined by using the balancing procedure [62] and $A_{i}, i=0,1, \ldots, M$ are constants which we will determine. We balance the highest order derivative with the nonlinear term, that is, $U^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}(p)$ and $U(p)^{\prime} U^{\prime \prime}(p)$ respectively. This gives $M=1$. Thus the solution (72) can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(p)=A_{0}+A_{1} Y(p) \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (75) into (60) and invoking (73), we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 a a_{1} & \alpha \gamma Y^{3}(p)+3 a a_{1} \alpha \gamma Y^{2}(p) \\
& -a a_{1} \alpha \gamma Y(p)-2 b a_{1} \beta \gamma Y^{3}(p) \\
& +3 b a_{1} \beta \gamma Y^{2}(p)-b a_{1} \beta \gamma Y(p) \\
& -2 c a_{1} \nu \gamma Y^{3}(p)+3 c a_{1} \nu \gamma Y^{2}(p) \\
& -c a_{1} \nu \gamma Y(p)-24 d a_{1} \beta \alpha^{3} Y^{5}(p) \\
& +60 d a_{1} \beta \alpha^{3} Y^{4}(p)-50 d a_{1} \beta \alpha^{3} Y^{3}(p) \\
& +15 d a_{1} \beta \alpha^{3} Y^{2}(p)-d a_{1} \beta \alpha^{3} Y(p) \\
& -12 a_{1}^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta Y^{5}(p)+30 a_{1}^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta Y^{4}(p) \\
& -24 a_{1}^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta Y^{3}(p)+6 a_{1}^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta Y^{2}(p) \\
& +2 e a_{1} \alpha^{2} Y^{3}(p)-3 e a_{1} \alpha^{2} Y^{2}(p) \\
& +e a_{1} \alpha^{2} Y(p)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equating the coefficients of like powers of $Y(p)$ in equation (76) we obtain the following five algebraic equations in terms of $a_{0}$ and $a_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y^{5}(p): 2 d a_{1} \beta \alpha^{3}+a_{1}^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta=0 \\
& Y^{4}(p): 2 d a_{1} \beta \alpha^{3}+a_{1}^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta=0 \\
& Y^{3}(p): e a_{1} \alpha^{2}-25 d a_{1} \beta \alpha^{3} \\
& \quad-12 a_{1}^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta-a a_{1} \alpha \gamma \\
& \quad-b a_{1} \beta \gamma-c a_{1} \nu \gamma=0  \tag{76}\\
& Y^{2}(p): 5 d a_{1} \beta \alpha^{3}+2 a_{1}^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta+a a_{1} \alpha \gamma \\
& \quad \quad e a_{1} \alpha^{2}+b a_{1} \beta \gamma+c a_{1} \nu \gamma=0 \\
& Y^{1}(p): 25 \gamma^{3} \lambda a_{1}+16 \gamma^{2} \lambda a_{1}^{2} \\
& \quad+8 \gamma \lambda^{2}{a_{1}}^{2}-4 \gamma v a_{1}=0
\end{align*}
$$

The solution of these equations is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0}=a_{0} \\
& a_{1}=\frac{2\left(a \alpha \gamma-\alpha^{2} e+b \beta \gamma+c \gamma \nu\right)}{\alpha^{2} \beta}  \tag{77}\\
& d=\frac{\alpha^{2} e-a \alpha \gamma-b \beta \gamma-c \gamma \nu}{\alpha^{3} \beta} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the solution of the (3+1)-D KP-like (7) reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x, y, z)=a_{0}+\frac{2 a \alpha \gamma-2 \alpha^{2} e+2 b \beta \gamma+2 c \gamma \nu}{\alpha^{2} \beta\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{\alpha x+\beta y-\gamma t+\nu z}\right)} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.6 Invariant solutions under symmetry $X_{5}$

The characteristic equations of the symmetry $X_{5}$ yield the invariants $G(p, q, k)=u(t, x, y, z)$, $p=t z, q=c x-a z, k=b x-a y$. Insertion of this value of $u$ into (7) produces:

$$
\begin{align*}
& c p G_{p p}+c G_{p}+a c^{3} d G_{q q k q}+3 a b c^{2} d G_{q k k q} \\
& \quad+3 a b^{2} c d G_{k k k q}+a b^{3} d G_{k k k k}+3 a c^{2} G_{k} G_{q q} \\
& \quad+9 a b c G_{k} G_{k q}+6 a b^{2} G_{k} G_{k k}+3 a c^{2} G_{q} G_{k q} \\
& \quad+3 a b c G_{q} G_{k k}+c^{2} e G_{q q}+2 b c e G_{k q}+b^{2} e G_{k k}=0 \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (79) has five symmetries, namely:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Gamma_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial q}, & \Gamma_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial k} \\
\Gamma_{3}=\frac{\partial}{\partial G}, & \Gamma_{4}=\ln p \frac{\partial}{\partial G}, \\
\Gamma_{5}=3 a c p \frac{\partial}{\partial p}+(3 a c k-3 a b q) \frac{\partial}{\partial k}+(b e q-c e k) \frac{\partial}{\partial G} .
\end{array}
$$

Characteristic equations of $\Gamma=\Gamma_{1}+\gamma \Gamma_{2}$, where $\gamma$ is a constant yields the invariants $G(p, q, k)=U(g), \quad g=$
$k-\gamma q$, which transforms equation (79) to the NLNODE:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(3 a b c^{2} d \gamma^{2}+a b^{3} d-3 a b^{2} c d \gamma-a c^{3} d \gamma^{3}\right) U^{\prime \prime \prime \prime} \\
& +\left(6 a c^{2} \gamma^{2}-12 a b c \gamma+6 a b^{2}\right) U^{\prime \prime} U^{\prime} \\
& +\left(c^{2} e \gamma^{2}+b^{2} e-2 b c e \gamma\right) U^{\prime \prime}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E} U^{\prime} U^{\prime \prime}+\mathcal{F} U^{\prime \prime}+\mathcal{Z} U^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}=0 \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{Z}=3 a b c^{2} d \gamma^{2}+a b^{3} d-3 a b^{2} c d \gamma-a c^{3} d \gamma^{3}, \\
& \mathcal{E}=6 a c^{2} \gamma^{2}-12 a b c \gamma+6 a b^{2}, \\
& \mathcal{F}=c^{2} e \gamma^{2}+b^{2} e-2 b c e \gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution of (7) by direct integration.

