

Existence Solutions for a Nonlinear Langevin Fractional q -Difference System in Banach Space

Abdellatif Boutiara^{1,*}, Maamar Benbachir² and Mansour Lotayif³

¹ Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Sciences appliquées, Université de Ghardaia, Ghardaia, Algérie

² Faculty of Sciences, Saad Dahlab University, Blida, Algeria

³ College of Administrative Sciences, Applied Science University, P.O. Box 5055, East Al-Ekir, Kingdom of Bahrain

Received: 2 Mar. 2021, Revised: 18 Jun. 2021, Accepted: 5 Jul. 2021

Published online: 1 Oct. 2022

Abstract: This paper is related to deriving some necessary and appropriate conditions for qualitative results about a class of coupled Langevin fractional q -difference system in Banach space. A combination of the Mönch's fixed-point theorem and measuring of non-compactness is used in our analysis. For the demonstration of our theoretical results, we provide an example.

Keywords: Coupled fractional differential system, quantum calculus, fractional q -derivative, Mönch fixed point theorems, Kuratowski measures of noncompactness, Banach space.

1 Introduction

The subject of fractional calculus (FCs) and q -calculus is one of the various areas of mathematical analysis. In 1910, Jackson [1] introduced the subject of the q -difference equation. After that, In the early 20th century, numerous works on the q -difference equation appeared, especially in [2,3]. In order to find some earlier work on this topic, we refer to [4,5], whereas the conceptual background of q -fractional calculus (q -FCs) is found in [6]. There are countless applications and studies on the q -FCs, such as [7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18].

In particular, fractional Langevin differential equations (FLDE) have been one of the most important topics in chemistry, physics and electrical engineering. The Langevin equation (LE) introduced by Langevin in 1908, is found to be an effective tool to describe the evolution of physical phenomena under fluctuating environments [19]. For instance, when the random fluctuation force is supposed to be white noise, the LE accurately captures Brownian motion. The generalized LE can be used to describe a particle's motion if the random fluctuation force is not white noise. The typical LE does not accurately describe the dynamics of systems in complex media. The generalized LE [20,21] which includes the fractal and memory features with a dissipative memory kernel into the LE, is one of many adaptations of LEs that have been proposed to describe dynamical processes in a fractal media. Another potential extension calls for the ordinary derivative in the LE to be changed to a fractional derivative to produce the fractional LE [22,23,24,25,26].

This paper is mainly concerned with the existence results for the following Langevin fractional q -difference equations:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_q^{\beta_1} (\mathcal{D}_q^{\alpha_1} + \lambda_1) \omega_1(\varkappa) = \mathcal{F}_1(\varkappa, \omega_1(\varkappa), \omega_2(\varkappa)), \\ \mathcal{D}_q^{\beta_2} (\mathcal{D}_q^{\alpha_2} + \lambda_2) \omega_2(\varkappa) = \mathcal{F}_2(\varkappa, \omega_1(\varkappa), \omega_2(\varkappa)), \end{cases} \quad \varkappa \in \Pi, \tag{1}$$

with the Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} \omega_1(0) = \gamma_1, \omega_1(1) = \eta_1, \\ \omega_2(0) = \gamma_2, \omega_2(1) = \eta_2. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

* Corresponding author e-mail: boutiara.a@yahoo.com

where $\Pi := [0, 1]$, $0 < \alpha_i, \beta_i \leq 1$, $i = 1, 2$, and \mathcal{D}_q is the q -derivative of the q -Caputo type. $\mathcal{F}_i : \Pi \times \mathcal{E}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ are continuous functions, and \mathcal{E} is a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, λ_i , $i = 1, 2$, are any real numbers.

In the present manuscript, we will discuss the existence findings for the problem (1) which are based on the combination of the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness (KMNC) and the Mönchs fixed point theorem (MFPT). This method was further expanded and utilized in other studies, where it proved to be a very helpful tool for finding solutions to various types of integral equations. The strong MNC was deemed to be first by Banaś et al. [27], for more details are found in Akhmerov et al. [28], Alvàrez [29], Benchohra et al [30], Boutiara et al. [31,32,33,34], Mönch [35], Szuffla [36].

Our work is designed as. In the next portion, we provide the backgrounds and preliminary lemmas that we will utilize them to prove our outcomes. The main results related to qualitative theory are established in part 3. The section 4 is enriched by constructing a pertinent application. The last portion is concluded with some future directions and remarks.

2 Preliminaries

Some necessary results needed onward for our analysis are given bellow.

Let $C(\Pi, \mathcal{E})$ be the Banach space endowed with norm

$$\|\omega\|_\infty = \sup\{\|\omega(\varkappa)\| : \varkappa \in \Pi\}.$$

Further, $\mathcal{C} := C(\Pi, \mathcal{E}) \times C(\Pi, \mathcal{E})$ the Banach space equipped with the norm

$$\|(\omega, y)\|_{\mathcal{C}} = \|\omega\|_\infty + \|y\|_\infty.$$

Let $L^1(\Pi, \mathcal{E})$ be the space of Bochner-integrable functions endowed with norm

$$\|\omega\|_{L^1} = \int_\Pi |\omega(\varkappa)| dt.$$

Now we look at some specifications of the concept of q -FCs.

