

A Gronwall Inequality of Fractional Variable Order

George A. Anastassiou^{1,*} and Vassilis G. Papanicolaou²

¹ Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Memphis, Memphis, TN 38152, U.S.A.

² Department of Mathematics, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou Campus, 157 80, Athens, Greece

Received: 2 Sep. 2020, Revised: 18 Oct. 2020, Accepted: 24 Oct. 2020

Published online: 1 Apr. 2021

Abstract: This paper presents the first generalized fractional variable order Gronwall inequality.

Keywords: Fractional calculus of variable order, Gronwall inequality, integral inequality.

1 Introduction

The following generalized Gronwall inequality for fractional differential equations (of constant order) was established in [1]:

Theorem 1. Suppose that $a(t)$ is a nonnegative function locally integrable on $[0, T)$ (for some $T \leq \infty$), $g(t)$ is a nonnegative, nondecreasing, and bounded continuous function defined on $[0, T)$ and $\beta_0 > 0$. If $u(t)$ is nonnegative and locally integrable on $[0, T)$ satisfying

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + g(t) \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta_0-1} u(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t < T, \tag{1}$$

then

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + \int_0^t \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\Gamma(\beta_0)g(t)]^n}{\Gamma(n\beta_0)} (t-s)^{n\beta_0-1} a(s) \right\} ds, \quad 0 \leq t < T, \tag{2}$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Gamma function.

The idea of the proof is to introduce the Volterra-type (linear) operator

$$B\phi(t) := g(t) \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta_0-1} \phi(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t < T, \tag{3}$$

so that (1) can be written as

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + Bu(t), \quad 0 \leq t < T, \tag{4}$$

and hence, by repeated iteration of (4),

$$u(t) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} B^k a(t) + B^n u(t), \quad 0 \leq t < T. \tag{5}$$

The remaining part of the proof of Theorem 1 [1] is the inductive justification of the inequality

$$B^n \phi(t) \leq \frac{[\Gamma(\beta_0)g(t)]^n}{\Gamma(n\beta_0)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n\beta_0-1} \phi(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t < T, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{6}$$

* Corresponding author e-mail: ganastss@memphis.edu

for any function $\phi(t) \geq 0$ which is locally integrable on $[0, T)$. An immediate implication of (6) is that

$$B^n \phi(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, T), \quad (7)$$

and the validity of (2) follows, by using (6) and (7) in (5).

Remark 1. In the statement of Theorem 1, the assumption that $g(t)$ is bounded is not necessary, since for any fixed $t \in [0, T)$ the monotonicity of g implies that $g(s) \leq g(t) < \infty$ for $0 \leq s \leq t$. Also, the assumption that $g(t)$ is continuous is not used in the proof of the theorem, hence it, too, is not necessary. Therefore, the theorem remains true for any nonnegative increasing function $g(t)$ defined on $[0, T)$. Actually, there is an immediate extension of Theorem 1 to the case where $g(t)$ is any function which is locally bounded in $[0, T)$. In this case we can just set

$$G(t) := \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \{g(s), 0\}, \quad 0 \leq t < T, \quad (8)$$

so that $G(t)$ is nonnegative, increasing, and satisfies $G(t) \geq g(t)$ for $t \in [0, T)$, and then apply Theorem 1 with $G(t)$ in place of $g(t)$.

2 Main results

In this short note we propose an extension of Theorem 1 to the case where the constant order β_0 is replaced by a strictly positive variable order $\beta(t)$. Our motivation came from the recent monograph [2], which contains an extensive discussion on fractional integrals and derivatives of variable order and their applications.

Theorem 2. Suppose that $a(t)$ is a nonnegative function locally integrable on $[0, T)$ for some $T < \infty$, $g(t)$ is a nonnegative and nondecreasing function defined on $[0, T)$, and $\beta(t)$ is a (Lebesgue) measurable function satisfying

$$0 < \beta_0 \leq \beta(t) \leq A < \infty, \quad 0 \leq t < T. \quad (9)$$

If $u(t)$ is nonnegative and locally integrable on $[0, T)$ satisfying the inequality

