

# Intuitionistic Fuzzy $(\psi, \eta)$ -Contractive Mapping and Fixed Points

J. Jeyachristy Priskillal<sup>1,\*</sup> and P. Thangavelu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Karunya University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India-641114

<sup>2</sup> Ramanujam Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Thiruppuvanam, Tamil Nadu, India-630611

Received: 7 Feb. 2017, Revised: 21 Apr. 2017, Accepted: 14 Jun. 2017

Published online: 1 Jul. 2017

**Abstract:** In this article, using the definition of fuzzy  $\psi$ -contractive mapping, we introduce intuitionistic fuzzy  $(\psi, \eta)$ -contractive mapping and extend the fixed point results to intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.

**Keywords:** intuitionistic fuzzy  $(\psi, \eta)$ -contractive mapping; fixed point; intuitionistic fuzzy metric space

## 1 Introduction

In 1988, the famous fixed point theorems of Banach and Edelstein for contraction mapping are extended to fuzzy metric spaces in the sense of Kramsoil and Michalek [12] by M. Grabiec [8]. Further, Valentine Gregori and Almanzor Sapena [9] and D. Mihet [4] extended the fixed point theorem of Banach for contraction mapping to fuzzy metric spaces in the sense of George and Veeramani [6]. Several authors have studied the kinds of Contraction mappings in fuzzy metric spaces [1][10]. D. Mihet [5] has also introduced the concept of fuzzy  $\psi$ -contractive mapping in fuzzy metric spaces. He proved fixed point theorems using  $\psi$ -contractive mapping in non-Archimedean fuzzy metric spaces in the sense of George and Veeramani. Later Shenghua Wang [17] proved that the above-fixed point theorems are also true of fuzzy metric space in the sense of Kramsoil and Michalek [12]. Continuing this, Ishak Altun and D. Mihet [11] defined the order fuzzy  $\psi$ -contractive mapping in ordered fuzzy metric spaces and proved two kinds of fixed point theorems in ordered non-Archimedean fuzzy metric spaces. But they can not prove the existence of uniqueness. Many Mathematicians has studied the concept of the intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces [15][3]. Very recently, L.A. Ricarte and S. Romaguera [14] has introduced the existence of fixed points of  $\phi$ -Contractions in fuzzy metric spaces with the application for an intuitionistic setting. In this article, using the definition of fuzzy  $\psi$ -contractive mapping, we

introduce intuitionistic fuzzy  $(\psi, \eta)$ -contractive mapping and extend the fixed point results to intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.

**Definition 1.1.** [16] A binary operation  $*$  :  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a  $t$ -norm if the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $*$  is associative and commutative;
- (ii)  $a * 1 = a, \forall a \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (iii)  $a * b \leq c * d$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d, \forall a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ .

If  $*$  is continuous then it is called a continuous  $t$ -norm.

**Definition 1.2.** [16] A binary operation  $\diamond$  :  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a  $t$ -conorm if the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $\diamond$  is associative and commutative;
- (ii)  $a \diamond 0 = a, \forall a \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (iii)  $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d, \forall a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ .

If  $\diamond$  is continuous then it is called a continuous  $t$ -conorm.

**Definition 1.3.** [13] Let  $X$  be an arbitrary set,  $*$  be a continuous  $t$ -norm,  $\diamond$  be a continuous  $t$ -conorm and  $M, N$  be fuzzy sets on  $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ . Consider the following conditions  $\forall u, v, w \in X$  and  $t > 0$ ,

- (i)  $M(u, v, t) + N(u, v, t) \leq 1$ ;
- (ii)  $M(u, v, 0) = 0$ ;
- (iii)  $M(u, v, t) = 1$  if and only if  $u = v$ ;
- (iv)  $M(u, v, t) = M(v, u, t)$ ;
- (v)  $M(u, w, t + s) \geq M(u, v, t) * M(v, w, s)$ ;
- (vi)  $M(u, v, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is left continuous;
- (vii)  $N(u, v, 0) = 1$ ;

\* Corresponding author e-mail: [jeyachristypriskillal@gmail.com](mailto:jeyachristypriskillal@gmail.com)

(viii)  $N(u, v, t) = 0$  if and only if  $u = v$ ;

(ix)  $N(u, v, t) = N(v, u, t)$ ;

(x)  $N(u, w, t + s) \leq N(u, v, t) \diamond N(v, w, s)$ ;

(xi)  $N(u, v, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is left continuous.

If  $M$  satisfies conditions (ii)-(vi), then the pair  $(M, *)$  is called fuzzy metric on  $X$ . In this case, the triple  $(X, M, *)$  is called a fuzzy metric space. If  $N$  satisfies conditions (vii)-(xi), then the pair  $(N, \diamond)$  is called dual fuzzy metric on  $X$ . Then the triple  $(X, N, \diamond)$  is called a dual fuzzy metric space.