We seek to find the solution of 3D-KPLike (7) by utilizing the NLNODE (80). Integrating (80) with respect to $g$ yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{E}}{2} U^{\prime 2}+\mathcal{F} U^{\prime}+\mathcal{Z} U^{\prime \prime \prime}+P_{0}=0 \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{0}$ is an arbitrary constant of integration.
Let $U^{\prime}(g)=\rho(g)$, then equation (81) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{E}}{2} \rho^{2}+\mathcal{F} \rho+\mathcal{Z} \rho^{\prime \prime}+P_{0}=0 \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (82) by $\rho^{\prime}(g)$ and integrating with respect to $g$ gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{Z}}{2} \rho^{\prime 2}+\frac{\mathcal{E}}{6} \rho^{3}+\frac{\mathcal{F}}{2} \rho^{2}+P_{0} \rho+P_{1}=0 \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{1}$ is an arbitrary constant.
Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{\prime 2}+\frac{\mathcal{E}}{3 \mathcal{Z}} \rho^{3}+\frac{\mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{Z}} \rho^{2}+\frac{2}{\mathcal{Z}} P_{0} \rho+\frac{2}{\mathcal{Z}} P_{1}=0 . \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $r_{1}, r_{2}$ and $r_{3}$ are real roots $\left(r_{1}>r_{2}>r_{3}\right)$ of a cubic equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{3}+\frac{3 \mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{E}} \rho^{2}+\frac{6}{\mathcal{E}} P_{0} \rho+\frac{6}{\mathcal{E}} P_{1}=0 . \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

that satisfy the conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}=-\frac{6}{\mathcal{E}} P_{1} \\
& r_{1} r_{2}+r_{1} r_{3}+r_{2} r_{3}=\frac{6}{\mathcal{E}} P_{0}, \\
& r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3}=-\frac{3 \mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{E}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then equation (84) is written as:

$$
\rho^{\prime 2}=-\frac{\mathcal{E}}{3 \mathcal{Z}}\left(\rho-r_{1}\right)\left(\rho-r_{2}\right)\left(\rho-r_{3}\right)
$$

and has the solution:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho(r)=r_{2}+\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right) \mathrm{cn}^{2} \\
& \times\left\{\left.\sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}\left(r_{1}-r_{3}\right)}{12 \mathcal{Z}}}\left(g-g_{0}\right) \right\rvert\, M^{2}\right\},  \tag{86}\\
& M^{2}=\frac{r_{1}-r_{2}}{r_{1}-r_{3}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r_{0}$ is a constant and cn is the Jacobi cosine function.
Thus, by returning to the original variables, we obtain the solution of the 3D-KPLike (7) as:

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t, x, y, z)= & \mathcal{F}_{0}\left[\text { EllipticE }\left\{\operatorname{sn}\left[\mathcal{F}_{1}\left(g-g_{0}\right), K^{2}\right], K^{2}\right\}\right] \\
& +\left\{r_{2}-\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right) \frac{1-K^{4}}{K^{4}}\right\} \\
& \times\left(g-g_{0}\right)+k_{1}, \tag{87}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{F}_{0}=\sqrt{\frac{12 \mathcal{C}\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(r_{1}-r_{3}\right) \mathcal{E} K^{8}}}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)}{12 \mathcal{C}}}
$$

with $g=b x-a y-\gamma(c x-a z)$ and $k_{1}$ an arbitrary constant.

### 3.7 Invariant solutions under symmetry $X_{6}$

From the symmetry $X_{6}$ we get the group invariant solution:

$$
\begin{align*}
F(p, q, k)=u(t, x, y, z)- & \frac{b z \ln (b z-c y)}{3 c}  \tag{88}\\
& +\frac{e(b z-c y)}{3 c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p=x, q=z$ and $k=(c y-b z) / t$ and equation (7) transforms to the NLNPADE:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a k^{2} F_{k p}+c k^{2} F_{k q}+c d k F_{p p k p}  \tag{89}\\
& \quad+b q F_{p p}+3 c k F_{p p} F_{k}+3 c k F_{p} F_{k p}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (89) has three Lie symmetries:

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{1}= & \frac{\partial}{\partial p}+F^{1}(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial F}, \\
U_{2} & =3 c \frac{\partial}{\partial q}-\left\{b \ln k-F^{2}(q)\right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial F}, \\
U_{3}= & (2 a q-c p) \frac{\partial}{\partial p}-c q \frac{\partial}{\partial q}+4 c k \frac{\partial}{\partial k}  \tag{90}\\
& \quad+\left\{c F+c F^{3}(q)\right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial F},
\end{align*}
$$

where $F^{1}, F^{2}$ and $F^{3}$ are arbitrary functions of $q$.

However, here we take a special case $F^{1}=F^{2}=$ $F^{3}=0$, and obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial p}, Y_{2}=3 c \frac{\partial}{\partial q}-b \ln k \frac{\partial}{\partial F} \\
& Y_{3}=(2 a q-c p) \frac{\partial}{\partial p}-c q \frac{\partial}{\partial q}+4 c k \frac{\partial}{\partial k}+c F \frac{\partial}{\partial F} \tag{91}
\end{align*}
$$

We use the symmetry $Y_{2}$ to perform reductions on (89). This symmetry has invariants:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(p, q, k)+\frac{1}{3 c}(b q \ln k)=W(r, j)  \tag{92}\\
& r=p, \quad s=k
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (92) into (89) yields NLNPADE:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
3 a s W_{r s}+3 c d W_{r r r s}+9 c W_{r r} W_{s} \\
+9 c W_{r} W_{r s}-b=0 . \tag{93}
\end{array}
$$

The given equation (93) has the following operator as its Lie symmetry:

$$
W_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, W_{2}=3 c \frac{\partial}{\partial s}-a r \frac{\partial}{\partial W}, W_{3}=\frac{\partial}{\partial W}
$$

We use symmetry $W_{2}$ to perform reductions on (93).
This symmetry has invariants $W(r, s)+($ ars $) /(3 c)=$ $N(r), r=\xi$ and using them yields the LNORDE:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b+3 a \xi N^{\prime \prime}+3 a N^{\prime}=0 \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\xi)=C_{1} \ln (\xi)-\frac{b}{3 a} \xi+C_{2} \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ being arbitrary constants of integration.
Hence, the group-invariant solution of (7) under $X_{6}$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(t, x, y, z)= & \frac{b}{3 c}\{z \ln (b z-c y)\}-\frac{e}{3 c}(b z-c y) \\
& +C_{1} \ln (x)-\frac{b}{3 a} x \\
& +C_{2}-\frac{b}{3 c}\left\{z \ln \left[\frac{1}{t}(c y-b z)\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.8 Invariant solutions under symmetry $X_{7}$