For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we set

$$[a]_q = \frac{1 - q^a}{1 - q}.$$

A q -analogue of the power $((a - b)^n)$ is

$$(a - b)^{(0)} = 1, \quad (a - b)^{(n)} = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (a - bq^k), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

On the whole,

$$(a - b)^{(\alpha)} = a^\alpha \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{a - bq^k}{a - bq^{k+\alpha}} \right), \quad a, b, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Definition 1.[37] The q -gamma function is described by

$$\Gamma_q(\eta) = \frac{(1 - q)^{(\eta-1)}}{(1 - q)^{\eta-1}}, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{R} - \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}.$$

Take note of the q -gamma function fulfills $\Gamma_q(1 + \eta) = [\eta]_q \Gamma_q(\xi)$.

Definition 2.[37] The q -derivative (q -D) of order $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ of a function $(\mathcal{F} : \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$ is described by $((\mathcal{D}_q^0 \mathcal{F})(\varkappa) = \mathcal{F}(\varkappa))$,

$$(\mathcal{D}_q \mathcal{F})(\varkappa) := (\mathcal{D}_q^1 \mathcal{F})(\varkappa) = \frac{\mathcal{F}(\varkappa) - \mathcal{F}(q\varkappa)}{(1 - q)\varkappa}, \quad \varkappa \neq 0, \quad (\mathcal{D}_q \mathcal{F})(0) = \lim_{\varkappa \rightarrow 0} (\mathcal{D}_q \mathcal{F})(\varkappa),$$

and

$$(\mathcal{D}_q^n \mathcal{F})(\varkappa) = (\mathcal{D}_q \mathcal{D}_q^{n-1} \mathcal{F})(\varkappa), \quad \varkappa \in \Pi, n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Set $(I_\varkappa := \{tq^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\})$.

Definition 3.[37] The q -integral (q -I) of a function $(\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{I}_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$ is given by

$$(\mathcal{I}_q \mathcal{F})(x) = \int_0^x \mathcal{F}(s) d_q s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x(1-q)q^n \mathcal{F}(tq^n),$$

if the series is convergent. we observe that $((\mathcal{D}_q \mathcal{I}_q \mathcal{F})(x) = \mathcal{F}(x))$, while if \mathcal{F} is continuous at 0, then

$$(\mathcal{I}_q \mathcal{D}_q \mathcal{F})(x) = \mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{F}(0).$$

Definition 4.[38] The Riemann Liouville (RL) fractional q -integral (Fq-I) of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$ of a function $(\mathcal{F} : \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$ is given by $((\mathcal{I}_q^\alpha \mathcal{F})(x) = \mathcal{F}(x))$, and

$$(\mathcal{I}_q^\alpha \mathcal{F})(x) = \int_0^x \frac{(x-qs)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \mathcal{F}(s) d_q s, \quad x \in \Pi.$$

Lemma 1.[17] For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $(\lambda \in (-1, \infty))$, we get

$$(\mathcal{I}_q^\alpha (x-a)^\lambda)(x) = \frac{\Gamma_q(1+\lambda)}{\Gamma_q(1+\lambda+\alpha)} (x-a)^{(\lambda+\alpha)}, \quad 0 < a < x < T.$$

In particular,

$$(\mathcal{I}_q^\alpha 1)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(1+\alpha)} x^{(\alpha)}.$$

Definition 5.[39] The RL fractional q -derivative (Fq-D) of order $(\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ of a function $(\mathcal{F} : \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$ is defined by $((\mathcal{D}_q^\alpha \mathcal{F})(x) = \mathcal{F}(x))$, and

$$(\mathcal{D}_q^\alpha \mathcal{F})(x) = (\mathcal{D}_q^{[\alpha]} \mathcal{I}_q^{[\alpha]-\alpha} \mathcal{F})(x), \quad x \in \Pi,$$

where $([\alpha])$ is the integer part of α .

Lemma 2.[40] Let $\alpha > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, the following equality holds

$$({}_{RL} \mathcal{I}_q^\alpha {}_{RL} \mathcal{D}_q^n \mathcal{F})(x) = {}_{RL} \mathcal{D}_q^n {}_{RL} \mathcal{I}_q^\alpha \mathcal{F}(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha-1} \frac{x^{\alpha-n+k}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+k-n+1)} (\mathcal{D}_q^k \mathcal{F})(0).$$

Definition 6.[39] The Caputo Fq-D of order $(\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ of a function $(\mathcal{F} : \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$ is given by $(({}^C \mathcal{D}_q^\alpha \mathcal{F})(x) = \mathcal{F}(x))$, and

$$({}^C \mathcal{D}_q^\alpha \mathcal{F})(x) = (\mathcal{I}_q^{[\alpha]-\alpha} \mathcal{D}_q^{[\alpha]} \mathcal{F})(x), \quad x \in \Pi.$$

Lemma 3.[39] Let $(\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+)$. Then

$$(\mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha C} \mathcal{D}_q^\alpha \mathcal{F})(x) = \mathcal{F}(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{[\alpha]-1} \frac{x^k}{\Gamma_q(1+k)} (\mathcal{D}_q^k \mathcal{F})(0).$$

In particular, if $(\alpha \in (0, 1))$, then

$$(\mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha C} \mathcal{D}_q^\alpha \mathcal{F})(x) = \mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{F}(0).$$

Lemma 4.[41] Let $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and let \mathcal{F} is function on $[0, 1]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_q^\alpha \mathcal{I}_q^\beta \mathcal{F}(x) &= \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha+\beta} \mathcal{F}(x) = \mathcal{I}_q^\beta \mathcal{I}_q^\alpha \mathcal{F}(x), \\ \mathcal{D}_q^\alpha \mathcal{I}_q^\alpha \mathcal{F}(x) &= \mathcal{F}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let us now recall some basic facts about the notion of KMNC.

