

Common Fixed Point Theorems in Intuitionistic Menger Spaces

Rajvir Kaur¹, Tejwant Singh¹ and Saurabh Manro^{2,*}

¹ Department of Mathematics, Desh Bhagat University, Mandigobindgarh, Punjab, India

² Department of Mathematics, Thapar University, Patiala, Punjab, India

Received: 7 Aug. 2019, Revised: 21 Mar. 2020, Accepted: 23 Mar. 2020

Published online: 1 May 2020

Abstract: The present paper aims to prove some new common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic Menger metric spaces. While proving our results, we utilize the idea of compatibility due to Jungck [1] together with conditionally reciprocal continuity due to R. P. Pant and R. K. Bisht [2]. Our results substantially generalize and improve a multitude of relevant common fixed point theorems of the existing literature in metric as well as intuitionistic menger metric spaces.

Keywords: Compatible or g - compatible or f - compatible maps; conditionally reciprocal continuous maps; intuitionistic menger metric space and common fixed point.

1 Introduction

In 1922, Banach proved the mile stone in the fixed point theory and its applications. Several authors addressed a new class of fixed point problems in metric spaces. They proved fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying certain inequalities involving the altering distances function. There have been a number of generalizations of metric spaces. For example, Menger space was introduced in 1942 by Menger[3]. This space was expanded rapidly with the pioneering works of Schweizer and Sklar[4],[5]. Modifying the idea of Kramosil and Michalek[6], George and Veeramani[7] introduced fuzzy metric spaces which are very similar to that of Menger space. Atanassov[8] introduced and investigated the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets. In 2004, Park[9] defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with the help of continuous t -norms and continuous t -conorms. Kutukcu et al. [10] introduced the notion of intuitionistic Menger spaces with the help of t -norms and t -conorms as a generalization of Menger space due to Menger[3]. These observations motivated us to prove a common fixed point theorem in intuitionistic Menger spaces.

In this paper, we prove some new common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic Menger metric spaces. While proving our results, we utilize the idea of compatibility

due to Jungck [1] together with conditionally reciprocal continuity due to R. P. Pant and R. K. Bisht [2]. Consequently, our results improve and develop many known common fixed point theorems available in the existing literature of intuitionistic menger fixed point theory.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 1.[4] A binary operation $\star : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous t -norm if \star satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) \star is commutative and associative;
- (ii) \star is continuous;
- (iii) $a \star 1 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$; (iv) $a \star b \leq c \star d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.[5] A binary operation $\diamond : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous t -conorm if \diamond satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) \diamond is commutative and associative;
- (ii) \diamond is continuous;
- (iii) $a \diamond 0 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$; (iv) $a \diamond b \geq c \diamond d$ whenever $a \geq c$ and $b \geq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 3.[10] A 5-tuple $(X, F, L, \star, \diamond)$ is said to be an intuitionistic Menger space if X is an arbitrary set, \star is a

* Corresponding author e-mail: sauravmanro@hotmail.com

continuous t -norm, \diamond is continuous t -conorm, F is a probabilistic distance and L is a probabilistic non-distance on X satisfying the following conditions:

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t, s > 0$,

$$(1) F(x, y, t) + L(x, y, t) \leq 1,$$

$$(2) F(x, y, 0) = 0,$$

$$(3) F(x, y, t) = H(t) \text{ if and only if } x = y,$$

$$(4) F(x, y, t) = F(y, x, t),$$

$$(5) \text{ if } F(x, y, t) = 1 \text{ and } F(y, z, s) = 1 \text{ then } F(x, z, t + s) = 1,$$

$$(6) F(x, z, t + s) \geq F(x, y, t) \star F(y, z, s),$$

$$(7) L(x, y, 0) = 1,$$

$$(8) L(x, y, t) = G(t) \text{ if and only if } x = y,$$

$$(9) L(x, y, t) = L(y, x, t),$$

$$(10) \text{ if } L(x, y, t) = 0 \text{ and } L(y, z, s) = 0 \text{ then } L(x, z, t + s) = 0,$$

$$(11) L(x, z, t + s) \leq L(x, y, t) \diamond L(y, z, s).$$

The function $F(x, y, t)$ and $L(x, y, t)$ denote the degree of nearness and degree of non-nearness between x and y with respect to t , respectively.