If  $(M, *)$  is a fuzzy metric on  $X$  and  $(N, \diamond)$  is a dual fuzzy metric on  $X$  satisfying condition (i), then the 4-tuple  $(M, N, *, \diamond)$  is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric on  $X$ . In this case, the 5-tuple  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

**Example 1.4.** [2] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Denote  $a * b = ab$  and  $a \diamond b = \min\{1, a + b\}$ ,  $\forall a, b \in [0, 1]$  and let  $M_d$  and  $N_d$  be fuzzy sets on  $X \times X \times (0, +\infty)$  defined as follows:  $M_d(u, v, t) = \frac{t}{t+d(u,v)}$  and  $N_d(u, v, t) = \frac{d(u,v)}{t+d(u,v)}$ ,  $\forall t > 0$ , then  $(X, M_d, N_d, *, \diamond)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

**Definition 1.5.** [8] Let  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. A sequence  $\{u_n\}$  in  $X$  is called

(a) convergent to a point  $u \in X$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} M(u_n, u, t) = 1$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} N(u_n, u, t) = 0, \forall t > 0$ ,

(b) Cauchy if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} M(u_n, u_{n+p}, t) = 1$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} N(u_n, u_{n+p}, t) = 0, \forall t > 0$  and  $p > 0$ .

**Definition 1.6.** An intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  is convergent.

## 2 Main results

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\Psi$  be the class of all mappings  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

(i)  $\psi$  is nondecreasing and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n(s) = 1, \forall s \in (0, 1]$ ;

(ii)  $\psi(s) > s, \forall s \in (0, 1)$ ;

(iii)  $\psi(1) = 1$ ;

**Example 2.2.** Define  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by  $\psi(s) = \frac{2s}{s+1}, \forall s \in [0, 1]$ .

$\psi^2(s) = \frac{4s}{3s+1}, \psi^3(s) = \frac{8s}{7s+1}, \dots, \psi^n(s) = \frac{2^n s}{(2^n - 1)s + 1}, \forall s \in [0, 1]$ .

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n(s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n s}{(2^n - 1)s + 1} = 1, \forall s \in (0, 1)$ .

Clearly,  $\psi(s) > s, \forall s \in (0, 1)$  and  $\psi(1) = 1$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\Psi$  be the class of all mappings  $\eta : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

(i)  $\eta$  is nondecreasing and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta^n(r) = 0, \forall r \in [0, 1)$ ;

(ii)  $\eta(r) < r, \forall r \in (0, 1)$ ;

(iii)  $\eta(0) = 0$ ;

**Example 2.4.** Define  $\eta : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by  $\eta(r) = \frac{r}{2-r}, \forall r \in [0, 1]$ .

$\eta^2(r) = \frac{r}{4-3r}, \eta^3(r) = \frac{r}{8-7r}, \dots, \eta^n(r) = \frac{r}{2^n(1-r)+r}, \forall r \in [0, 1]$ .

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta^n(r) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r}{2^n(1-r)+r} = 0, \forall r \in [0, 1)$ .

Clearly,  $\eta(r) < r, \forall r \in (0, 1)$  and  $\eta(0) = 0$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and  $\psi, \eta \in \Psi$ . A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is called an intuitionistic fuzzy  $(\psi, \eta)$ -contractive mapping if  $M(T(u), T(v), t) \geq \psi(M(u, v, t))$  and  $N(T(u), T(v), t) \leq \eta(N(u, v, t)), \forall u, v \in X$  and  $t > 0$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** An intuitionistic fuzzy  $(\psi, \eta)$ -contractive mapping is continuous.

**Proof.** Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy  $(\psi, \eta)$ -contractive mapping and  $\{u_n\}$  be a sequence convergent to  $u \in X$ . That is  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(u_n, u, t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(u_n, u, t) = 0$ .

Now, let us prove  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(T(u_n), T(u), t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(T(u_n), T(u), t) = 0$ .

Since  $T$  is the intuitionistic fuzzy  $(\psi, \eta)$ -contractive mapping,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(T(u_n), T(u), t) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(M(u_n, u, t)) = \psi(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(u_n, u, t)) = \psi(1) = 1$ .

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(T(u_n), T(u), t) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta(N(u_n, u, t)) = \eta(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(u_n, u, t)) = \eta(0) = 0$ .

That is,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(T(u_n), T(u), t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(T(u_n), T(u), t) = 0$ .

Therefore, intuitionistic fuzzy  $(\psi, \eta)$ -contractive mapping is continuous.

**Theorem 2.7.** Every intuitionistic fuzzy  $(\psi, \eta)$ -contractive mapping on a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space has a unique fixed point.