Definition 7.[28, 27] Let \mathcal{E} is Banach space and $\Omega_\mathcal{E}$ the bounded subsets of \mathcal{E} . The KMNC is the map $\mu : \Omega_\mathcal{E} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ defined by

$$\mu(\mathcal{F}) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : \mathcal{F} \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{T}_i \text{ and } \text{diam}(\mathcal{T}_i) \leq \varepsilon\}; \text{ here } \mathcal{F} \in \Omega_\mathcal{E}.$$

Proposition 1.[28, 27] *The KMNC fulfills the following:*

1. $\mu(\mathcal{T}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is compact (\mathcal{T} is relatively compact).

2. $\mu(\mathcal{T}) = \mu(\overline{\mathcal{T}})$.

3. $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{T} \Rightarrow \mu(\mathcal{R}) \leq \mu(\mathcal{T})$.

4. $\mu(\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{T}) \leq \mu(\mathcal{R}) + \mu(\mathcal{T})$

5. $\mu(c\mathcal{T}) = |c|\mu(\mathcal{T}); c \in \mathbb{R}$.

6. $\mu(\text{conv}\mathcal{T}) = \mu(\mathcal{T})$.

$\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\text{conv}\mathcal{T}$ indicate, respectively, the closure and convex hull of the bounded set \mathcal{T} . For more details see [28, 27].

A set \mathcal{V} of functions $v : \Pi \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, represented by

$$\mathcal{V}(\varkappa) = \{v(\varkappa) : v \in \mathcal{V}\}, \varkappa \in \Pi,$$

and

$$\mathcal{V}(\Pi) = \{v(\varkappa) : v \in \mathcal{V}, \varkappa \in \Pi\}.$$

Now, let's recall Mönch's fixed point theorem (MFPT) and an essential lemma.

Theorem 1.[35, 36, 42] *Let \mathcal{D} is closed, bounded and convex subset of a Banach space such that $0 \in \mathcal{D}$, and let \mathcal{N} is continuous mapping of \mathcal{D} into itself. If*

$$\mathcal{V} = \overline{\text{conv}}\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{V}) \text{ or } \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{V}) \cup \{0\} \Rightarrow \mu(\mathcal{V}) = 0 \quad (3)$$

holds for each subset \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{D} , then \mathcal{N} has a fixed point.

Lemma 5.[36] *Let \mathcal{D} is a closed, bounded and convex subspace of $C(\Pi, \mathcal{E})$, $\mathcal{G} : \Pi \times \Pi$ is continuous function and $\mathcal{F} : \Pi \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is function which fulfills the Caratheodory conditions, and Assume there exists $p \in L^1(\Pi, \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that, for any $\varkappa \in \Pi$ and any bounded set $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{E}$, we get*

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \mu(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_{\varkappa, h} \times \mathcal{T})) \leq p(\varkappa)\mu(\mathcal{T}); \text{ here } \mathcal{I}_{\varkappa, h} = [\varkappa - h, \varkappa] \cap \Pi.$$

If \mathcal{V} is an equicontinuous subset of \mathcal{D} , then

$$\mu\left(\left\{\int_{\Pi} \mathcal{G}(s, \varkappa) \mathcal{F}(s, \omega(s)) ds : \omega \in \mathcal{V}\right\}\right) \leq \int_{\Pi} \|G(\varkappa, s)\| p(s) \mu(\mathcal{V}(s)) ds.$$

3 Main results

This section focuses on the existence of solutions to system (1)-(2).

Definition 8. *By a solution of the coupled system (1)-(2) we mean a coupled measurable functions $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\omega_i(0) = \gamma_i, \omega_i(1) = \eta_i, i = 1, 2$, and the FDE $\mathcal{D}_q^{\beta_i}(\mathcal{D}_q^{\alpha_i} + \lambda_i)\omega_i(\varkappa) = \mathcal{F}_i(\varkappa, \omega_1(\varkappa), \omega_2(\varkappa))$ are fulfilled on Π .*

The following auxiliary lemmas are needed in order to prove the existence of solutions for the system (1)-(2).

Lemma 6. *For $i = 1, 2$, $\mathcal{F}_i : \Pi \times \mathcal{E}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ are continuous functions. Then the system (1)-(2) is equivalent to the integral equation (IE)*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_i(\varkappa) &= \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i + \beta_i} \mathcal{F}_i(\varkappa, \omega_1(\varkappa), \omega_2(\varkappa)) - \lambda_i \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} \omega_i(\varkappa) \\ &+ \varkappa^{\alpha_i} \left\{ \eta_i - \gamma_i - \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i + \beta_i} \mathcal{F}_i(1, \omega_1(1), \omega_2(1)) + \lambda_i \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} \omega_i(1) \right\} + \gamma_i, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

if and only if $\omega_i, i = 1, 2$ is a solution of the FBVP

$$\mathcal{D}_q^{\beta_i}(\mathcal{D}_q^{\alpha_i} + \lambda_i)\omega_i(\varkappa) = \mathcal{F}_i(\varkappa, \omega_1(\varkappa), \omega_2(\varkappa)), \quad \varkappa \in \Pi, i = 1, 2, \quad (5)$$

$$\omega_i(0) = \gamma_i, \quad \omega_i(1) = \eta_i, i = 1, 2. \quad (6)$$

Proof. Assume that ω satisfies (5). Then by applying Lemma 1, Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, we can reduce the system (5)-(6) to an equivalent IE

$$\omega_i(\varkappa) = \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} \mathcal{F}_i(\varkappa, \omega_1(\varkappa), \omega_2(\varkappa)) - \lambda_i \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} \mathcal{F}_i(\varkappa, \omega_1(\varkappa), \omega_2(\varkappa)) + c_0 \frac{\varkappa^{\alpha_i}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha_i+1)} + c_1. \tag{7}$$