Definition 4.[11] A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in an intuitionistic Menger metric space $(X, F, L, \star, \diamond)$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $t > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F(x_n, x_m, t) > (1 - \varepsilon)$, $L(x_n, x_m, t) < \varepsilon$, for each $n, m \geq n_0$.

Definition 5.[11] A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in an intuitionistic Menger metric space $(X, F, L, \star, \diamond)$ is said to be convergent to $x \in X$ if for all $t > 0$ $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n, x, t) = 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(x_n, x, t) = 0$.

Definition 6.[11] An intuitionistic Menger metric space $(X, F, L, \star, \diamond)$ is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence converges to a point of it.

Definition 7.[11] Let f and g be self-mappings of an intuitionistic Menger metric space $(X, F, L, \star, \diamond)$. Then, the pair (f, g) is said to be compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(fgx_n, gfx_n, t) = 1,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(fgx_n, gfx_n, t) = 0$$

for all $t > 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = u$ for some $u \in X$.

Definition 8.[11] Let f and g be self-mappings of an intuitionistic Menger metric space $(X, F, L, \star, \diamond)$. Then, the pair (f, g) is said to be non-compatible if there exists at least one sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = u$ but either

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(fgx_n, gfx_n, t) \neq 1,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(fgx_n, gfx_n, t) \neq 0,$$

or the limit does not exist for all $u \in X$.

Definition 9.[12] Let f and g be self-mappings of an intuitionistic Menger metric space $(X, F, L, \star, \diamond)$. Then, the pair (f, g) is said to be g -compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(ffx_n, gfx_n, t) = 1,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(ffx_n, gfx_n, t) = 0$$

for all $t > 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = u$ for some $u \in X$.

Definition 10.[12] Let f and g be self-mappings of an intuitionistic Menger metric space $(X, F, L, \star, \diamond)$. Then, the pair (f, g) is said to be f -compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(fgx_n, gfgx_n, t) = 1,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(fgx_n, gfgx_n, t) = 0$$

for all $t > 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = u$ for some $u \in X$.

Definition 11.[2] A pair of self mappings (f, g) of an intuitionistic Menger metric space $(X, F, L, \star, \diamond)$ is said to be conditionally reciprocally continuous (CRC) if whenever set of sequences $\{x_n\}$ satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n$ is non-empty, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = u$ (say) such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgy_n = fu$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfx_n = gu$.

Lemma 1.[13] Let $(X, F, L, \star, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic Menger metric space and $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in X . If there exists a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$F(y_n, y_{n+1}, kt) \geq F(y_{n-1}, y_n, t),$$

$$L(y_n, y_{n+1}, kt) \leq L(y_{n-1}, y_n, t)$$

for all $t > 0$ and $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

then $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

3 Main Result

Theorem 1. Let f and g be conditionally reciprocally continuous self-mappings of a complete intuitionistic menger metric space $(X, F, L, \star, \diamond)$ satisfying the conditions:

$$(3.1) f(X) \subseteq g(X);$$

(3.2) there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$F(fx, fy, kt) \geq F(gx, gy, t) \text{ and } L(fx, fy, kt) \leq L(gx, gy, t)$$

for any $x, y \in X$, $t > 0$.

If f and g are either compatible or g -compatible or f -compatible then, f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be any point in X . Then, as $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$, there exists a sequence of points $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $fx_n = gx_{n+1}$.

Also, define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X as $y_n = fx_n = gx_{n+1}$.

