

Application Of Fox-Wright Generalized Hypergeometric Functions to Multivalent Functions

ABDUL RAHMAN S. JUMA* and M. K. MAKHOOL

Department of Mathematics, Alanbar University, Iraq

Received: 20 Jun. 2014, Revised: 12 Oct. 2014, Accepted: 20 Oct. 2014

Published online: 1 Jan. 2015

Abstract: In the present paper, we introduce a new class of multivalent analytic functions by using Fox-Wright generalized Hypergeometric functions and we obtain the coefficient bounds, extreme points, integral representations, distortion bounds, radii of starlikeness and convexity and neighbourhood.

Keywords: Multivalent function, Starlike and Convex function, Hypergeometric functions , Integral Operator. **AMS Subject Classification :** Secondary 30C45.

1 Introduction

Consider the subclass $A(n, p)$ of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form

$$f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (n, p \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (1)$$

analytic and multivalent functions in the unit disk $\mathcal{U}(1) = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. The function $f(z)$ is said to be starlike of order $\delta (0 \leq \delta < p)$ if and only if

$$Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \delta, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}). \quad (2)$$

On the other hand $f(z)$ is said to be convex of order $\delta (0 \leq \delta < p)$ if and only if

$$Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \delta, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}) \quad (3)$$

Definition : Let \mathbb{H} denote a Hilbert space on the complex plane . Let \mathbb{V} denote an operator on \mathbb{H} . For a complex-valued function f analytic on $\mathcal{U}(1)$, let $f(\mathbb{V})$ denote the operator on \mathbb{H} defined by the Riesz-Dunford Integral [2]

$$f(\mathbb{V}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C (z\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{V})^{-1} f(z) dz \quad (4)$$

where \mathbb{I} is the identity operator on \mathbb{H} , C is positively oriented rectifiable Jordan cantour in $\mathcal{U}(1)$ and contain

the spectrum of interior domain. The operator $f(\mathbb{V})$ has series representation $f(\mathbb{V}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \mathbb{V}^k$, which converges in the normed topology [4]. For complex parameters

$$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q \left(\frac{\alpha_j}{A_j} \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots; j = 1, \dots, q \right)$$

and

$$\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s \left(\frac{\beta_j}{B_j} \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots; j = 1, \dots, s \right)$$

we define the Fox-Wright generalized hypergeometric function [5] (see also [7], [9], [13], [14]),

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_q\psi_s[(\alpha_1, A_1), \dots, (\alpha_q, A_q); (\beta_1, B_1), \dots, (\beta_s, B_s); z] \\ &= {}_q\psi_s[(\alpha_j, A_j)_{1,q}; (\beta_j, B_j)_{1,s}; z] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(\alpha_j + A_j k) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^s \Gamma(\beta_j + B_j k) \right\}^{-1} \frac{z^k}{k!} \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

($A_j > 0 (j = 1, \dots, q); B_j > 0 (j = 1, \dots, s); 1 + \sum_{j=1}^s B_j - \sum_{j=1}^q A_j \geq 0$).

If $A_j = 1 (j = 1, \dots, q)$ and $B_j = 1 (j = 1, \dots, s)$, we have the relationship

$$w {}_q\psi_s[(\alpha_j, 1)_{1,q}; (\beta_j, 1)_{1,s}; z] = {}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) \quad (6)$$

* Corresponding author e-mail: dr_juma@hotmail.com

where ${}_qF_s$ is generalized hypergeometric function and

$$w = \frac{\Gamma(\beta_1)\cdots\Gamma(\beta_s)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)\cdots\Gamma(\alpha_q)}, \quad (q \leq s + 1; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; z \in \mathcal{U}(1)).$$

Now let $q, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$ and β_1, \dots, β_s are also positive real numbers. Then, we define the function

$${}_q\phi_s[(\alpha_j, A_j)_{1,q}; (\beta_j, B_j)_{1,s}; z] = wz_q \Psi_s[(\alpha_j, A_j)_{1,q}; (\beta_j, B_j)_{1,s}; z]$$

and consider the linear operator [12] $L[(\alpha_j, A_j)_{1,q}; (\beta_j, B_j)_{1,s}] : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$L[(\alpha_j, A_j)_{1,q}; (\beta_j, B_j)_{1,s}]f(z) = {}_q\phi_s[(\alpha_j, A_j)_{1,q}; (\beta_j, B_j)_{1,s}; z] * f(z). \quad (7)$$