Applying the BCs (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_i(0) &= c_1, \\ \omega_i(1) &= \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} \mathcal{F}_i(\varkappa, \omega_1(\varkappa), \omega_2(\varkappa))(1) - \lambda_i \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} \omega_i(1) + \frac{c_0}{\Gamma_q(\alpha_i+1)} + c_1. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= \gamma_i, \\ \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} \mathcal{F}_i(\varkappa, \omega_1(\varkappa), \omega_2(\varkappa))(1) - \lambda_i \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} \omega_i(1) + \frac{c_0}{\Gamma_q(\alpha_i+1)} + \gamma_i &= \eta_i. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= \gamma_i \\ c_0 &= \Gamma_q(\alpha_i+1) \left\{ \eta_i - \gamma_i - \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} \mathcal{F}_i(\varkappa, \omega_1(\varkappa), \omega_2(\varkappa))(1) + \lambda_i \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} \omega_i(1) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain the solution (4)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_i(\varkappa) &= \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} \mathcal{F}_i(\varkappa, \omega_1(\varkappa), \omega_2(\varkappa))(\varkappa) - \lambda_i \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} \omega_i(\varkappa) \\ &\quad + \varkappa^{\alpha_i} \left\{ \eta_i - \gamma_i - \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} \mathcal{F}_i(\varkappa, \omega_1(\varkappa), \omega_2(\varkappa))(1) + \lambda_i \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} \omega_i(1) \right\} + \gamma_i, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

Our further hypotheses are as follows.

(\mathcal{H}_1) For any $i = 1, 2$, $\mathcal{F}_i : \Pi \times \mathcal{E}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ fulfills the Caratheodory conditions;

(\mathcal{H}_2) There exists $p_i, q_i \in C(\Pi, \mathbb{R}^+)$, such that,

$$\|\mathcal{F}_i(\varkappa, \omega)\| \leq p_i(\varkappa)\|\omega_1\| + q_i(\varkappa)\|\omega_2\|, \text{ for } \varkappa \in \Pi \text{ and every } \omega_i \in \mathcal{E}, i = 1, 2.$$

(\mathcal{H}_3) For any $\varkappa \in \Pi$ and every bounded measurable sets $\mathcal{T}_i \subset \mathcal{E}, i=1,2$, we get

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \mu(\mathcal{F}_i(\mathcal{I}_{\varkappa,h} \times \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2), 0) \leq p_1(\varkappa)\mu(\mathcal{T}_1) + q_1(\varkappa)\mu(\mathcal{T}_2),$$

and

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \mu(0, \mathcal{F}_i(\mathcal{I}_{\varkappa,h} \times \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)) \leq p_2(\varkappa)\mu(\mathcal{T}_1) + q_2(\varkappa)\mu(\mathcal{T}_2),$$

where μ is the KMNC and $\mathcal{I}_{\varkappa,h} = [\varkappa - h, \varkappa] \cap \Pi$.

Set

$$p_i^* = \sup_{\varkappa \in \Pi} p_i(\varkappa) \quad \text{and} \quad q_i^* = \sup_{\varkappa \in \Pi} q_i(\varkappa), i = 1, 2.$$

Theorem 2. Suppose that (\mathcal{H}_1)-(\mathcal{H}_3) hold. If

$$\Lambda < 1, \tag{8}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &:= \sum_{i=1}^2 (\Lambda_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \left\{ \frac{2(p_i^*+q_i^*)\rho}{\Gamma_q(\alpha_i+\beta_i+1)} + \frac{2|\lambda_i|\rho}{\Gamma_q(\alpha_i+1)} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

Then the system (1)-(2) possesses a solution on Π .

Proof: Transform the problem (1) into a FP problem. We consider the operator $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ formulated by

$$\mathcal{G} \omega_i(\varkappa) = \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} h(\varkappa) - \lambda_i \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} h(\varkappa) + \varkappa^{\alpha_i} \left\{ \eta_i - \gamma_i - \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} h(1) + \lambda_i \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} h(1) \right\} + \gamma_i. \quad (9)$$

Evidently, the FPs \mathcal{G} are solutions of the system (1)-(2). Let

$$\rho \geq \frac{\eta_i}{1-\Lambda}, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (10)$$

and consider

$$\mathcal{D}_\rho = \{ \omega_i \in \mathcal{C}, i = 1, 2 : \|(\omega_1, \omega_2)\| \leq \rho \}.$$

It's clear, the subset \mathcal{D}_ρ is convex, bounded and closed. We shall show that \mathcal{G} fulfills the conditions of MFPT. We will present the proof in three claims.