The use of symmetry $X_{7}$ gives the invariants:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p=z, \quad q=(c x-a z) / \sqrt{t} c \\
& k=\sqrt{t}(c y-b z) / c \\
& U(p, q, k)=t^{1 / 2} u(t, x, y, z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

and using these, equation (7) transforms to:

$$
\begin{align*}
2 e U_{q q} & -c U_{p}-2 d U_{q q k q}-6 U_{q q} U_{k} \\
& -6 U_{q} U_{k q}-c q U_{p q}+c k U_{k p}=0 . \tag{96}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (96) has the following operators as its Lie symmetries:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{1} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial p}, \quad Q_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial U} \\
Q_{3} & =9 p \frac{\partial}{\partial p}+3 q \frac{\partial}{\partial q}+(e k-3 U) \frac{\partial}{\partial U} \\
Q_{4} & =-3 q \frac{\partial}{\partial q}+9 k \frac{\partial}{\partial k}+(2 e k+3 U) \frac{\partial}{\partial U} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The symmetry $Q_{3}$ has the invariants:

$$
\begin{align*}
& r=\frac{q}{\sqrt[3]{p}}, \quad s=k \\
& H(r, s)=\left(U(p, q, k)-\frac{1}{3} e k\right) \frac{q}{\sqrt[3]{p}} \tag{97}
\end{align*}
$$

which transform the PDE (96) to:

$$
\begin{align*}
& c H+3 \text { cr } H_{r}-6 d H_{r r r s}-18 H_{r r} H_{s} \\
& \quad-18 H_{r} H_{r s}+c r^{2} H_{r r}-c s H_{s}-c r s H_{r s}=0 . \tag{98}
\end{align*}
$$

The above equation admits the Lie symmetry:

$$
G=-r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+3 s \frac{\partial}{\partial s}+H \frac{\partial}{\partial H}
$$

which has invariants:

$$
r H(r, s)=F(\xi), \quad \xi=r^{3} s
$$

and so the PDE (98) reduces to the NLNODE:

$$
\begin{aligned}
9 F(\xi) F^{\prime \prime}(\xi) & -27 \xi^{2} d F^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}(\xi)-108 \xi d F^{\prime \prime \prime}(\xi) \\
& +(\xi c-60 d) F^{\prime \prime}(\xi)+c F^{\prime}(\xi) \\
& -54 r F^{\prime}(\xi) F^{\prime \prime}(\xi)-36 F(\xi) F^{\prime}(\xi)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The use of symmetry $Q_{4}$ provides us with the invariants:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r=p, \quad s=k q^{3} \\
& H(r, s)=\left(U(p, q, k)-\frac{1}{3} e k\right) q,
\end{aligned}
$$

and these invariants convert equation (96) to NLNPADE:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 9 H_{s s} H-27 d s^{2} H_{s s s s}-54 s H_{s s} H_{s} \\
& \quad-108 d s-60 d H_{s s}-18 H_{s}^{2}-c H_{r s}=0 \tag{99}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (99) has two Lie point symmetries, viz.,

$$
T_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, T_{2}=r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} .
$$

The symmetry operator $T_{2}$ has invariants:

$$
H(r, s)=W(r), \quad \xi=\frac{s}{r}
$$

and these transform the PDE (99) to the NLNODE

$$
\begin{align*}
c W^{\prime}(\xi) & -27 d \xi^{2} W^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}(\xi)+c \xi W^{\prime \prime}(\xi) \\
& +108 \xi d W^{\prime \prime \prime}(\xi)-54 \xi W^{\prime \prime}(\xi) W^{\prime}(\xi) \\
& -60 d W^{\prime \prime}(\xi)-18 W^{\prime 2}(\xi)  \tag{100}\\
& +9 W^{\prime \prime}(\xi) W(\xi)=0
\end{align*}
$$

## 4 Graphical representation of solutions and discussions

In this section, we present the graphical descriptions of some of the obtained solutions in the previous section. The results comprise various solutions of interest ranging from exponential, trigonometry, and hyperbolic to Jacobi elliptic function solutions. Besides, several algebraic solutions consisting of arbitrary functions were achieved. These arbitrary functions can assume any possible mathematical functions with the result satisfying (7). Therefore, using computer software, we represent a few of the various interesting solutions in this study with some graphical display of solitary waves in the form of three-dimensional (3D), two-dimensional (2D), and density plots.

In the first place, we explore the dynamics of algebraic solution (21) in Figure 1 with functions $f_{1}(z)=\operatorname{sech}(z), f_{2}(z)=\sin (z)$, and $G(t)=-\sin (t)$, where $x=1, y=0,-8 \leq t, z \leq 8$. We further have as earlier presented, a fixed value of $y$ with $f_{1}(z)=\operatorname{sech}(z)$, $f_{2}(z)=\cos (z), G(t)=-\cos (t)$, where $x=1.2$, and $-10 \leq t, z \leq 10$, thus, we plot Figure 2. In addition, Figure 3 is presented for the solution of (7) with $f_{1}(z)=\operatorname{sech}(z), f_{2}(z)=\cos (z)$, and $G(t)=-\cos (t)$, where $x=2, y=0$, alongside $-8 \leq t, z \leq 8$. Next, we display solution (55) in Figure 4 where we take $f_{1}(t)=\operatorname{sech}(t), f_{2}(t, x)=\sin [a x-(e-3) t]$, $F(z)=-\sin (z)$, in which $a=1, e=5, x=y=0$, and $-8 \leq t, z \leq 8$. Further to that, in Figure 5, we assign $f_{1}(t)$ as earlier done and $F(z)=\cos (z)$, $f_{2}(t, x)=\tanh ^{2}[a x-(e-3) t]$, where $a=1, e=5$, $x=0.1, y=0$, and $-8 \leq t, z \leq 8$. We present in Figure 6 and Figure 7 portrayals of solution (55) with the assignment functions and parameter values as in Figure 5 but with different values of $x$ and intervals of $t$ and $z$, where for Figure 6 function $f_{2}(t, x)$ is doubled. We notice that by fixing $y$ and other involved constants and varying $x$ in dissimilar intervals of $t$ and $z$, we obtain diverse notable soliton interactions as demonstrated in the Figures.

