

Chebyshev–Gauss–Lobatto Pseudo–spectral Method for One–Dimensional Advection–Diffusion Equation with Variable coefficients

Galal I. El–Baghdady* and M. S. El–Azab

Department of Engineering Physics and Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Mansoura University, El–Gomheria St., Mansoura, Dakahlia, 35516, Egypt.

Received: 2 Aug. 2014, Revised: 21 Sep. 2015, Accepted: 28 Sep. 2015

Published online: 1 Jan. 2016

Abstract: In this paper, we present a Chebyshev pseudo–spectral method based on a Chebyshev–Gauss–Lobatto zeros with the aid of the Kronecker product formulation for solving one–dimensional parabolic advection–diffusion equation with variable coefficients subject to a given initial condition and boundary conditions. First, we introduce an approximation to the unknown function by using Chebyshev differentiation matrices and its derivatives with respect to space x and time t . Secondly, we convert our problem to a linear system of equations to unknowns at the collocation points, then solve it. Finally, two examples are given to illustrate the validity and applicability of the proposed technique with the aid of L_∞ -norm error and L_2 -norm error to the exact solution. A comparison between the presented method has been done with cubic B-Spline finite difference method.

Keywords: One-dimensional parabolic partial differential equation, Chebyshev Pseudo–spectral method, Chebyshev Differentiation matrices, Kronecker product

1 Introduction

The combination of advection and diffusion is important for mass transport in fluids. It is well known that the volumetric concentration of a pollutant, $u(x, t)$, at a point x ($a \leq x \leq b$) in a one-dimensional moving fluid with a speed $q(x)$ and diffusion coefficient $p(x)$ in x direction at time t ($t \geq 0$) is given by the one–dimensional time–dependent advection–diffusion equation of the form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + q(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - p(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = f(x, t), \quad (1)$$

with $(x, t) \in [a, b] \times [0, T]$, subject to the initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in [a, b], \quad (2)$$

and the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u(a, t) &= g_1(t), \\ u(b, t) &= g_2(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $f(x, t)$, $u_0(x)$, $g_1(t)$ and $g_2(t)$ are known functions and assumed to be smooth functions. Whereas u is the

unknown function. Note that $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are considered to be positive and smooth functions quantifying the diffusion and advection processes, respectively.

One–dimensional version of the partial differential equations which describe advection–diffusion of quantities such as mass, heat, energy, vorticity, etc [1, 2]. Equation (1) has been used to describe heat transfer in a draining film [3], water transfer in soils [4], dispersion of tracers in porous media [5], the intrusion of salt water into fresh water aquifers, the spread of pollutants in rivers and streams [6], the dispersion of dissolved material in estuaries and coastal seas [7], contaminant dispersion in shallow lakes [8], the absorption of chemicals into beds [9], the spread of solute in a liquid flowing through a tube, long–range transport of pollutants in the atmosphere [10], forced cooling by fluids of solid material such as windings in turbo generators [11], thermal pollution in river systems [12], flow in porous media [13] and dispersion of dissolved salts in groundwater [14].

Many authors deal with equation (1) numerically, but with constant coefficients, in which $q(x) = \beta$ and $p(x) = \alpha$. For example, in [15] the authors used cubic

* Corresponding author e-mail: amoun1973@yahoo.com

B-spline collocation method to find numerical solution to problem (1) with constant coefficients (β, α) . The method of the fourth-order compact finite difference scheme was presented in [16]. For the nonlinear case, the newell wightthead segel type equations [17], they also use cubic B-spline collocation method.

In recent years there has been a high level of interest of employing spectral methods for numerically solving many types of integral and differential equations, due to their ease of applying them for finite and infinite domains [18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. The speed of convergence is one of the great advantages of spectral method. Besides, spectral methods have exponential rates of convergence; they also have high level of accuracy. From the overview of spectral approximation to differential equations, the spectral methods have been divided to four types, namely, collocation [23, 24], tau [25, 26], Galerkin [27, 28], and Petrov Galerkin [29, 30] methods.

In the present contribution, which is an extension to the work uses Legendre basis in [31], we construct the solution using the pseudo–spectral techniques [32, 33] with Chebyshev basis. Pseudo–spectral methods are powerful approach for numerical solution of partial differential equations [34, 35, 36], which can be traced back to 1970s [37]. In pseudo–spectral methods [38], there are basically two steps to obtaining a numerical approximation to a solution of differential equation. First, an appropriate finite or discrete representation of the solution must be chosen. This may be done by polynomial interpolation of the solution based on some suitable nodes. However, it is well known that the Lagrange interpolation polynomial based on equally spaced points does not give a satisfactory approximation to general smooth functions. In fact, as the number of collocation points increases, interplant polynomials typically diverge. This poor behavior of the polynomial interpolation can be avoided for smoothly differentiable functions by removing the restriction to equally spaced collocation points. Good results are obtained by relating the collocation points to the structure of classical orthogonal polynomials, such as the well-known Chebyshev–Gauss–Lobatto points. The second step is to obtain a system of algebraic equations from discretization of the original equation. In the case of differential equations, this second step involves finding an approximation for the differential operator (see [37]).