(3.3)

First, we show that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . For proving this, by (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(y_n, y_{n+1}, kt) &= F(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, kt) \\ &\geq F(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, t) = F(y_{n-1}, y_n, t) \\ \text{and } L(y_n, y_{n+1}, kt) &= L(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, kt) \\ &\leq L(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, t) = L(y_{n-1}, y_n, t) \end{aligned}$$

and then by Lemma 2.2, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . As X is complete, there exists a point $z \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = z$. Therefore, by (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_{n+1} = z. \text{ Since } f \text{ and } g \text{ are} \\ &\text{conditionally reciprocally continuous and} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = z, \text{ there exists a sequence } \{s_n\} \\ \text{satisfying } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fs_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gs_n = u \text{ (say) such that} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgs_n &= fu \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfs_n = gu. \text{ Since } f(X) \subseteq g(X), \\ \text{for each } s_n, \text{ there exists } z_n \text{ in } X \text{ such that } fs_n &= gz_n. \text{ Thus,} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fs_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gs_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gz_n = u. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(fs_n, fz_n, kt) &\geq F(gs_n, gz_n, t) \\ \text{and } L(fs_n, fz_n, kt) &\leq L(gs_n, gz_n, t). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$F(u, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fz_n, kt) \geq F(u, u, t) = 1$$

$$\text{and } L(u, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fz_n, kt) \leq L(u, u, t) = 0.$$

This gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fz_n = u.$$

Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fs_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gs_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gz_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fz_n = u$.

Suppose that f and g are compatible mappings. Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(fgs_n, gfs_n, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(fgs_n, gfs_n, t) = 0$.

This gives $fu = gu$. Moreover, $fgu = ffu = fgu = gfu$.

Using (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(fu, ffu, kt) &\geq F(gu, gfu, t) = F(fu, ffu, t), \text{ and} \\ L(fu, ffu, kt) &\leq L(gu, gfu, t) = L(fu, ffu, t), \text{ that is} \\ fu &= ffu. \text{ Hence, } fu = ffu = gfu \text{ and } fu \text{ is a common} \\ &\text{fixed point of } f \text{ and } g. \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose that f and g are g -compatible mappings.

Then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(ffs_n, gfs_n, t) = 1, \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(ffs_n, gfs_n, t) = 0.$$

That is, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ffs_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfs_n = gu$. Using (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(fu, ffs_n, kt) &\geq F(gu, gfs_n, t) \quad \text{and} \\ L(fu, ffs_n, kt) &\leq L(gu, gfs_n, t). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(fu, gu, kt) &\geq F(gu, gu, t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \\ L(fu, gu, kt) &\leq L(gu, gu, t) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This gives $fu = gu$. Hence, $fgu = ffu = fgu = gfu$.

Using (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(fu, ffu, kt) &\geq F(gu, gfu, t) = F(fu, ffu, t) \quad \text{and} \\ L(fu, ffu, kt) &\leq L(gu, gfu, t) = L(fu, ffu, t). \end{aligned}$$

That is $fu = ffu$. Hence, $fu = ffu = gfu$ and fu is a common fixed point of f and g .

Finally, suppose that f and g are f -compatible mappings.

Then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(fgz_n, ggz_n, t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(fgz_n, ggz_n, t) = 0.$$

That is, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgz_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ggz_n = gu$. Also,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfs_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ggz_n = gu. \quad \text{Hence,}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgz_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ggz_n = gu.$$

Using (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(fu, fgz_n, kt) &\geq F(gu, ggz_n, t) \quad \text{and} \\ L(fu, fgz_n, kt) &\leq L(gu, ggz_n, t). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(fu, gu, kt) &\geq F(gu, gu, t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \\ L(fu, gu, kt) &\leq L(gu, gu, t) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This gives, $fu = gu$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} fgu = ffu = fgu = gfu. \text{ Using (3.2), we get} \\ F(fu, ffu, kt) &\geq F(gu, gfu, t) = F(fu, ffu, t) \quad \text{and} \\ L(fu, ffu, kt) &\leq L(gu, gfu, t) = L(fu, ffu, t) \text{ that is} \\ fu &= ffu. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $fu = ffu = gfu$ and fu is a common fixed point of f and g .