**Proof.** Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy  $(\psi, \eta)$ -contractive mapping. Let  $u_0 \in X$  and define a sequence  $u_n$  in  $X, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  as follows:

$$u_{n+1} = T(u_n).$$

Then  $\forall t > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t) &= M(T(u_{n-1}), T(u_n), t) \\ &\geq \psi(M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)) \\ &= \psi(M(T(u_{n-2}), T(u_{n-1}), t)) \\ &\geq \psi^2(M(u_{n-2}, u_{n-1}, t)) \\ &\dots \\ &\geq \psi^n(M(u_0, u_1, t)). \end{aligned}$$

By taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and by our assumption

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t) = 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} M(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}, t) &= M(T(u_n), T(u_{n+1}), t) \\ &\geq \psi(M(u_n, u_{n+1}, t)) \\ &= \psi(M(T(u_{n-1}), T(u_n), t)) \\ &\geq \psi^2(M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)) \\ &\dots \\ &\geq \psi^n(M(u_1, u_2, t)). \end{aligned}$$

By taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and by our assumption  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}, t) = 1$ .

Now,

$$M(u_n, u_{n+p}, t) \geq M(u_n, u_{n+1}, \frac{t}{p}) * \dots * M(u_{n+p-1}, u_{n+p}, \frac{t}{p}).$$

By taking limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(u_n, u_{n+p}, t) &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(u_n, u_{n+1}, \frac{t}{p}) * \dots * \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(u_{n+p-1}, u_{n+p}, \frac{t}{p}) &\dots \\ &\geq 1 * \dots * 1 \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(u_n, u_{n+p}, t) = 1.$$

Again,  $\forall t > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} N(u_n, u_{n+1}, t) &= N(T(u_{n-1}), T(u_n), t) \\ &\leq \eta(N(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)) \\ &= \eta(N(T(u_{n-2}), T(u_{n-1}), t)) \\ &\leq \eta^2(N(u_{n-2}, u_{n-1}, t)) \\ &\dots \\ &\leq \eta^n(N(u_0, u_1, t)). \end{aligned}$$

By taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and by our assumption

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(u_n, u_{n+1}, t) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can prove,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}, t) = 0.$$

Now,

$$N(u_n, u_{n+p}, t) \leq N(u_n, u_{n+1}, \frac{t}{p}) \diamond \dots \diamond N(u_{n+p-1}, u_{n+p}, \frac{t}{p}).$$

By taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(u_n, u_{n+p}, t) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(u_n, u_{n+1}, \frac{t}{p}) \diamond \dots \diamond \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(u_{n+p-1}, u_{n+p}, \frac{t}{p}) &\dots \\ &\leq 0 \diamond \dots \diamond 0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(u_n, u_{n+p}, t) = 0.$$

Hence,  $\{u_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

Since  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  is a complete fuzzy metric space, there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(u_n, u, t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(u_n, u, t) = 0$ . for each  $t > 0$ .

Since  $T$  is continuous,

$$T(u) = T(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(u_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n+1} = u.$$

That is  $T(u) = u$ .

**Uniqueness:**

Assume  $v = T(v)$  for some  $v \in X$ . Then for  $t > 0$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} M(u, v, t) &= M(T(u), T(v), t) \\ &\geq \psi(M(u, v, t)) \\ &\dots \\ &\geq \psi^n(M(u, v, t)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and by our assumption,

$$M(u, v, t) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n(M(u, v, t)) = 1.$$

That is,  $M(u, v, t) = 1$ .

Again, for  $t > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} N(u, v, t) &= N(T(u), T(v), t) \\ &\leq \eta(N(u, v, t)) \\ &\dots \\ &\geq \eta^n(N(u, v, t)). \end{aligned}$$

By taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and by our assumption,

$$N(u, v, t) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta^n(N(u, v, t)) = 0.$$

That is,  $N(u, v, t) = 0$ .

Therefore,  $u = v$ .

Hence  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

**Example 2.8.** Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  with the metric  $d$  defined by  $d(u, v) = |u - v|$ , define  $M(u, v, t) = \frac{t}{t+d(u, v)}$ , and  $N(u, v, t) = \frac{d(u, v)}{t+d(u, v)}$ ,  $\forall u, v \in X$  and  $t > 0$ . Note that,  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  where  $a * b = ab$  and  $a \diamond b = \min\{1, a + b\}$  is a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

A map  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is defined by  $T(u) = \frac{8-u}{3}$  and  $T(v) = \frac{8-v}{3}$ .