Claim 1: \mathcal{G} is sequentially continuous:

Let $\{ \omega_{1,n}, \omega_{2,n} \}_n$ be a sequence such that $(\omega_{1,n}, \omega_{2,n}) \rightarrow (\omega_1, \omega_2)$ in \mathcal{C} . Then for any $\varkappa \in \Pi$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{G} \omega_{i,n})(\varkappa) - (\mathcal{G} \omega_i)(\varkappa)\| &\leq \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} \| \mathcal{F}_i(s, \omega_{1,n}(s), \omega_{2,n}(s)) - \mathcal{F}_i(s, \omega_1(s), \omega_2(s)) \|(\varkappa) \\ &\quad + |\lambda_i| \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} \| \omega_{i,n}(s) - \omega_i(s) \|(\varkappa) \\ &\quad + \varkappa^{\alpha_i} \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} \| \mathcal{F}_i(s, \omega_{1,n}(s), \omega_{2,n}(s)) - \mathcal{F}_i(s, \omega_1(s), \omega_2(s)) \| (1) \\ &\quad + \varkappa^{\alpha_i} |\lambda_i| \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} \| \omega_{i,n}(s) - \omega_i(s) \| (1), \\ &\leq \left\{ 2 \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} (1) \right\} \| \mathcal{F}_i(s, \omega_{1,n}(s), \omega_{2,n}(s)) - \mathcal{F}_i(s, \omega_1(s), \omega_2(s)) \| \\ &\quad + \left\{ 2 |\lambda_i| \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} (1) \right\} \| \omega_{i,n}(s) - \omega_i(s) \|. \end{aligned}$$

Since for any $i = 1, 2$, the function \mathcal{F}_i fulfills (\mathcal{H}_1) , we obtain $\mathcal{F}_i(\varkappa, \omega_{1,n}(\varkappa), \omega_{2,n}(\varkappa))$ converge uniformly (CU) to $\mathcal{F}_i(\varkappa, \omega_1(\varkappa), \omega_2(\varkappa))$.

Therefore, the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem (LDCT) implies that $(\mathcal{G}(\omega_{1,n}, \omega_{2,n}))(\varkappa)$ CU to $(\mathcal{G}(\omega_1, \omega_2))(\varkappa)$. Hence $(\mathcal{G}(\omega_{1,n}, \omega_{2,n})) \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}(\omega_1, \omega_2))$. Then $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{D}_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\rho$ is sequentially continuous.

Claim 2: $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D}_\rho) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_\rho$:

Take $\omega_i \in \mathcal{D}_\rho$, $i=1,2$, by (\mathcal{H}_2) , we get, for any $\varkappa \in \Pi$ and suppose that $(\mathcal{G}(\omega_i))(\varkappa) \neq 0$, $i=1,2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{G} \omega_i)(\varkappa)\| &\leq \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} \| \mathcal{F}_i(s, \omega_1(s), \omega_2(s)) \|(\varkappa) - \lambda \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} \| \omega_i \|(\varkappa) \\ &\quad + \varkappa^{\alpha_i} \left\{ \eta_i - \gamma_i - \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} \| \mathcal{F}_i(s, \omega_1(s), \omega_2(s)) \| (1) + \lambda_i \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} \| \omega_i \| (1) \right\} + \gamma_i, \\ &\leq \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} [\| \omega_1 \| p_i(s) + \| \omega_2 \| q_i(s)](\varkappa) - \lambda_i \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} \| \omega_i \|(\varkappa) \\ &\quad + \varkappa^{\alpha_i} \left\{ \eta_i - \gamma_i - \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} [\| \omega_1 \| p_i(s) + \| \omega_2 \| q_i(s)] (1) + \lambda_i \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} \| \omega_i \| (1) \right\} + \gamma_i, \\ &\leq (p_i^* + q_i^*) \rho \left\{ \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} (1)(\varkappa) + \varkappa^{\alpha_i} \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} (1)(1) \right\} \\ &\quad + \rho \left\{ |\lambda_i| \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} (1)(\varkappa) + \varkappa^{\alpha_i} |\lambda_i| \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} (1)(1) \right\} + \varkappa^{\alpha_i} (\eta_i - \gamma_i) + \gamma_i, \\ &\leq \left\{ \frac{2(p_i^* + q_i^*) \rho}{\Gamma_q(\alpha_i + \beta_i + 1)} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{2|\lambda_i| \rho}{\Gamma_q(\alpha_i + 1)} \right\} + \eta_i \\ &\leq RM_i + \eta_i. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$\|(\mathcal{G}(\omega_1, \omega_2))\|_{\mathcal{C}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 (\rho \Lambda_i + \eta_i) \leq \rho. \quad (11)$$

Claim 3: $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D}_\rho)$ is equicontinuous:

By Claim 2, obviously that $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D}_\rho) \subset \mathcal{C}$ is bounded. For the equicontinuity of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D}_\rho)$, let $\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2 \in \Pi$, $\varkappa_1 < \varkappa_2$ and