Now, we depict Jacobi elliptic solution (67) in Figure 8 with parameter values $\alpha=0.3, \beta=0.5, \nu=5$, $\gamma=-0.2, \theta_{1}=90, \theta_{2}=50.05, \theta_{3}=0.04$, where variables $y=1.4, z=2$, and $-5 \leq t, x \leq 5$, whereas in Figure 9, same value allocations are utilized but with varying interval of $t$ and $x$. Finally, we represent elliptic integral function solution (87) in Figure 10, by using dissimilar values $\alpha=-1.1, \beta=1.1, \nu=0.7, \gamma=0.4$, $\mathcal{C}=70, \mathcal{E}=10, k_{1}=1, r_{1}=90, r_{2}=40.05, r_{3}=0.05$, where variables $t=0.01, z=0.02$, and $-5 \leq x, y \leq 5$. Moreover, we further exhibit the dynamics of (87) in Figure 11 by assigning $\alpha=-1.1, \beta=1.1, \nu=0.7$, $\gamma=0.4, \mathcal{C}=70, \mathcal{E}=10, k_{1}=1, r_{1}=90, r_{2}=40.05$, $r_{3}=0.05$, with variables $t=0.01, z=0.02$, and $-10 \leq x, y \leq 10$. It is observed that the elliptic function solutions exhibit various periodic waves at various values of parameters and dissimilar intervals.


Fig. 1: Wave profile representing algebraic solution (21) at $x=1$ and $y=0$.

## 5 Conservation laws of (7)

We devote this section to secure conservation laws related to the 3D-KPLike (7) via Ibragimov's theorem [63-65]. Using the salient information provided in [64], we have the following theorem:

Theorem 51The adjoint equation of 3D-KPLike (7) is expressed as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{G}^{*} & \equiv a v_{t x}+b v_{t y}+c v_{t z}-d v_{x x x y}+v_{x x}\left(e-3 u_{y}\right) \\
& -6 v_{x} u_{x y}-3 u_{x} v_{x y}=0, \tag{101}
\end{align*}
$$

and the formal Lagrangian given as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L} & =v \mathcal{G} \equiv v\left(a u_{t x}+b u_{t y}+c u_{t z}-d u_{x x x y}\right.  \tag{102}\\
& \left.+e u_{x x}-3 u_{x} u_{x y}-3 u_{x x} u_{y}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{G} & =a u_{t x}+b u_{t y}+c u_{t z}-d u_{x x x y}+e u_{x x} \\
& -3 u_{x} u_{x y}-3 u_{x x} u_{y} . \tag{103}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, using the earlier outlined information, we have the conserved vectors associated with Lie symmetries obtained in (12), as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{1}^{t}=e v u_{x x}-3 v u_{x} u_{x y}-3 v u_{y} u_{x x}-d v u_{x x x y} \\
+\frac{1}{2} c v_{z} u_{t}+\frac{1}{2} b v_{y} u_{t}+\frac{1}{2} a v_{x} u_{t}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
+\frac{1}{2} c v u_{t z}+\frac{1}{2} b v u_{t y}+\frac{1}{2} a v u_{t x} \\
T_{1}^{x}=e v_{x} u_{t}-\frac{3}{2} v_{y} u_{x} u_{t}-3 u_{y} v_{x} u_{t}-\frac{3}{2} v u_{x y} u_{t} \\
-\frac{3}{4} d v_{x x y} u_{t}+\frac{1}{2} a v_{t} u_{t}+\frac{3}{2} v u_{x} u_{t y}+\frac{1}{4} d v_{x x} u_{t y} \\
-e v u_{t x}+3 v u_{y} u_{t x}+\frac{1}{2} d v_{x y} u_{t x}-\frac{1}{2} d v_{x} u_{t x y} \\
-\frac{1}{4} d v_{y} u_{t x x}+\frac{3}{4} d v u_{t x x y}-\frac{1}{2} a v u_{t t} \\
T_{1}^{z}=\frac{1}{2} c u_{t} v_{t}-\frac{1}{2} c v u_{t t}
\end{gathered}
$$



Fig. 2: Wave profile representing algebraic solution (21) at $x=1.2$ and $y=0$.