For simplicity, we write

$$L[\alpha_1]f(z) = L[(\alpha_1, A_1), \dots, (\alpha_q, A_q); (\beta_1, B_1), \dots, (\beta_s, B_s)]f(z). \quad (8)$$

We note that special cases of this operator were investigated by Dziok and Srivastava [3], by letting $A_j = 1$ ($j = 1, \dots, q$) and $B_j = 1$ ($j = 1, \dots, s$) in 7, and includes the Noor Integral operator [8].

Now we define the class of functions $\mathcal{M}_p^{(\mathbb{V})}(\alpha, \beta)$ consisting of functions f defined by 1 which satisfy the condition

$$Re \left\{ \frac{L[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})}{\mathbb{W}L'[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})} \right\} > \alpha \left| \frac{L[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})}{\mathbb{W}L'[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})} - p \right| + \beta, \quad (9)$$

for $\alpha \geq 0, 0 \leq p$ and for all operator \mathbb{V} such that $\mathbb{V} \neq \mathbb{O}$ and $\|\mathbb{V}\| < 1, \mathbb{O}$ being the null operator on \mathbb{H} .

We need the following,

Let $f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ and $g(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ then the Hadamard product $f * g$ is defined as

$$(f * g)(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k. \quad (10)$$

2 Coefficient bounds

At first, we prove necessary and sufficient condition for the function $f(z)$ as defined by 1 to belong to the class $\mathcal{M}_p^{(\mathbb{V})}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 2.1 : Let a function $f(z) \in T(n, p)$. Then $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(\mathbb{V})}(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \sigma_k a_k \left(\frac{(1 + \alpha) - k(\alpha p + \beta)}{(1 + \alpha) - p(\alpha p + \beta)} \right) < 1, \quad (11)$$

$0 \leq p, \alpha \geq 0$ and where

$$\sigma_k = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + A_1(k - n)) \cdots \Gamma(\alpha_q + A_q(k - n))}{\Gamma(1 + B_1(k - n)) \cdots \Gamma(s + B_s(k - n))(k - n)!}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (12)$$

Proof : Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(\mathbb{V})}(\alpha, \beta)$. Using the fact for real γ

$$Re(w) > \alpha|w - p| + \beta \Leftrightarrow Re[w(1 + \alpha e^{i\gamma}) - p\alpha e^{i\gamma}] > \beta$$

and letting $w = \frac{L[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})}{\mathbb{W}L'[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})}$ in 4, we obtain

$$Re \left(\frac{L[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})}{\mathbb{W}L'[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})} (1 + \alpha e^{i\gamma}) - p\alpha e^{i\gamma} \right) > \beta$$

or

$$Re \left(\frac{(\mathbb{V})^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k \sigma_k (\mathbb{V})^k}{(\mathbb{V})^{(p(\mathbb{V})^p)^{p-1} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k a_k \sigma_k}} (k) (\mathbb{V})^{k-1} (1 + \alpha e^{i\gamma}) - p\alpha e^{i\gamma} - \beta \right) > 0$$

Setting $\mathbb{V} = r\mathbb{I}$ ($0 < r < 1$) and letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$, yields

$$Re \left(\frac{((1 - \beta p) + (1 - p^2)\alpha e^{i\gamma} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (1 + \alpha e^{i\gamma} - kp\alpha e^{i\gamma} - \beta k) a_k \sigma_k)}{p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k a_k \sigma_k} \right) > 0$$

By mean value theorem, we have

$$Re \left((1 - \beta p) + (1 - p^2)\alpha e^{i\gamma} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (1 + \alpha e^{i\gamma} - kp\alpha e^{i\gamma} - \beta k) a_k \sigma_k \right) > 0$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} ((1 + \alpha) - k(p\alpha + \beta)) a_k \sigma_k < (1 + \alpha) - p(\beta + \alpha p).$$

Conversely, for $f \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(\mathbb{V})}(\alpha, \beta)$, it is enough to show that

$$\left| \frac{L[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})}{\mathbb{W}L'[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})} - \left(p + \alpha \left| \frac{L[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})}{\mathbb{W}L'[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})} - p \right| + \beta \right) \right| < \left| \frac{L[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})}{\mathbb{W}L'[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})} + \left(p - \alpha \left| \frac{L[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})}{\mathbb{W}L'[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})} - p \right| - \beta \right) \right|$$

by using the fact $Re(w) > \alpha \Leftrightarrow |w - (p + \alpha)| < |w + (p - \beta)|$.