$\omega \in \mathcal{D}_\rho$, so $\mathcal{G}\omega(\varkappa_2) - \mathcal{G}\omega(\varkappa_1) \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{G}\omega_i(\varkappa_2) - \mathcal{G}\omega_i(\varkappa_1)\| &\leq | \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} \mathcal{F}_i(s, \omega_1(s), \omega_2(s))(\varkappa_2) - \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} \mathcal{F}_i(s, \omega_1(s), \omega_2(s))(\varkappa_1) | \\ &\quad + |\lambda_i| (\mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} |\omega_i(s)|(\varkappa_2) - \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} |\omega_i(s)|(\varkappa_1)) \\ &\quad + (\varkappa_2^{\alpha_i} - \varkappa_1^{\alpha_i}) \left\{ \eta_i - \gamma_i - \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} |\mathcal{F}_i(s, \omega_1(s), \omega_2(s))|(1) + \lambda_i \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} |\omega_i|(1) \right\}, \\ &\leq (p_i^* + q_i^*) \rho | \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i}(1)(\varkappa_2) - \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i}(1)(\varkappa_1) | + \rho |\lambda_i| (\mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i}(1)(\varkappa_2) - \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i}(1)(\varkappa_1)) \\ &\quad + (\varkappa_2^{\alpha_i} - \varkappa_1^{\alpha_i}) \left\{ \eta_i - \gamma_i - \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} |\mathcal{F}_i(s, \omega_1(s), \omega_2(s))|(1) + \lambda_i \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} |\omega_i|(1) \right\}, \\ &\leq \frac{\rho |\lambda_i|}{\Gamma_q(\alpha_i + 1)} \{ (\varkappa_2^{\alpha_i} - \varkappa_1^{\alpha_i}) + 2(\varkappa_2 - \varkappa_1)^{\alpha_i} \} \\ &\quad + \frac{\rho (p_i^* + q_i^*)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha_i + \beta_i + 1)} \{ (\varkappa_2^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} - \varkappa_1^{\alpha_i+\beta_i}) + 2(\varkappa_2 - \varkappa_1)^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} \} \\ &\quad + (\varkappa_2^{\alpha_i} - \varkappa_1^{\alpha_i}) \left\{ \eta_i - \gamma_i - \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} |\mathcal{F}_i(s, \omega_1(s), \omega_2(s))|(1) + \lambda_i \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_i} |\omega_i|(1) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

As $\varkappa_1 \rightarrow \varkappa_2$, the right hand side of the above inequality tends to zero.

This means that $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D}_\rho) \subset \mathcal{D}_\rho$.

Finally we show that the implication (3) holds:

Let $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{D}_\rho$ such that $\mathcal{V} = \overline{\text{conv}}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{V}) \cup \{(0, 0)\})$. Since \mathcal{V} is bounded and equicontinuous, and therefore the function $v \rightarrow v(\varkappa) = \mu(\mathcal{V}(\varkappa))$ is continuous on Π . By (\mathcal{H}_2) , and the properties of μ , for any $\varkappa \in \Pi$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} v(\varkappa) &\leq \mu(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{V})(\varkappa) \cup \{(0, 0)\}) \leq \mu(\mathcal{G}\mathcal{V}(\varkappa)), \\ &\leq \mu(\{(\mathcal{N}_1 v_1)(\varkappa), (\mathcal{N}_2 v_2)(\varkappa) : (v_1, v_2) \in \mathcal{V}\}), \\ &\leq 2 \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_1+\beta_1} \mu(\{(\mathcal{F}_1(s, v_1(s), v_2(s))(\varkappa); 0) : (v_1, v_2) \in \mathcal{V}\}) \\ &\quad + 2|\lambda_1| \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_1} \mu(\{(v_1(s), 0) : (v_1, 0) \in \mathcal{V}\}) \\ &\quad + 2 \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_2+\beta_2} \mu(\{(0, \mathcal{F}_2(s, v_1(s), v_2(s))) : (v_1, v_2) \in \mathcal{V}\}) \\ &\quad + 2|\lambda_2| \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_2} \mu(\{(0, v_2(s)) : (0, v_2) \in \mathcal{V}\}), \\ &\leq 2 \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_1+\beta_1} [p_1(s) \mu(\{(v_1(s), 0) : (v_1, 0) \in \mathcal{V}\}) \\ &\quad + q_1(s) \mu(\{(0, v_2(s)) : (0, v_2) \in \mathcal{V}\})] \\ &\quad + 2|\lambda_1| \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_1} \mu(\{(v_1(s), 0) : (v_1, 0) \in \mathcal{V}\}) \\ &\quad + 2 \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_2+\beta_2} [p_2(s) \mu(\{(v_1(s), 0) : (v_1, 0) \in \mathcal{V}\}) \\ &\quad + q_2(s) \mu(\{(0, v_2(s)) : (0, v_2) \in \mathcal{V}\})] \\ &\quad + 2|\lambda_2| \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_2} \mu(\{(0, v_2(s)) : (0, v_2) \in \mathcal{V}\}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\mathcal{V}(\varkappa)) &\leq 2 \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_1+\beta_1} (p_1(s) + q_1(s)) \times \mu(\mathcal{V}(s)) \\ &\quad + 2|\lambda_1| \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_1} ((1)(s)) \times \mu(\mathcal{V}(s)) \\ &\quad + 2 \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_2+\beta_2} (p_2(s) + q_2(s)) \times \mu(\mathcal{V}(s)) \\ &\quad + 2|\lambda_2| \mathcal{I}_q^{\alpha_2} ((1)(s)) \times \mu(\mathcal{V}(s)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\mathcal{V}(\varkappa)) &\leq \left\{ \frac{2(p_1^* + q_1^*)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + 1)} + \frac{2|\lambda_1|}{\Gamma_q(\alpha_1 + 1)} \right\} \sup_{\varkappa \in I} \mu(\mathcal{V}(\varkappa)) \\ &\quad + \left\{ \frac{2(p_2^* + q_2^*)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha_2 + \beta_2 + 1)} + \frac{2|\lambda_2|}{\Gamma_q(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right\} \sup_{\varkappa \in I} \mu(\mathcal{V}(\varkappa)). \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\sup_{\varkappa \in \Pi} \mu(\mathcal{V}(\varkappa)) \leq \Lambda \sup_{\varkappa \in \Pi} \mu(\mathcal{V}(\varkappa)).$$

By (8) it follows that $\sup_{\varkappa \in \Pi} \mu(\mathcal{V}(\varkappa)) = 0$, that is $\mu(\mathcal{V}(\varkappa)) = 0$ for any $\varkappa \in \Pi$, and then $\mathcal{V}(\varkappa)$ is relatively compact in \mathcal{E} .