Uniqueness of the common fixed point theorem follows easily in each of the three cases.

Theorem 2. Let f and g be non-compatible self-mappings of an intuitionistic menger metric space $(X, F, L, *, \diamond)$ satisfying the conditions:

$$(3.4) \quad f(X) \subseteq g(X);$$

$$(3.5) \quad F(fx, fy, t) \geq F(gx, gy, t) \quad \text{and}$$

$$L(fx, fy, t) \leq L(gx, gy, t);$$

$$(3.6) \quad F(fx, ffx, t) > F(gx, ggx, t) \quad \text{and}$$

$$L(fx, ffx, t) < L(gx, ggx, t) \text{ whenever } gx \neq ggx \text{ for all } x, y \in X, t > 0.$$

Suppose f and g are conditionally reciprocally continuous. If f and g are either g -compatible or f -compatible, then f and g have a common fixed point.

Proof. Since f and g are non-compatible maps, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = z$ for some $z \in X$, but either

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(fgx_n, gfx_n, t) \neq 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(fgx_n, gfx_n, t) \neq 0$$

or the limit does not exist. Also, since f and g are conditionally reciprocally continuous and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = z, \text{ there exists a sequence } \{y_n\} \\ \text{satisfying } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fy_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = u \text{ (say) such that} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgy_n &= fu \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfy_n = gu. \text{ Since } f(X) \subseteq g(X), \\ \text{for each } y_n, \text{ there exists } z_n \text{ in } X \text{ such that } fy_n &= gz_n. \text{ Thus,} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fy_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gz_n = u. \text{ Using (3.5), we get} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(fy_n, fz_n, t) &\geq F(gy_n, gz_n, t), \\ L(fy_n, fz_n, t) &\leq L(gy_n, gz_n, t). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(u, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fz_n, t) &\geq F(u, u, t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \\ L(u, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fz_n, t) &\leq L(u, u, t) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This gives $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fz_n = u$. Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gz_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fz_n = u.$$

Now, Suppose that f and g are g -compatible mappings.

Then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(ffy_n, gfy_n, t) = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(ffy_n, gfy_n, t) = 0. \text{ That}$$

is, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ffy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfy_n = gu$. Using (3.5), we get

$$F(fu, ffy_n, t) \geq F(gu, gfy_n, t),$$

$$L(fu, ffy_n, t) \leq L(gu, gfy_n, t).$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$F(fu, gu, t) \geq F(u, u, t) = 1, L(fu, gu, t) \leq L(u, u, t) = 0.$$

This gives, $fu = gu$. In addition, $fgu = ffu = fgu = gfu$.

If $fu \neq ffu$, using (3.6), we get

$$F(fu, ffu, t) > F(gu, ggu, t) = F(fu, ffu, t),$$

$$L(fu, ffu, t) < L(gu, ggu, t) = L(fu, ffu, t),$$

a contradiction. Hence, $fu = ffu = gfu$ and fu is a common fixed point of f and g .

Finally, suppose that f and g are f -compatible mappings. Then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(fgz_n, ggz_n, t) = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(fgz_n, ggz_n, t) = 0. \text{ That}$$

is, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgz_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ggz_n$. Furthermore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ggz_n = gu. \text{ Therefore,}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgz_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ggz_n = gu.$$

Using (3.5), we get

$$F(fu, fgz_n, t) \geq F(gu, ggz_n, t),$$

$$L(fu, fgz_n, t) \leq L(gu, ggz_n, t).$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$F(fu, gu, t) \geq F(gu, gu, t) = 1,$$

$$L(fu, gu, t) \leq L(gu, gu, t) = 0.$$

This gives $fu = gu$. Also, $fgu = ffu = fgu = gfu$.