Now let $M = \frac{L[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})}{|\mathbb{W}L'[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})|}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \left| \frac{L[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})}{\mathbb{W}L'[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})} + p - \beta - \alpha \left| \frac{L[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})}{\mathbb{W}L'[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})} - p \right| \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathbb{W}L'[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})|} \\ &\quad \left| L[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V}) + (p - \beta)(\mathbb{W}L'[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V}) - \alpha M |L[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V}) - p\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{W}L'[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V}))|) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathbb{W}L'[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})|} \left| \mathbb{V}^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k \sigma_k \mathbb{V}^k + (p - \beta) \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(p\mathbb{W}^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k a_k \sigma_k \mathbb{V}^k \right) - \alpha M \left| \mathbb{V}^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k \sigma_k \mathbb{V}^k - p(p\mathbb{W}^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k \sigma_k a_k \mathbb{V}^k) \right| \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathbb{W}L'[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})|} \left| \mathbb{V}^p + (p - \beta)p(\mathbb{V})^p - \alpha \mathbb{V}^p + \alpha p(p\mathbb{W}^p) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (1 + (p - \beta)k + \alpha - \alpha pk) \sigma_k a_k \mathbb{V}^k \right| \\ &> \frac{|\mathbb{V}|^p}{|\mathbb{W}L'[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})|} \left| ((1 - \alpha) + p(p - \beta + \alpha p) - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} ((1 + \alpha) - k(\beta + \alpha p) + kp) a_k \sigma_k \alpha) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$R = \frac{1}{|WL'[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})|} \left| L[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V}) - (p + \beta)WL'[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V}) \right. \\ \left. - \alpha M |L[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V}) - pWL'[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})| \right. \\ < \frac{|\mathbb{V}|^p}{|WL'[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})|} |1 - p(p + \beta) - \alpha + \alpha p \\ \left. + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (-kp + (1 + \alpha) - k(\beta + \alpha p)) a_k \sigma_k \right|$$

It is easy to verify that $T - R > 0$ if (11) holds, and the proof is complete.

3 Extreme Points and Distortion Bounds

Now we obtain the extreme points for the class $\mathcal{M}_p^{(\mathbb{V})}(\alpha, \beta)$

Theorem 3.1 : Let $f_1(z) = z^p$ and

$$f_k(z) = z^p - \frac{(1 + \alpha) - p(\alpha p + \beta)}{((1 + \alpha) - k(\alpha p + \beta))\sigma_k} z^k$$

where, $k \geq n + p, n, p \in \mathbb{N}$, then $f \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(\mathbb{V})}(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if $f(z)$ can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \lambda_1 f_1(z) + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \lambda_k f_k(z) \tag{13}$$

where

$$\lambda_1 + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \lambda_k = 1 \quad (\lambda_1 \geq 0, \lambda_k \geq 0). \tag{14}$$

Proof : Let f can be expressed by the form 13, then

$$f(z) = \lambda_1 z^p + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left[\lambda_k z^p - \frac{(1 + \alpha) - p(\alpha p + \beta)\lambda_k z^k}{\{(1 + \alpha) - k(\alpha p + \beta)\}\sigma_k} \right] \\ = z^p \left(\lambda_1 + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \lambda_k \right) - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} t_k z^k = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} t_k z^k \tag{15}$$

where

$$t_k = \frac{((1 + \alpha) - p(\alpha p + \beta))\lambda_k}{((1 + \alpha) - k(\alpha p + \beta))\sigma_k}$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{[(1 + \alpha) - k(\alpha p + \beta)]\sigma_k}{(1 + \alpha) - p(\alpha p + \beta)} t_k = \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \lambda_k = 1 - \lambda_1 < 1$$