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
T_{1}^{y}=\frac{3}{2} v u_{x x} u_{t}-\frac{3}{2} u_{x} v_{x} u_{t}-\frac{1}{4} d v_{x x x} u_{t}+\frac{1}{2} b v_{t} u_{t} & -\frac{3}{4} d v_{x x y} u_{y}+\frac{1}{2} a v_{t} u_{y}+\frac{3}{2} v u_{y y} u_{x}-e v u_{x y} \\
& +\frac{3}{2} d u_{x y} v_{x y}-\frac{1}{2} d v_{x} u_{x y y}+\frac{1}{4} d u_{y y} v_{x x} \\
u_{t x}+\frac{1}{4} d v_{x x} u_{t x}-\frac{1}{4} d v_{x} u_{t x x} & -\frac{1}{4} d v_{y} u_{x x y}+\frac{3}{4} d v u_{x x y y}-\frac{1}{2} a v u_{t y}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{1}{4} d v u_{t x x x}-\frac{1}{2} b v u_{t t}, \\
& T_{2}^{t}=\frac{1}{2} a u_{x} v_{x}-\frac{1}{2} a u_{x x} v-\frac{1}{2} b u_{x y} v-\frac{1}{2} c u_{x z} v \\
& +\frac{1}{2} b u_{x} v_{y}+\frac{1}{2} c u_{x} v_{z}, \\
& T_{2}^{x}=\frac{1}{2} a u_{t x} v+b u_{t y} v+c u_{t z} v-\frac{1}{4} d u_{x x x y} v \\
& -3 u_{x} u_{x y} v+\frac{1}{2} a v_{t} u_{x}-\frac{3}{4} d u_{x} v_{x x y} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} d u_{x x} v_{x y}+\frac{1}{4} d v_{x x} u_{x y}-\frac{1}{2} d v_{x} u_{x x y} \\
& -\frac{1}{4} d u_{x x x} v_{y}+e u_{x} v_{x}-\frac{3}{2} u_{x}^{2} v_{y}-3 u_{x} u_{y} v_{x}, \\
& T_{2}^{y}=\frac{1}{4} d u_{x x x x} v-\frac{1}{2} b u_{t x} v+3 u_{x x} u_{x} v \\
& +\frac{1}{2} b v_{t} u_{x}-\frac{1}{4} d u_{x} v_{x x x}+\frac{1}{4} d u_{x x} v_{x x} \\
& -\frac{1}{4} d u_{x x x} v_{x}-\frac{3}{2} u_{x}^{2} v_{x}, \\
& T_{2}^{z}=\frac{1}{2} c v_{t} u_{x}-\frac{1}{-} 2 c u_{t x} v ; \\
& T_{3}^{t}=\frac{1}{2} c v_{z} u_{y}+\frac{1}{2} b v_{y} u_{y}+\frac{1}{2} a v_{x} u_{y} \frac{1}{2} c v u_{y z} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} b v u_{y y}-\frac{1}{2} a v u_{x y}, \\
& T_{3}^{x}=\frac{3}{2} v u_{x y} u_{y}-3 v_{x} u_{y}^{2}-\frac{3}{2} v_{y} u_{x} u_{y}+e v_{x} u_{y} \\
& -\frac{3}{4} d v_{x x y} u_{y}+\frac{1}{2} a v_{t} u_{y}+\frac{3}{2} v u_{y y} u_{x}-e v u_{x y} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} d u_{x y} v_{x y}-\frac{1}{2} d v_{x} u_{x y y}+\frac{1}{4} d u_{y y} v_{x x} \\
& -\frac{1}{4} d v_{y} u_{x x y}+\frac{3}{4} d v u_{x x y y}-\frac{1}{2} a v u_{t y},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{3}^{y}=e v u_{x x}-\frac{3}{2} u_{y} u_{x} v_{x}-\frac{1}{4} d u_{x x y} v_{x}-\frac{3}{2} v u_{x} u_{x y} \\
& -\frac{3}{2} v u_{y} u_{x x}+\frac{1}{4} d u_{x y} v_{x x}-\frac{1}{4} d u_{y} v_{x x x} \\
& -\frac{3}{4} d v u_{x x x y}+\frac{1}{2} b u_{y} v_{t}+c v u_{t z} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} b v u_{t y}+a v u_{t x}, \\
& T_{3}^{z}=\frac{1}{2} c u_{y} v_{t}-\frac{1}{2} c v u_{t y}, \\
& T_{4}^{t}=\frac{1}{2} c u_{z} v_{z}-\frac{1}{2} c v u_{z z}+\frac{1}{2} b u_{z} v_{y} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} b v u_{y z}+\frac{1}{2} a u_{z} v_{x}-\frac{1}{2} a v u_{x z}, \\
& T_{4}^{x}=\frac{3}{2} v u_{y z} u_{x}-\frac{3}{2} u_{z} v_{y} u_{x}+e u_{z} v_{x} \\
& -3 u_{z} u_{y} v_{x}-e v u_{x z}+3 v u_{y} u_{x z} \\
& -\frac{3}{2} v u_{z} u_{x y}+\frac{1}{2} d u_{x z} v_{x y}-\frac{1}{2} d v_{x} u_{x y z} \\
& +\frac{1}{4} d u_{y z} v_{x x}-\frac{1}{4} d v_{y} u_{x x z}-\frac{3}{4} d u_{z} v_{x x y} \\
& +\frac{3}{4} d v u_{x x y z}+\frac{1}{2} a u_{z} v_{t}-\frac{1}{2} a v u_{t z}, \\
& T_{4}^{y}=\frac{3}{2} v u_{x} u_{x z}-\frac{3}{2} u_{z} u_{x} v_{x}-\frac{1}{4} d u_{x x z} v_{x} \\
& +\frac{3}{2} v u_{z} u_{x x}+\frac{1}{4} d u_{x z} v_{x x}-\frac{1}{4} d u_{z} v_{x x x} \\
& +\frac{1}{4} d v u_{x x x z}+\frac{1}{2} b u_{z} v_{t}-\frac{1}{2} b v u_{t z}, \\
& T_{4}^{z}=e v u_{x x}-3 v u_{x} u_{x y}-3 v u_{y} u_{x x}-d v u_{x x x y} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} c u_{z} v_{t}+\frac{1}{2} c v u_{t z}+b v u_{t y}+a v u_{t x} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

Fig. 3: Wave profile representing algebraic solution (21) at $x=2$ and $y=0$.


Fig. 4: Wave profile representing algebraic solution (55) at $x=0$ and $y=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{5}^{t}=\frac{1}{2} z v u_{x x} a^{2}-\frac{1}{2} z u_{x} v_{x} a^{2}+\frac{1}{2} c v u_{x} a-\frac{1}{2} c z v_{z} u_{x} a \\
& -\frac{1}{2} b z v_{y} u_{x} a-\frac{1}{2} c z u_{z} v_{x} a-\frac{1}{2} b z u_{y} v_{x} a+c z v u_{x z} a \\
& +b z v u_{x y} a+\frac{1}{2} c t v_{x} u_{t} a+\frac{1}{2} c t v u_{t x} a+\frac{1}{2} c^{2} v u_{z} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} c^{2} z u_{z} v_{z}+\frac{1}{2} c^{2} z v u_{z z}+\frac{1}{2} b c v u_{y}-\frac{1}{2} b c z v_{z} u_{y} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} b c z u_{z} v_{y}-\frac{1}{2} b^{2} z u_{y} v_{y}+b c z v u_{y z}+\frac{1}{2} b^{2} z v u_{y y} \\
& -3 c t v u_{x} u_{x y}+c e t v u_{x x}-3 c t v u_{y} u_{x x} \\
& -c d t v u_{x x x y}+\frac{1}{2} c^{2} t v_{z} u_{t}+\frac{1}{2} b c t v_{y} u_{t}+\frac{1}{2} c^{2} t v u_{t z} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} b c t v u_{t y}, \\
& T_{5}^{x}=\frac{3}{2} z v_{y} u_{x}^{2} a-\frac{1}{2} z u_{x} v_{t} a^{2}-\frac{1}{2} z v u_{t x} a^{2} \\
& -e z u_{x} v_{x} a+3 z u_{y} u_{x} v_{x} a+3 z v u_{x} u_{x y} a \\
& -\frac{1}{2} d z v_{x y} u_{x x} a-\frac{1}{4} d z u_{x y} v_{x x} a+\frac{1}{2} d z v_{x} u_{x x y} a \\
& +\frac{3}{4} d z u_{x} v_{x x y} a+\frac{1}{4} d z v_{y} u_{x x x} a+\frac{1}{4} d z v u_{x x x y} a \\
& -\frac{1}{2} c v u_{t} a-\frac{1}{2} c z u_{z} v_{t} a-\frac{1}{2} b z u_{y} v_{t} a \\
& +\frac{1}{2} c t u_{t} v_{t} a-\frac{1}{2} c z v u_{t z} a-\frac{1}{2} b z v u_{t y} a \\
& -\frac{1}{2} c t v u_{t t} a+\frac{3}{2} c z u_{z} v_{y} u_{x}+\frac{3}{2} b z u_{y} v_{y} u_{x} \\
& -\frac{3}{2} c z v u_{y z} u_{x}-\frac{3}{2} b z v u_{y y} u_{x}+3 b z u_{y}^{2} v_{x} \\
& -c e z u_{z} v_{x}-b e z u_{y} v_{x}+3 c z u_{z} u_{y} v_{x}+c e z v u_{x z}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{3}{2} c z v u_{y z} b-\frac{3}{2} c y v u_{y y} b-\frac{3}{2} a z u_{y} v_{x} b \\
& +\frac{3}{2} a z v u_{x y} b+\frac{3}{2} c t v_{y} u_{t} b+\frac{3}{2} c t v u_{t y} b \\
& -\frac{1}{2} c^{2} e y v_{z}+\frac{3}{2} c^{2} y v_{z} u_{y}-\frac{3}{2} c^{2} y v u_{y z} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} a c e y v_{x}+\frac{3}{2} a c y u_{y} v_{x}-\frac{3}{2} a c y v u_{x y} \\
& -9 c t v u_{x} u_{x y}+3 c e t v u_{x x}-9 c t v u_{y} u_{x x} \\
& -3 c d t v u_{x x x y}+\frac{3}{2} c^{2} t v_{z} u_{t}+\frac{3}{2} a c t v_{x} u_{t} \\
& +\frac{3}{2} c^{2} t v u_{t z}+\frac{3}{2} a c t v u_{t x}
\end{aligned}
$$