Many authors have considered this technique to solve many problems. In [39, 40], pseudo–spectral scheme to approximate the optimal control problems. Also, a Legendre pseudo–spectral Penalty scheme used for solving time–domain Maxwells equations [41]. The method of Hermite pseudo–spectral scheme is used for Dirac equation [42], and nonlinear partial differential equations [43], respectively. In [44], multidomain pseudo–spectral method for nonlinear convection diffusion equations was presented. Nonlinear Schrödinger equation was discussed in [45] by Time Space pseudo–spectral method with Chebyshev basis. Finally,

[46] pseudo–spectral methods used in Quantum and Statistical Mechanics.

The organization of this article is as follows. In Section 2, we present some preliminaries about Chebyshev polynomials and drive some tools for discretizing the introduced problem. In section 3, we summarize the application of Chebyshev pseudo–spectral method to the solution of the problem (1)–(3). As a result a set of algebraic linear equations are formed and a solution of the considered problem is discussed. In Section 4, we present some numerical examples to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method. To illustrate the validity and applicability of the proposed technique, A comparison between the presented method has been obtained with cubic B-Spline finite difference method in [17, 47].

2 Preliminaries and Notations

In this section, we give some notations about most commonly used set of orthogonal polynomials, Chebyshev polynomials [48, 49] which are defined on the interval $[-1, 1]$ and can be determined with the aid of the following.

The Chebyshev polynomials $T_n(x)$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, are the Eigenfunctions of the singular Sturm–Liouville problem

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(\sqrt{1-x^2} \frac{dT_n(x)}{dx} \right) + \frac{n^2}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} T_n(x) = 0.$$

They are mutually orthogonal with respect to L_2 inner product on the interval $(-1, 1)$ with the weight function $\omega(x) = 1/\sqrt{1-x^2}$. This imply

$$\int_{-1}^1 T_n(x) T_m(x) \omega(x) dx = \frac{d_n \pi}{2} \delta_{nm},$$

where δ_{nm} is the Kronecker delta, $d_0 = 2$ and $d_n = 1 \forall n \geq 1$. The Chebyshev polynomials satisfy the following three-term recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} T_0(x) &= 1, \quad T_1(x) = x, \\ T_{n+1}(x) &= 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_0(x) &= T_1'(x), \quad 2T_1(x) = 0.5T_2'(x), \\ T_n(x) &= \frac{1}{2(n+1)} T_{n+1}'(x) - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} T_{n-1}'(x), \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The Rodrigues' formula for Chebyshev polynomials is obtained directly by normalizing appropriately;

$$T_n(x) = \frac{(-1)^n (n!) 2^n}{(2n)!} \sqrt{1-x^2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \{ (1-x^2)^{n-0.5} \}.$$

A unique feature of the Chebyshev polynomials is their explicit relationship with a trigonometric function:

$$T_n(x) = \cos(n \arccos(x)). \quad (6)$$

In this work, we will use the Chebyshev–Gauss–Lobatto (CGL) points as

$$x_n = -\cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{N}\right). \tag{7}$$

Let $T_N(z)$ denote the Chebyshev polynomial of order N , then (CGL nodes) will be $z_0^{(N)}, \dots, z_N^{(N)}$, as defined in (7). Now, let $\{\phi_i^{(N)}(z)\}_{i=0}^N$ be the Lagrange polynomials based on (CGL) nodes, that are expressed as [45,50]:

$$\phi_j^{(N)}(z) = \prod_{i=0, i \neq j}^N \frac{z - z_i^{(N)}}{z_j^{(N)} - z_i^{(N)}}, \quad j = 0, \dots, N, \tag{8}$$

with the Kronecker property

$$\phi_j^{(N)}(z_k^{(N)}) = \delta_{jk} = \begin{cases} 0, & j \neq k, \\ 1, & j = k. \end{cases}$$

It is more convenient to consider an alternative (Christoffel–Darboux) formula [32,45], for $j = 0, \dots, N$,

$$\phi_j^{(N)}(z) = \frac{(-1)^j (1 - z^2)}{c_j N^2 (z - z_j^{(N)})} T_N'(z), \tag{9}$$

where

$$c_i = \begin{cases} 2, & i = 0, N, \\ 1, & 1 \leq i \leq N - 1. \end{cases}$$

Any defined function f on the interval $[-1, 1]$ may be approximated by Lagrange polynomials as

$$f(z) \simeq \sum_{i=0}^N f_i \phi_i^{(N)}(z), \tag{10}$$

where $f_i = \{f(z_i^{(N)})\}_{i=0}^N$. Equation (10) will be exact when $f(z)$ is a polynomial of degree at most N . Equation (10) can be expressed in the following matrix form

$$f(z) \simeq \Phi^{(N)} \mathbf{F},$$

where $\Phi^{(N)} = [\phi_0^{(N)}(z), \dots, \phi_N^{(N)}(z)]$ and $\mathbf{F} = [f(z_0^{(N)}), \dots, f(z_N^{(N)})]^T$. The first derivative to equation (10) can be expressed as

$$f'(z) \simeq \sum_{i=0}^N f_i \phi_i'^{(N)}(z), \tag{11}$$

where $\phi_i'^{(N)}(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $N - 1$, which can be written as

$$\phi_i'^{(N)}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^N \phi_i'^{(N)}(z_k^{(N)}) \phi_k^{(N)}(z), \quad i = 0, \dots, N. \tag{12}$$