If $fu \neq ffu$, using (3.6), we get

$$F(fu, ffu, t) > F(gu, ggu, t) = F(fu, ffu, t),$$

$$L(fu, ffu, t) < L(gu, ggu, t) = L(fu, ffu, t),$$

a contradiction. Hence, $fu = ffu = gfu$ and fu is a common fixed point of f and g .

The following example illustrates Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2.

Example 1. Let $(X, F, L, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic menger metric space where $X = [1, 19]$. Define $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$fx = 1 \text{ if } x = 1 \text{ or } x > 4,$$

$$fx = 5 \text{ if } 1 < x \leq 4,$$

$$gx = 1 \text{ if } x = 1, gx = 10 \text{ if } 1 < x \leq 4,$$

$$gx = \frac{(x+1)}{2} \text{ if } x > 4.$$

(i) Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $x_n = 1$ and $\{y_n\}$ be sequences in X such that $y_n = 4 + \frac{1}{n}$ for each n . Also, f and g are compatible. Then, f and g satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 and have a unique common fixed point at $x = 1$.

(ii) Also, f and g are non compatible for $x_n = 4 + \frac{1}{n}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be sequences in X such that $y_n = 4 + \frac{1}{n}$ for each n . Then, f and g satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 3.2 and have a common fixed point at $x = 1$.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referee for the valuable comments and suggestions which improved the paper.

References

- [1] G. Jungck, *Compatible mappings and common fixed points*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **9**, 771-779 (1986).
- [2] R.P. Pant and R.K. Bisht, *Common fixed point theorems under a new continuity condition*, Ann Univ Ferrara, **58**, 127-141 (2012).
- [3] K. Menger, *Statistical metrics*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA), **28** (1942).
- [4] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Statistical metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **10**, 313-334, (1960).
- [5] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Probabilistic metric spaces*, Elsevier, North-Holland, New York, (1983).
- [6] I. Kramosil and J. Michalek, *Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces*, Kybernetika, **11**, 326-334 (1975).
- [7] A. George and P. Veeramani, *On some results in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **64**, 395-399 (1994).
- [8] A.T. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **20**, 87-96 (1986).
- [9] J.H. Park, *Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Chaos, Solitons Fractals, **22**, 1039-1046 (2004).
- [10] S. Kutukcu, A. Tuna, and A. T. Yakut, *Generalized contraction mapping principle in intuitionistic menger spaces and application to differential equations*, Appl. Math. and Mech., **28**, 799-809, (2007).
- [11] R. Saadati, S. Sedghi and N. Shobhe, *Modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces and some fixed point theorems*, Chaos, Solitons Fractals, **38**, 36-47 (2008).
- [12] H.K. Pathak and M.S. Khan, *A comparison of various types of compatible maps and common fixed points*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **28**(4), 477-485 (1997).
- [13] S. Jain, S. Jain and L.B. Jain, *Compatibility of type (P) in modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric space*, The Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications, **3**(2), 96-109 (2010).



theory, optimization theory.

Rajvir Kaur having more than 9 years of teaching experience. She has published 02 research papers and 03 research papers are accepted in international journals. She has attended various national and international conferences. Her research interests are fixed point theory, game



Tejwant Singh received the PhD degree on Floor problems from University of Rorkee, India. He is referee of several international journals in the frame of pure and applied mathematics. His main research interests are: fixed point theory, fuzzy mathematics, game

theory, optimization theory, differential geometry and applications, geometric dynamics and applications. He has published 50 research papers and 03 research papers are accepted in international journals.



Saurabh Manro received the PhD degree in Non-linear Analysis at Thapar University, Patiala (India). He is referee of several international journals in the frame of pure and applied mathematics. His main research interests are: fixed point theory,

fuzzy mathematics, game theory, optimization theory, differential geometry and applications, geometric dynamics and applications.