Fig. 5: Wave profile representing algebraic solution (55) at $x=0.1$ and $y=0$.


Fig. 6: Wave profile representing algebraic solution (55) at $x=0.5$ and $y=0$.


Fig. 7: Wave profile representing algebraic solution (55) at $x=0.3$ and $y=0$.


Fig. 8: Wave profile representing elliptic function (67) at $y=1.4$ and $z=2$.


Fig. 9: Wave profile representing elliptic function (67) at $y=1.4$ and $z=2$.

$$
T_{5}^{y}=\frac{3}{2} z u_{y} u_{x} v_{x} b-\frac{1}{2} z u_{y} v_{t} b^{2}-\frac{1}{2} z v u_{t y} b^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{3}{2} z v u_{x} u_{x y} b-e z v u_{x x} b+\frac{3}{2} z v u_{y} u_{x x} b \\
& -\frac{1}{4} d z u_{x y} v_{x x} b+\frac{1}{4} d z v_{x} u_{x x y} b+\frac{1}{4} d z u_{y} v_{x x x} b \\
& +\frac{3}{4} d z v u_{x x x y} b-\frac{1}{2} c v u_{t} b-\frac{1}{2} c z u_{z} v_{t} b-\frac{1}{2} a z u_{x} v_{t} b \\
& +\frac{1}{2} c t u_{t} v_{t} b-\frac{1}{2} c z v u_{t z} b-\frac{1}{2} a z v u_{t x} b-\frac{1}{2} c t v u_{t t} b \\
& +\frac{3}{2} a z u_{x}^{2} v_{x}+\frac{3}{2} c z u_{z} u_{x} v_{x}-\frac{3}{2} c z v u_{x} u_{x z}-\frac{3}{2} c z v u_{z} u_{x x} \\
& -3 a z v u_{x} u_{x x}-\frac{1}{4} c d z u_{x z} v_{x x}-\frac{1}{4} a d z u_{x x} v_{x x} \\
& +\frac{1}{4} c d z v_{x} u_{x x z}+\frac{1}{4} a d z v_{x} u_{x x x}+\frac{1}{4} c d z u_{z} v_{x x x} \\
& +\frac{1}{4} a d z u_{x} v_{x x x}-\frac{1}{4} c d z v u_{x x x z}-\frac{1}{4} a d z v u_{x x x x} \\
& -\frac{3}{2} c t u_{x} v_{x} u_{t}+\frac{3}{2} c t v u_{x x} u_{t}-\frac{1}{4} c d t v_{x x x} u_{t}+\frac{1}{4} c d t v u_{t x x x} \\
& +\frac{3}{2} c t v u_{x} u_{t x}+\frac{1}{4} c d t v_{x x} u_{t x}-\frac{1}{4} c d t v_{x} u_{t x x}, \\
& T_{6}^{z}=\frac{3}{2} y u_{y} v_{t} c^{2}-\frac{3}{2} v u_{t} c^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \text { eyv }_{t} c^{2}+\frac{3}{2} t u_{t} v_{t} c^{2} \\
& -\frac{3}{2} y v u_{t y} c^{2}-\frac{3}{2} t v u_{t t} c^{2} \\
& -\frac{3}{2} b z u_{y} v_{t} c+\frac{3}{2} b z v u_{t y} c ; \\
& T_{6}^{x}=\frac{3}{2} c y v_{y} u_{x} e-c y v_{x} e^{2}-\frac{3}{2} c v u_{x} e+6 c y u_{y} v_{x} e \\
& -3 b z u_{y} v_{x} e-\frac{3}{2} c y v u_{x y} e+3 b z v u_{x y} e-\frac{1}{4} c d v_{x x} e \\
& +\frac{3}{4} c d y v_{x x y} e+3 c t v_{x} u_{t} e-\frac{1}{2} a c y v_{t} e-3 c t v u_{t x} e
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
+\frac{9}{2} c v u_{y} u_{x}-\frac{9}{2} c y u_{y} v_{y} u_{x}+\frac{9}{2} b z u_{y} v_{y} u_{x} \\
+\frac{9}{2} c y v u_{y y} u_{x}-\frac{9}{2} b z v u_{y y} u_{x}-9 c y u_{y}^{2} v_{x}+9 b z u_{y}^{2} v_{x} \\
+\frac{9}{2} c y v u_{y} u_{x y}-\frac{9}{2} b z v u_{y} u_{x y}-\frac{3}{2} c d v_{x} u_{x y} \\
+\frac{3}{2} c d y u_{x y} v_{x y}-\frac{3}{2} b d z u_{x y} v_{x y}+\frac{3}{2} b d z v_{x} u_{x y y} \\
\quad+\frac{3}{4} c d u_{y} v_{x x}+\frac{3}{4} c d y u_{y y} v_{x x}-\frac{3}{2} c d y v_{x} u_{x y y} \\
\quad-\frac{3}{4} b d z u_{y y} v_{x x}+\frac{9}{4} c d v u_{x x y}-\frac{3}{4} c d y v_{y} u_{x x y} \\
\quad+\frac{3}{4} b d z v_{y} u_{x x y}-\frac{9}{4} c d y u_{y} v_{x x y}+\frac{9}{4} b d z u_{y} v_{x x y} \\
\quad+\frac{9}{4} d z v u_{x x x y} b-\frac{3}{2} c v u_{t} b-\frac{1}{2} c e y v_{t} b \\
+\frac{9}{4} c d y v u_{x x y y}-\frac{9}{4} b d z v u_{x x y y}-\frac{3}{2} a c v u_{t}-\frac{3}{2} c t u_{y} u_{x} v_{x} b-3 e z v u_{x x} b+\frac{9}{2} z v u_{y} u_{x x} b \\
-9 c z v u_{t z} b \\
-\frac{3}{2} c d t v_{x} u_{t x y}-\frac{3}{4} c d t v_{y} u_{t x x}+\frac{9}{4} c d t v u_{t x x y}-\frac{3}{2} a c t v u_{t t} \\
+\frac{9}{2} c t v u_{x} u_{t y}+\frac{3}{4} c d t v_{x x} u_{t y}+9 c t v u_{y} u_{x x y} b+\frac{3}{4} d z u_{y} v_{x x x} b \\
T_{6}^{y}=\frac{9}{2} c d t v v_{x y} u_{x y} u_{t x}-\frac{9}{4} c d t v_{x x y} u_{t}+\frac{3}{2} a c y u_{y} v_{t} \\
-\frac{3}{2} a b z u_{y} v_{t}+\frac{3}{2} a c t u_{t} v_{t}-\frac{3}{2} a c y v u_{t y}+\frac{3}{2} a b z v u_{t y} \\
\\
\quad
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{3}{2} c y v u_{t y} b-3 a z v u_{t x} b-\frac{3}{2} c t v u_{t t} b+\frac{3}{2} c e y u_{x} v_{x} \\
& -\frac{9}{2} c y u_{y} u_{x} v_{x}-\frac{9}{2} c y v u_{x} u_{x y}+\frac{3}{2} c e y v u_{x x} \\
& -\frac{9}{2} c y v u_{y} u_{x x}+\frac{3}{4} c d y u_{x y} v_{x x}-\frac{3}{4} c d y v_{x} u_{x x y} \\
& +\frac{1}{4} c d e y v_{x x x}-\frac{3}{4} c d y u_{y} v_{x x x}-\frac{9}{4} c d y v u_{x x x y} \\
& -\frac{9}{2} c y v u_{y} u_{x x}+\frac{3}{4} c d y u_{x y} v_{x x}-\frac{3}{4} c d y v_{x} u_{x x y} \\
& -\frac{9}{2} c t u_{x} v_{x} u_{t}+\frac{9}{2} c t v u_{x x} u_{t}-\frac{3}{4} c d t v_{x x x} u_{t} \\
& +3 c^{2} y v u_{t z}+3 a c y v u_{t x}+\frac{9}{2} c t v u_{x} u_{t x} \\
& +\frac{3}{4} c d t v_{x x} u_{t x}-\frac{3}{4} c d t v_{x} u_{t x x}+\frac{3}{4} c d t v u_{t x x x},
\end{aligned}
$$