In view of the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem, \mathcal{V} is relatively compact in \mathcal{D}_ρ . Now, from Theorem 1, we conclude that \mathcal{G} has a FP, which is a solution of the system (1)-(2).

4 Applications

The purpose of this section is to illustrate our outcomes with an example.

Let $\mathcal{E} = l^1 = \{\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n, \dots) : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\omega_n| < \infty\}$ with

$$\|\omega\|_{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\omega_n|,$$

Consider the nonlinear Langevin $\frac{1}{4}$ -FE:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{D}_{1/4}^{1/4} \left(\mathcal{D}_{1/4}^{1/3} - \frac{1}{27} \right) \omega(\varkappa) = \frac{\sqrt{3}|\omega| \cos^2(2\pi\varkappa)}{3(27-\varkappa)} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}|\varkappa|}{(7\pi-\varkappa)^2} \left(\frac{|\varkappa|}{|\varkappa|+3} + 1 \right), \\ \varkappa \in \Pi = [0, 1], \\ \mathcal{D}_{1/4}^{1/2} \left(\mathcal{D}_{1/4}^{2/3} - \frac{2}{37} \right) \omega(\varkappa) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}|\omega|}{4(4\pi-\varkappa)^2} \left(\frac{|\omega|}{|\omega|+3} + 1 \right) + \frac{|\varkappa| \sin^2(2\pi\varkappa)}{(10-\varkappa)^2}, \\ \varkappa \in \Pi = [0, 1], \\ \omega(0) = \frac{3}{4}, \quad \omega(1) = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \omega(0) = \frac{2}{3}, \quad \omega(1) = \frac{5}{2}. \end{array} \right. \quad (12)$$

Here

$$\begin{array}{llll} \alpha_1 = 1/4, & \alpha_2 = 1/2, & \beta_1 = 1/3, & \beta_2 = 2/3, \\ \gamma_1 = 3/4, & \gamma_2 = 1/4, & \lambda_1 = 1/27, & \lambda_2 = 2/37, \\ \eta_1 = 2/3, & \eta_2 = 5/2, & q = 1/4. & \end{array}$$

with

$$\mathcal{F}_1(\varkappa, \omega) = (((\sin \varkappa + 1)e^{-\varkappa})/24)(\omega^2/(1 + |\omega|))$$

It's clear, \mathcal{F}_1 is continuous. For every $\omega \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\varkappa \in [0, 1]$, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{F}_1(\varkappa, \omega_1, \omega_2)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{81} |\omega_1| + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{49\pi} |\omega_2|,$$

and

$$|\mathcal{F}_2(\varkappa, \omega_1, \omega_2)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{64\pi} |\omega_1| + \frac{1}{100} |\omega_2|,$$

Hence, (\mathcal{H}_2) is fulfilled with $p_1^* = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{81}$, $q_1^* = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{49\pi}$, $p_2^* = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{64\pi}$ and $q_2^* = \frac{1}{100}$. We will demonstrate that condition (8) holds with $\Pi = [0, 1]$. Indeed,

$$\left\{ \frac{2(p_1^* + q_1^*)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + 1)} + \frac{2|\lambda_1|}{\Gamma_q(\alpha_1 + 1)} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{2(p_2^* + q_2^*)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha_2 + \beta_2 + 1)} + \frac{2|\lambda_2|}{\Gamma_q(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right\} \simeq 0.6758 < 1.$$

Then, from Theorem 2 we conclude that the coupled system (12) possesses a solution on Π .

5 Conclusion

In this reported work, we have developed some adequate results for qualitative analysis of solution to fractional order in the frame of Caputo q -Ds with Dirichlet BCs in Banach space. The existence results are established for the suggested problem. Our perspective is based on properties of q -Caputo's derivatives and applying of MFPT combined with the technique of KMNC. Even though the method used to prove existence results for the problem at hand is a standard one, its presentation in the present framework is novel. In addition, an example is provided as an illustration of the present work.