then we conclude that $f \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(\mathbb{V})}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Conversely, let $f \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(\mathbb{V})}(\alpha, \beta)$, then by 11

$$a_k < \frac{(1 + \alpha) - p(\alpha p + \beta)}{[(1 + \alpha) - k(\alpha p + \beta)]\sigma_k}, \quad k \geq n + p, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

so, if we set

$$\lambda_k = \frac{[(1 + \alpha) - k(\alpha p + \beta)]\sigma_k}{(1 + \alpha) - p(\alpha p + \beta)} a_k < 1,$$

and $\lambda_1 = 1 - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \lambda_k$. Then

$$f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k z^k \\ = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{((1 + \alpha) - p(\alpha p + \beta))\lambda_k}{[(1 + \alpha) - k(\alpha p + \beta)]\sigma_k} z^k \\ = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \lambda_k (z^p - f_k(z)) \\ = \left(1 - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \lambda_k \right) z^p + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \lambda_k f_k(z).$$

Next, we derive the distribution bound for $L[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})$.

Theorem 3.2 : Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(\mathbb{V})}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then

$$r^p - r^{p+n} \frac{(1 + \alpha) - p(\alpha p + \beta)}{(1 + \alpha) - (n + p)(\alpha p + \beta)} \\ < \|L[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})\| < r^p + r^{p+n} \frac{(1 + \alpha) - p(\alpha p + \beta)}{(1 + \alpha) - (n + p)(\alpha p + \beta)}.$$

Proof : Since $f \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(\mathbb{V})}(\alpha, \beta)$, then it follows from 13 that

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k \sigma_k < \frac{(1 + \alpha) - p(\alpha p + \beta)}{(1 + \alpha) - (n + p)(\alpha p + \beta)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|L[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})\| = \left| r^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k \sigma_k r^k \right| \\ \leq r^p + r^{p+n} \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k \sigma_k \\ < r^p + \frac{(1 + \alpha) - p(\alpha p + \beta)}{(1 + \alpha) - (n + p)(\alpha p + \beta)} r^{p+n}$$

and

$$\|L[\alpha_1]f(\mathbb{V})\| \geq r^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k \sigma_k r^k \\ \geq r^p - r^{p+n} \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k \sigma_k \\ > r^p - \frac{(1 + \alpha) - p(\alpha p + \beta)}{(1 + \alpha) - (n + p)(\alpha p + \beta)} r^{p+n}.$$

4 Radii of Starlikeness and Convexity

Now we obtain the radius of starlikeness and convexity for the class $\mathcal{M}_p^{(\mathbb{V})}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 4.1 : The radius of starlikeness for the class $f \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(V)}(\alpha, \beta)$ is given by,

$$r_1(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \mu, p, \gamma) = \inf_k \left[\frac{(p-\gamma)[(1+\alpha)-k(\alpha p+\beta)]}{[(1+\alpha)-p(\alpha p+\beta)](k-\gamma)} \sigma_k \right]^{\frac{1}{k-p}}.$$

Proof : For $0 \leq \gamma < p$, we want to show that

$$\left| \frac{zf'}{f} - p \right| < p - \gamma$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (k-p)a_k r^{k-p}}{1 - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k r^{k-p}} < p - \gamma \Rightarrow \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k-\gamma}{p-\gamma} a_k r^{k-p} < 1.$$

By 11 it is easy to see that the above inequality holds if

$$r^{k-p} < \left[\frac{(p-\gamma)\{(1+\alpha)-k(\alpha p+\beta)\}}{\{(1+\alpha)-p(\alpha p+\beta)\}(k-\gamma)} \right] \sigma_k.$$

Now, since f is convex if and only if zf' is starlike, then we have:

Theorem 4.2 : The radius of convexity for the class $f \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(V)}(\alpha, \beta)$ is given by,

$$r_2(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \mu, p, \gamma) = \inf_k \left[\frac{[(1+\alpha)-k(\alpha p+\beta)](p-\gamma)\sigma_k}{k(k-\gamma)[(1+\alpha)-p(\alpha p+\beta)]} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-p}}.$$

5 Neighbourhoods

Now we extend the concept of neighbourhoods of analytic function for the class $\mathcal{M}_p^{(V)}(\alpha, \beta)$. Goodman [6] introduced this concept and, then generalized by Ruscheweyh [10].