Fig. 10: Wave profile representing elliptic function (87) at $t=0.01$ and $z=0.02$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{7}^{t}=\frac{1}{2} z v u_{x x} a^{2}-\frac{1}{2} z u_{x} v_{x} a^{2}-\frac{1}{2} c v u_{x} a \\
\quad-\frac{1}{2} c z v_{z} u_{x} a-\frac{1}{2} b z v_{y} u_{x} a+\frac{1}{2} c u v_{x} a
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} c y u_{y} v_{x} a+\frac{1}{2} b z u_{y} v_{x} a+\frac{1}{2} c x u_{x} v_{x} a \\
& +\frac{1}{2} c z v u_{x z} a+\frac{1}{2} c y v u_{x y} a-\frac{1}{2} c x v u_{x x} a \\
& +c t v_{x} u_{t} a+c t v u_{t x} a-\frac{1}{2} c^{2} v u_{z} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} c^{2} u v_{z}-\frac{1}{2} b c v u_{y}-\frac{1}{2} c^{2} y v_{z} u_{y} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} b c z v_{z} u_{y}+\frac{1}{2} b c u v_{y}-\frac{1}{2} b c y u_{y} v_{y} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} b^{2} z u_{y} v_{y}+\frac{1}{2} c^{2} y v u_{y z}-\frac{1}{2} b c z v u_{y z} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} b c y v u_{y y}-\frac{1}{2} b^{2} z v u_{y y}+\frac{1}{2} c^{2} x v_{z} u_{x} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} b c x v_{y} u_{x}-\frac{1}{2} c^{2} x v u_{x z}-\frac{1}{2} b c x v u_{x y} \\
& -6 c t v u_{x} u_{x y}+2 \text { cetvu } u_{x x}-6 c t v u_{y} u_{x x} \\
& -2 c d t v u_{x x x y}+c^{2} t v_{z} u_{t}+b c t v_{y} u_{t} \\
& +c^{2} t v u_{t z}+b c t v u_{t y}, \\
& T_{7}^{x}=\frac{3}{2} z v_{y} u_{x}^{2} a-\frac{1}{2} z u_{x} v_{t} a^{2}-\frac{1}{2} z v u_{t x} a^{2} \\
& -e z u_{x} v_{x} a+3 z u_{y} u_{x} v_{x} a \\
& +3 z v u_{x} u_{x y} a-\frac{1}{2} d z v_{x y} u_{x x} a-\frac{1}{4} d z u_{x y} v_{x x} a \\
& +\frac{1}{2} d z v_{x} u_{x x y} a+\frac{3}{4} d z u_{x} v_{x x y} a+\frac{1}{4} d z v_{y} u_{x x x} a \\
& +\frac{1}{4} d z v u_{x x x y} a-\frac{3}{2} c v u_{t} a+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cuv}_{t} a \\
& -\frac{1}{2} c y u_{y} v_{t} a+\frac{1}{2} b z u_{y} v_{t} a+\frac{1}{2} c x u_{x} v_{t} a
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-3 c t v_{y} u_{x} u_{t}+2 c e t v_{x} u_{t}-6 c t u_{y} v_{x} u_{t}
$$

$$
-3 c t v u_{x y} u_{t}-\frac{3}{2} c d t v_{x x y} u_{t}+c^{2} x v u_{t z}
$$

$$
+b c x v u_{t y}+3 c t v u_{x} u_{t y}+\frac{1}{2} c d t v_{x x} u_{t y}
$$

$$
-2 c e t v u_{t x}+6 c t v u_{y} u_{t x}+c d t v_{x y} u_{t x}
$$

$$
-c d t v_{x} u_{t x y}-\frac{1}{2} c d t v_{y} u_{t x x}+\frac{3}{2} c d t v u_{t x x y}
$$