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + g(t) \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta(s)-1} u(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t < T, \quad (10)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &\leq a(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} L^k a(t) \\ &\leq a(t) + \int_0^t \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\Gamma(\beta_0) K g(t)]^n}{\Gamma(n\beta_0)} (t-s)^{n\beta_0-1} a(s) \right\} ds, \quad 0 \leq t < T, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where L is the Volterra-type (linear) operator

$$L\phi(t) := g(t) \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta(s)-1} \phi(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t < T, \quad (12)$$

and

$$K := \max\{1, T\}^{A-\beta_0}. \quad (13)$$

Proof. Observe that from (9) we get (since $\beta(s) \geq \beta_0$)

$$\frac{(t-s)^{\beta(s)-1}}{(t-s)^{\beta_0-1}} = (t-s)^{\beta(s)-\beta_0} \leq \max\{1, T\}^{A-\beta_0}, \quad 0 \leq s < t < T,$$

that is

$$(t-s)^{\beta(s)-1} \leq \max\{1, T\}^{A-\beta_0} (t-s)^{\beta_0-1}, \quad 0 \leq s < t < T. \quad (14)$$

Therefore, (10) implies

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + K g(t) \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta_0-1} u(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t < T, \quad (15)$$

where K is given by (13). Since $\beta_0 > 0$ is a constant, we can apply Theorem 1 to (15), where the operator B now has the slightly different form:

$$B\phi(t) = Kg(t) \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta_0-1} \phi(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t < T. \tag{16}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} B^n u(t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t < T. \tag{17}$$

Now, it is clear from (12), (14), and (16) that for $u(t) \geq 0$ we have

$$0 \leq Lu(t) \leq Bu(t), \quad 0 \leq t < T. \tag{18}$$

Hence, by using (17) in (18) we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} L^n u(t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t < T, \tag{19}$$

and since (10) can be written as

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + Lu(t), \quad 0 \leq t < T, \tag{20}$$

formula (11) follows easily from (19), (18) and Theorem 1. ■

Notice that, as in the standard Gronwall inequality, the value of (11) lies in the fact that it gives a bound for $u(t)$ in terms of $a(t)$, $g(t)$, and $\beta(t)$.

As explained in Remark 1, in the case where $g(t)$ is any locally bounded function in $[0, T)$, Theorem 2 holds by replacing $g(t)$ with $G(t)$ of (8).

Corollary 1. All as in Theorem 2 with $g(t) = b \geq 0$ constant. If

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + b \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta(s)-1} u(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t < T, \tag{21}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &\leq a(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} L_1^k a(t) \\ &\leq a(t) + \int_0^t \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\Gamma(\beta_0)Kb]^n}{\Gamma(n\beta_0)} (t-s)^{n\beta_0-1} a(s) \right\} ds, \quad 0 \leq t < T, \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where L_1 is the Volterra operator

$$L_1\phi(t) := b \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta(s)-1} \phi(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t < T. \tag{23}$$

Corollary 2. All as in Theorem 2 with $a(t)$ be a nondecreasing function on $[0, T)$. Then

$$u(t) \leq a(t) E_{\beta_0} \left(Kg(t) \Gamma(\beta_0) t^{\beta_0} \right), \quad 0 \leq t < T, \tag{24}$$

where $E_{\beta_0}(\cdot)$ is the Mittag-Leffler function defined by

$$E_{\beta_0}(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(k\beta_0 + 1)}.$$

Proof. The assumptions of Theorem 2 and (11) imply

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &\leq a(t) \left(1 + \int_0^t \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\Gamma(\beta_0)Kg(t)]^n}{\Gamma(n\beta_0)} (t-s)^{n\beta_0-1} \right\} ds \right) \\ &= a(t) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\Gamma(\beta_0)Kg(t)t^{\beta_0}]^n}{\Gamma(n\beta_0 + 1)} = a(t) E_{\beta_0} \left(Kg(t) \Gamma(\beta_0) t^{\beta_0} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

■

Gronwall inequality of fractional variable order is expected to find wide applications in the forthcoming studies of fractional differential equations of variable order.

References

- [1] H. Ye, J. Gao and Y. Ding, A generalized Gronwall inequality and its application to a fractional differential equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **328** (4), 1075–1081 (2007).
 - [2] X.-J. Yang, *General fractional derivatives. Theory, methods and applications*, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, FL, 2019.
-