Let $\alpha \geq 0, 0 \leq \lambda < p, \lambda > -1, \delta \geq 0$, we define the δ -neighbourhoods of a function $f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ and denote by $N_{\delta, p}^{\lambda, \mu}(f)(z)$ consisting of all functions

$$g(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ satisfying}$$

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\alpha)-k(\alpha p+\beta)}{(1+\alpha)-p(\alpha p+\beta)} \sigma_k |a_k - b_k| \leq \delta.$$

Theorem 5.1 : Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(V)}(\alpha, \beta)$, then $N_{\delta, p}^{\lambda, \mu}(f) \subset \mathcal{M}_p^{(V)}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Before proving this theorem we need the following two lemmas can be found the proofs in [11].

Lemma 5.1 : If for every complex number ξ with $|\xi| < \delta (0 \leq \delta)$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(V)}(\alpha, \beta)$ then $\frac{f(z)+\xi z^p}{1+\xi} \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(V)}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Lemma 5.2 : $f \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(V)}(\alpha, \beta) \Leftrightarrow \frac{(f*\psi)(z)}{z^p} \neq 0, z \in \mathcal{U} - \{0\}$ where $\psi(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ and

$$|b_k| \leq \frac{(1+\alpha)-k(\alpha p+\beta)}{(1+\alpha)-p(\alpha p+\beta)} \sigma_k.$$

Proof of Theorem 5.1 : Since $f \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(V)}(\alpha, \beta)$, then by Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\frac{f(z)+\xi z^p}{1+\xi} \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(V)}(\alpha, \beta),$$

therefore,

$$\left(\frac{f(z)+\xi z^p}{1+\xi} * \psi(z) \right) \neq 0$$

then

$$z^{-p} \left(\frac{f(z)+\xi z^p}{1+\xi} * \psi(z) \right) \neq 0,$$

and so

$$\frac{(f*\psi)(z)}{(1+\xi)z^p} + \frac{\xi}{1+\xi} \neq 0.$$

Let $\left| \frac{(f*\psi)(z)}{z^p} \right| < \delta$, then we must have

$$\left| \frac{(f*\psi)(z)}{z^p(1+\xi)} + \frac{\xi}{1+\xi} \right| \geq \frac{|\xi|}{|1+\xi|} - \frac{1}{|1+\xi|} \left| \frac{(f*\psi)(z)}{z^p} \right| > \frac{|\xi|-\delta}{|1+\xi|} \geq 0,$$

which is a contradiction with $|\xi| < \delta$, however we have $\left| \frac{(f*\psi)(z)}{z^p} \right| > \delta$. Let

$$h(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} e_k z^k \in N_{\delta, p}^{\lambda, \mu}(f),$$

then

$$\delta - \left| \frac{(h*\psi)(z)}{z^p} \right| \leq \left| \frac{((f-h)*\psi)(z)}{z^p} \right| \leq \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} |a_k - e_k| |b_k| |z^k| < \frac{(1+\alpha)-k(\alpha p+\beta)}{(1+\alpha)-p(\alpha p+\beta)} |a_k - e_k| \sigma_k \leq \delta.$$

So we obtain $\frac{(h*\psi)(z)}{z^p} \neq 0$, and by Lemma 5.2 we have $h \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(V)}(\alpha, \beta)$.