Fig. 11: Wave profile representing elliptic function (87) at $t=0.01$ and $z=0.02$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{7}^{y}=\frac{1}{2} z u_{y} v_{t} b^{2}+\frac{1}{2} z v u_{t y} b^{2}-\frac{3}{2} z u_{y} u_{x} v_{x} b \\
& -\frac{3}{2} z v u_{x} u_{x y} b+e z v u_{x x} b-\frac{3}{2} z v u_{y} u_{x x} b \\
& +\frac{1}{4} d z u_{x y} v_{x x} b-\frac{1}{4} d z v_{x} u_{x x y} b-\frac{1}{4} d z u_{y} v_{x x x} b \\
& -\frac{3}{4} d z v u_{x x x y} b-\frac{3}{2} c v u_{t} b+\frac{1}{2} c u v_{t} b \\
& -\frac{1}{2} c y u_{y} v_{t} b+\frac{1}{2} c x u_{x} v_{t} b-\frac{1}{2} a z u_{x} v_{t} b \\
& +c t u_{t} v_{t} b+c z v u_{t z} b-\frac{1}{2} c y v u_{t y} b \\
& -\frac{1}{2} c x v u_{t x} b+\frac{3}{2} a z v u_{t x} b-c t v u_{t t} b \\
& +3 c v u_{x}^{2}-\frac{3}{2} c x u_{x}^{2} v_{x}+\frac{3}{2} a z u_{x}^{2} v_{x} \\
& -\frac{3}{2} c u u_{x} v_{x}+\frac{3}{2} c y u_{y} u_{x} v_{x}+\frac{3}{2} c y v u_{x} u_{x y} \\
& - \text { ceyvu }_{x x}+\frac{3}{2} \text { cuvu }_{x x}+\frac{3}{2} \text { cyvu }_{y} u_{x x} \\
& +3 c x v u_{x} u_{x x}-3 a z v u_{x} u_{x x}-\frac{3}{4} c d v_{x} u_{x x} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} c d u_{x} v_{x x}-\frac{1}{4} c d y u_{x y} v_{x x}+\frac{1}{4} c d x u_{x x} v_{x x} \\
& -\frac{1}{4} a d z u_{x x} v_{x x}+\frac{1}{4} c d y v_{x} u_{x x y}+c d v u_{x x x} \\
& -\frac{1}{4} c d x v_{x} u_{x x x}+\frac{1}{4} a d z v_{x} u_{x x x}-\frac{1}{4} c d u v_{x x x} \\
& +\frac{1}{4} c d y u_{y} v_{x x x}-\frac{1}{4} c d x u_{x} v_{x x x}+\frac{1}{4} a d z u_{x} v_{x x x} \\
& +\frac{3}{4} c d y v u_{x x x y}+\frac{1}{4} c d x v u_{x x x x}-\frac{1}{4} a d z v u_{x x x x} \\
& -3 c t u_{x} v_{x} u_{t}+3 c t v u_{x x} u_{t}-\frac{1}{2} c d t v_{x x x} u_{t} \\
& -c^{2} y v u_{t z}-a c y v u_{t x}+3 c t v u_{x} u_{t x} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} c d t v_{x x} u_{t x}-\frac{1}{2} c d t v_{x} u_{t x x}+\frac{1}{2} c d t v u_{t x x x}, \\
& T_{7}^{z}=\frac{1}{2} u v_{t} c^{2}-\frac{3}{2} v u_{t} c^{2}-\frac{1}{2} y u_{y} v_{t} c^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} x u_{x} v_{t} c^{2}+t u_{t} v_{t} c^{2}+\frac{1}{2} y v u_{t y} c^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} x v u_{t x} c^{2}-t v u_{t t} c^{2}+\frac{1}{2} b z u_{y} v_{t} c \\
& -\frac{1}{2} a z u_{x} v_{t} c-\frac{1}{2} b z v u_{t y} c+\frac{1}{2} a z v u_{t x} c ; \\
& T_{G}^{t}=-\frac{1}{2} a G(t) v_{x}-\frac{1}{2} b G(t) v_{y}-\frac{1}{2} c G(t) v_{z},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{G}^{x}= & \frac{3}{2} G(t) u_{x y} v+\frac{1}{2} a G^{\prime}(t) v-\frac{1}{2} a G(t) v_{t} \\
& +\frac{3}{4} d G(t) v_{x x y}-e G(t) v_{x}+\frac{3}{2} G(t) u_{x} v_{y} \\
& +3 G(t) u_{y} v_{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
T_{G}^{y}=\frac{1}{2} b G^{\prime}(t) v-\frac{3}{2} G(t) u_{x x} v
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{2} b G(t) v_{t}+\frac{1}{4} d G(t) v_{x x x}+\frac{3}{2} G(t) u_{x} v_{x}
$$

$$
T_{G}^{z}=\frac{1}{2} c G^{\prime}(t) v-\frac{1}{2} c G(t) v_{t}
$$

$$
T_{F}^{t}=\frac{1}{2} c F^{\prime}(z) v-\frac{1}{2} a F(z) v_{x}
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{2} b F(z) v_{y}-\frac{1}{2} c F(z) v_{z}
$$

$$
T_{F}^{x}=\frac{3}{2} F(z) u_{x y} v-\frac{1}{2} a F(z) v_{t}+\frac{3}{4} d F(z) v_{x x y}
$$

$$
-e F(z) v_{x}+\frac{3}{2} F(z) u_{x} v_{y}+3 F(z) u_{y} v_{x}
$$

$$
T_{F}^{y}=\frac{3}{2} F(z) u_{x} v_{x}-\frac{3}{2} F(z) u_{x x} v-\frac{1}{2} b F(z) v_{t}
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{4} d F(z) v_{x x x}
$$

$$
T_{F}^{z}=-\frac{1}{2} c F(z) v_{t} .
$$

Remark 51We observe that by invoking Ibragimov's theorem, we obtained nine conservation laws of the 3D-KPLike (7) which contain new variable $v$ and arbitrary functions $F(z)$ and $G(t)$. These conservation laws are not the same, and there is the availability of functions that attest to the fact that a nonlinear differential equation can possess infinitely many conservation laws. In addition, some of them represent conserved quantities such as energy and momentum.

## 6 Conclusion

In this work, an investigation of a three-dimensional fourth-order nonlinear Kadomtsev-Petviashvili-like equation (7) was carried out. There are numerous disciplines in which this equation can be used. We performed symmetry analysis on the model and obtained point symmetries given in (12). In order to execute symmetry reductions and create exact solutions, we first
reduced the equation using the obtained Lie point symmetries. As a result, diverse group-invariant solutions were obtained. Besides, using the direct integration technique along with Kudryashov's approach, more solutions to (7) were found. Solutions of interest secured include logarithmic, exponential, and hyperbolic functions, as well as elliptic integral functions. In addition, various algebraic function solutions of interest were found. Moreover, the solutions secured were depicted with various diagrammatic representations by making an adequate choice of parameter values. Lastly, Ibragimov's approach was utilized to construct conservation laws for this model. These conservation laws represented conserved quantities that included energy and momentum.
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