Define the map  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by  $\psi(s) = \frac{2s}{s+1}$  for each  $s \in [0, 1]$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$ .

$$\begin{aligned} M(T(u), T(v), t) &\geq \psi(M(u, v, t)) \\ \text{if } M(\frac{8-u}{3}, \frac{8-v}{3}, t) &\geq \frac{2M(u, v, t)}{M(u, v, t) + 1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{That is if } \frac{t}{t + d(\frac{8-u}{3}, \frac{8-v}{3})} \geq \frac{\frac{2t}{t+d(u, v)}}{\frac{t}{t+d(u, v)} + 1}$$

$$\text{That is if } \frac{t}{t + |\frac{8-u}{3} - \frac{8-v}{3}|} \geq \frac{\frac{2t}{t+|u-v|}}{\frac{t}{t+|u-v|} + 1}$$

$$\text{That is if } \frac{t}{t + \frac{|u-v|}{3}} \geq \frac{t}{t + \frac{|u-v|}{2}}$$

$$\text{That is if } t + \frac{|u-v|}{2} \geq t + \frac{|u-v|}{3}$$

$$\text{That is if } 3 \geq 2.$$

Again define the map  $\eta : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by  $\eta(r) = \frac{r}{2-r}$  for each  $r \in [0, 1]$  and  $\eta \in \Psi$ .

$$N(T(u), T(v), t) \leq \eta(N(u, v, t))$$

$$\text{if } N\left(\frac{8-u}{3}, \frac{8-v}{3}, t\right) \leq \frac{N(u, v, t)}{2 - N(u, v, t)}$$

That is if  $\frac{d\left(\frac{8-u}{3}, \frac{8-v}{3}\right)}{t + d\left(\frac{8-u}{3}, \frac{8-v}{3}\right)} \leq \frac{\frac{d(u, v)}{t + d(u, v)}}{2 - \frac{d(u, v)}{t + d(u, v)}}$

That is if  $\frac{\left|\frac{8-u}{3} - \frac{8-v}{3}\right|}{t + \left|\frac{8-u}{3} - \frac{8-v}{3}\right|} \leq \frac{\frac{|u-v|}{t + |u-v|}}{2 - \frac{|u-v|}{t + |u-v|}}$

That is if  $\frac{\frac{|u-v|}{3}}{t + \frac{|u-v|}{3}} \leq \frac{|u-v|}{2t + |u-v|}$

That is if  $2t + |u-v| \leq 3t + |u-v|$

That is if  $2 \leq 3$ .

Therefore  $T$  is the intuitionistic fuzzy  $(\psi, \eta)$ -contractive mapping.

Then 2 is the unique fixed point.

Hence every intuitionistic fuzzy  $(\psi, \eta)$ -contractive mapping on a complete fuzzy metric space has a unique fixed point.

## Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for a careful checking of the details and for helpful comments that improved this paper.

## References

- [1] M. Abbas, M. Imdad and D. Gopal, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems, **8**, 141-148 (2011).
- [2] Abdul Mohamad, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, **34**, 16891695 (2007).
- [3] c. Alaca, D. Turoglu and C. Yildiz, Chaos Soliton and Fractals, **29**, 1073-1078 (2006).
- [4] Dorel Mihet, Fuzzy sets and systems, **144**, 431-439 (2004).
- [5] Dorel Mihet, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **159**, 739-744 (2008).
- [6] A. George and P. Veeramani, Fuzzy sets and Systems, **64**, 395-399 (1994).
- [7] A. George and P. Veeramani, J. Appl. Phys., **90**, 365-368 (1997).
- [8] M. Grabiec, Fuzzy sets and Systems, **27**, 385-389 (1988).
- [9] V. Gregori and A. Sapena, Fuzzy sets and Systems, **125**, 245-253 (2002).
- [10] X. Huang, C. Zhu and X. Wen, Math. Commun., **15**, 425-435 (2010).
- [11] Ishak Altun and Dorel Mihet, Fixed point theory and applications, Article ID 782680, 1-11 (2010).
- [12] I.Kramsoil and J.Michalek, Kybernetika, **11**, 336-344 (1975).

- [13] M. Rafi and M.S.M Noorani, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems, **3**, 23-29 (2006).
- [14] L.A Ricarte and S. Romaguera, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems, **10**, 63-72 (2013).
- [15] S. Romaguera and P. Tirado, Internet. J. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., **8**, 233-238 (2007).
- [16] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, Pacific J. Math., **10**, 385-389 (1960).
- [17] Shenghua Wang, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **222**, 11511 (2013).



**J. Jeyachristy Priskillal** received the Master's degree in Mathematics at Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli India. Currently she is a full time research scholar in Karunya University. Her research interests are Fuzzy Analysis and Fixed Point Theory.



**P. Thangavelu** received the PhD degree in Mathematics at Alagappa University India. His research interests include Topology, Analysis, Fuzzy Mathematics, Soft sets and Rough sets. He published more than 100 papers.