6 Some Properties of Class $\mathcal{M}^{(V)}_p(\alpha, \beta)$

Theorem 6.1 : Let $f_i(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_{k,i} z^k$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_p^{(V)}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $0 < \lambda_i < 1$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1$, then the function $G(z) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f_i(z)$ is also in $\mathcal{M}_p^{(V)}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof : Since $f_i(z) \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(V)}(\alpha, \beta)$, then by 11 we have

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\alpha)-k(\alpha p+\beta)}{(1+\alpha)-p(k p+\beta)} a_{k,i} \sigma_k < 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(z) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f_i(z) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \left(z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_{k,i} z^k \right) \\
 &= z^p \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i a_{k,i} \right) z^k \\
 &= z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i a_{k,i} \right) z^k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\alpha) - k(\alpha p + \beta)}{(1+\alpha) - p(\alpha p + \beta)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i a_{k,i} \right) \sigma_k \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \left[\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\alpha) - k(\alpha p + \beta)}{(1+\alpha) - p(\alpha p + \beta)} a_{k,i} \sigma_k \right] < \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

then $G(z) \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(V)}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Here we introduce an integral operator due to Bernardi

[1]

$$L_e[f] = \frac{p+e}{z^e} \int_0^z f(t) t^{e-1} dt \quad (e > -p).$$

Theorem 6.2 : If $f \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(V)}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then $L_e[f]$ also belongs to $\mathcal{M}_p^{(V)}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof : Let $f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_e[f] &= \frac{p+e}{z^e} \int_0^z \left(t^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k t^k \right) t^{e-1} dt \\
 &= \frac{p+e}{z^e} \left[\left(\frac{1}{p+e} t^{p+e} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k+e} a_k t^{k+e} \right) \right]_0^z \\
 &= z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{p+e}{k+e} a_k z^k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $e > -p, k \geq n+p > p$, then $\frac{p+e}{k+e} \leq 1$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(1+\alpha) - k(\alpha p + \beta)}{(1+\alpha) - p(\alpha p + \beta)} \right] \left[\frac{p+e}{k+e} \right] \sigma_k a_k \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\alpha) - k(\alpha p + \beta)}{(1+\alpha) - p(\alpha p + \beta)} \sigma_k a_k < 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

By assumption $f \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(V)}(\alpha, \beta)$. Thus $L_e[f] \in \mathcal{M}_p^{(V)}(\alpha, \beta)$

[3] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Appl. Math. Comput., 103 (1999), 1-13.

[4] K. Fan, Julia's lemma for operators, Math. Ann., 239 (1979), 241-245.

[5] C. Fox, The asymptotic expansion of generalized hypergeometric functions, Proc. Landon Math. Soc. (Ser. 2), 27 (1928), 389-400.

[6] A. W. Goodman, Univalent functions with analytic curves, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 8 (1957), 598-601.

[7] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and T. Rosy, Subclasses of analytic functions associated with Fox-Wright's generalized hypergeometric functions based on Hilbert space operator, Stud. Univ. Babe-Bolyai, Math., 56(3), (2011), 61-72.

[8] K. I. Noore and M. A. Noore, On integral operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 238 (1999), 341-352.

[9] J. Ram, and S. Chandak, Unified fractional derivative formulas for the Fox-Wright generalized hypergeometric function. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India, Sect. A, Phys. Sci. 79(1), (2009), 51-57.

[10] S. Ruscheweyh, Neighbourhood of univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 81 (1981), 521-527.

[11] S. Shams and S. R. Kulkarni, Certain properties of the class of univalent functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivative, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 97 (3) (2005), 223-234.

[12] H. M. Srivastava, Some Fox-Wright generalized hypergeometric functions and associated families of convolution operators, Appl. Anal. and Discrete Math., 1 (2007), 56-71.

[13] C. Wei, D. Gong, and H. Hao, A family of summation formulas on the Fox-Wright function, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 393(1), (2012), 316-327.

[14] E. M. Wright, The asymptotic expansion of the generalized hypergeometric function, J. London Math. Soc., 10 (1935), 286-293.



Abdul Rahman

S. Juma He received the PhD degree in Mathematics at University of Pune (India). He has more than 65 published research article and is referee of several international journals in the frame of pure and applied mathematics,. His main research interests are:

- (1) Geometric Function Theory : (Analytic and Univalent Functions).
- (2) Special Functions.
- (3) Application of (functional analysis ,Linear algebra) Supervisor of many Ph.D. and M.Sc. students.

References

[1] S. D. Bernardi, Convex and starlike univalent functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (1969), 429-446.

[2] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz; Linear operators. Part I : General Theory (Reprinted from the (1958) original). A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1988.