References

- [1] F.H. Jackson, q -difference equations, *Am. J. Math.* **32**, 305–314 (1910).
- [2] C. R. Adams, On the linear ordinary q -difference equation, *Ann. Math.* **30**, 195–205 (1928).
- [3] R. D. Carmichael, The general theory of linear q -difference equations, *Am. J. Math.* **34**, 147–168 (1912).
- [4] W. A. Al-Salam, Some fractional q -integrals and q -derivatives, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* **15**, 135–140 (1969).
- [5] R. P. Agarwal, Certain fractional q -integrals and q -derivatives, *Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **66**, 365–370 (1969).
- [6] M.H. Annaby and Z.S. Mansour, *q -Fractional Calculus and Equations, Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [7] A. Boutiara, A coupled system of nonlinear Langevin fractional q -difference equations associated with two different fractional orders in Banach Space, *Kragujevac J. Math.*, (to appear).
- [8] A. Boutiara, Multi-term fractional q -difference equations with q -integral boundary conditions via topological degree theory, *Commun. Optim. Theor.* **2021**(1), 1–16 (2021).
- [9] A. Boutiara and M. Benbachir, Existence and uniqueness results to a fractional q -difference coupled system with integral boundary conditions via topological degree theory, *Int. J. Nonlin. Anal. App.* **13**(1), 3197–3211 (2022).
- [10] A. Boutiara, S. Etemad, J. Alzabut, A. Hussain, M. Subramanian and S. Rezapour, On a nonlinear sequential four-point fractional q -difference equation involving q -integral operators in boundary conditions along with stability criteria, *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2021**(1), 1–23 (2021).
- [11] A. Boutiara, M. Benbachir, M. K. Kaabar, F. Martínez, M. E. Samei and M. Kaplan, Explicit iteration and unbounded solutions for fractional q -difference equations with boundary conditions on an infinite interval, *J. Ineq. App.* **2022**(1), 1–27 (2022).
- [12] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Elsevier, 2006.
- [13] K. B. Oldham and J. Spanier, *The Fractional Calculus*, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [14] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1999.
- [15] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Differential Equations*, John Wiley, New York, 1993.
- [16] M. S. Stanković, P. M. Rajković and S. D. Marinkovic, *On q -fractional derivatives of Riemann–Liouville and Caputo type*, 2009.
- [17] P. M. Rajkovic, S.D. Marinkovic and M.S. Stankovic, Fractional integrals and derivatives in q -calculus, *Appl. Anal. Disc. Math.* **1**, 311–323 (2007).
- [18] S. Etemad, S.K. Ntouyas and B. Ahmad, Existence theory for a fractional q -integro-difference equation with q -integral boundary conditions of different orders, *Math.* **7**, (2019).
- [19] P. Langevin, Sur la theorie du mouvement brownien (in French) [On the theory of Brownian motion], *CR Acad. Sci. Paris* **146**, 530–533 (1908).
- [20] K. S. Fa, Generalized Langevin equation with fractional derivative and long-time correlation function, *Phy. Rev. E* **73**, (2006).
- [21] K. S. Fa, Fractional Langevin equation and Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, *The Europ. Phys. J. E* **24**(2), 139–143 (2007).
- [22] B. Ahmad, J. J. Nieto, A. Alsaedi and M. El-Shahed, A study of nonlinear Langevin equation involving two fractional orders in different intervals, *Nonlin. Anal.: Real World Appl.* **13**(2), 599–606 (2012).
- [23] A. Chen and Y. Chen, Existence of Solutions to Nonlinear Langevin Equation Involving Two Fractional Orders with Boundary Value Conditions, *Bound. Val. Prob.*, 11 (2011).
- [24] R. F. Camargo, A. O. Chiacchio, R. Charnet and E. C. Oliveira, Solution of the fractional Langevin equation and the Mittag-Leffler functions, *J. Math. Phys.* **50**, (2009).
- [25] O. Baghani, On fractional Langevin equation involving two fractional orders, *Commun. Nonl. Sci. Num. Simul.* **42**, 675–681 (2017).
- [26] C. Torres, Existence of solution for fractional Langevin equation: variational approach, *Elec. J. Qualit. Theo. Diff. Equ.* **54**, 1–14 (2014).
- [27] J. Banas and K. Goebel, *Measures of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1980.
- [28] R. R. Akhmerov, M. I. Kamenskii, A. S. Patapov et. al., *Measures of Noncompactness and Condensing Operators*, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1992.
- [29] J. C. Álvarez, Measure of noncompactness and fixed points of nonexpansive condensing mappings in locally convex spaces, *Rev. Real. Acad. Cienc. Exact. Fis. Natur. Madrid* **79**, 53–66 (1985).
- [30] M. Benchohra, J. Henderson and D. Seba, Measure of noncompactness and fractional differential equations in Banach spaces, *Commun. Appl. Anal.* **12**, 419–428 (2008).
- [31] A. Boutiara, K. Guerbati and M. Benbachir, Caputo-Hadamard fractional differential equation with three-point boundary conditions in Banach spaces, *AIMS Math.* **5**(1), 259–272 (2019).

- [32] A. Boutiara, M. Benbachir and K. Guerbati, Measure of noncompactness for nonlinear Hilfer fractional differential equation in Banach spaces, *Ikonion J. Math.* **1**(2), 55–67 (2019).
 - [33] A. Boutiara, M. Benbachir and K. Guerbati, Caputo type fractional differential equation with nonlocal Erdélyi-Kober type integral boundary conditions in Banach spaces, *Survey. Math. Appl.* **15**, 399–418 (2019).
 - [34] A. Boutiara, M. Benbachir and K. Guerbati, Measure of noncompactness for nonlinear Hilfer fractional differential equation with nonlocal Riemann–Liouville integral boundary conditions in Banach spaces, *Open J. Math. Sci.* **4**, 456–465 (2020).
 - [35] H. Mönch, Boundary value problems for nonlinear ordinary differential equations of second order in Banach spaces, *Nonlin. Anal.* **4**, 985–999 (1980).
 - [36] S. Szuffla, On the application of measure of noncompactness to existence theorems, *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova* **75**, 1–14 (1986).
 - [37] V. Kac and P. Cheung, *Quantum Calculus*, Springer, New York, 2002.
 - [38] R. Agarwal, Certain fractional q -integrals and q -derivatives, *Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **66**, 365–370 (1969).
 - [39] P.cM. Rajkovic, S.cD. Marinkovic and M.S. Stankovic, On q -analogues of Caputo derivative and Mittag-Leffler function, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **10**, 359–373 (2007).
 - [40] R. A. C. Ferreira, Nontrivial solutions for fractional q -difference boundary value problems, *Elect. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* **70**, (2010).
 - [41] W.cA. Al-Salam, q -Analogues of Cauchy’s formula, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **17**, 1952–1953 (1824).
 - [42] R. P. Agarwal, M. Meehan and D. O’Regan, *Fix. Point. Theo. Appl.*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
-