Equation (12) can be expressed in the following matrix form:

$$\frac{d}{dz} \Phi^{(N)}(z) = \Phi^{(N)}(z) \mathbf{D}_{N+1}, \tag{13}$$

where \mathbf{D}_{N+1} is the so-called differentiation matrix with dimension $N + 1$. From the last two equations (12,13) we get $[\mathbf{D}_{N+1}]_{i,k} = \phi_i'^{(N)}(z_k^{(N)})$. The entries of the differentiation matrix can be defined for (CGL) points (cf. [51]) as the following

$$[\mathbf{D}_{N+1}]_{i,k} = \begin{cases} \frac{c_i}{c_k} \frac{(-1)^{i+k}}{z_i^{(N)} - z_k^{(N)}} & i \neq k, \\ -\frac{2N^2 + 1}{6}, & i = k = 0, \\ -\frac{z_i^{(N)}}{2(1 - z_i^{2(N)})}, & 1 \leq i = k \leq N - 1, \\ \frac{2N^2 + 1}{6}, & i = k = N. \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

Now, we introduce the second order differentiation matrix as \mathbf{D}_{N+1}^2 which is the derivative to differentiation matrix \mathbf{D}_{N+1} . The entries to the second order differentiation matrix can be defined for (CGL) points (cf. [52]) as the following

$$[\mathbf{D}_{N+1}^2]_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 2[\mathbf{D}_{N+1}]_{i,k} \left([\mathbf{D}_{N+1}]_{i,i} - \frac{1}{z_i^{(N)} - z_k^{(N)}} \right), & i \neq k \\ -\sum_{i=0, i \neq k}^N [\mathbf{D}_{N+1}^2]_{i,k}, & i = k. \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

Also, any defined function $h(x)$ on an arbitrary interval $[a, b]$ may be approximated by making transformation from $z \in [-1, 1]$ to $x \in [a, b]$ as:

$$h(x) \simeq \sum_{i=0}^N h(x_i^{(N)}) \phi_i^{(N)}\left(\frac{2}{b-a}(x-a) - 1\right), \tag{16}$$

where $x_i^{(N)} = \left\{ \frac{b-a}{2}(z_i^{(N)} + 1) + a \right\}_{i=0}^N$ are the shifted (CGL) nodes associated with interval $[a, b]$. Equation (16) can be expressed in the following matrix form:

$$h(x) \simeq \Phi_{[a,b]}^{(N)}(x) \mathbf{H}. \tag{17}$$

In view of equations (13) and (16), we conclude that

$$\frac{d^i}{dx^i} \Phi_{[a,b]}^{(N)}(x) = \left(\frac{2}{b-a}\right)^i \Phi_{[a,b]}^{(N)}(x) \mathbf{D}_{N+1}^i, \tag{18}$$

For an arbitrary N and M , any function of two variables $u : [a, b] \times [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ may be approximated by

$$u(x, y) \simeq \sum_{i=0}^N \sum_{j=0}^M U_{i,j} \phi_i^{(N)}\left(\frac{2}{b-a}(x-a) - 1\right) \cdot \phi_j^{(M)}\left(\frac{2}{d-c}(y-c) - 1\right), \tag{19}$$

where

$$U_{i,j} = u\left(\frac{b-a}{2}(z_i^{(N)} + 1) + a, \frac{d-c}{2}(z_j^{(M)} + 1) + c\right). \tag{20}$$

Equation (19) can be expressed based on Kronecker product in the following matrix form:

$$u(x, y) \simeq \left(\Phi_{[a,b]}^{(N)}(x) \otimes \Phi_{[c,d]}^{(M)}(y) \right) \mathbf{U}, \quad (21)$$

where \mathbf{U} is the $(N+1)(M+1)$ vector as the following form:

$$\mathbf{U} = [U_{0,0}, \dots, U_{0,M} \mid \dots \mid U_{N,0}, \dots, U_{N,M}]^T \quad (22)$$

The previous representations that are based on Kronecker product, provide some simplification in calculations when we deal with our original problem. Also by using first and second differentiation matrices we can approximate relative derivatives of any function from its expansion as we can see next. For example let u be approximated as in (21), now we can write the first derivative to u with respect to x as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} u_x(x, y) &\simeq \left(\frac{d}{dx} \Phi_{[a,b]}^{(N)}(x) \otimes \Phi_{[c,d]}^{(M)}(y) \right) \mathbf{U} \\ &= \frac{2}{b-a} \left(\Phi_{[a,b]}^{(N)}(x) \mathbf{D}_{N+1} \otimes \Phi_{[c,d]}^{(M)}(y) \right) \mathbf{U} \\ &= \frac{2}{b-a} \left(\Phi_{[a,b]}^{(N)}(x) \otimes \Phi_{[c,d]}^{(M)}(y) \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\mathbf{D}_{N+1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{M+1} \right) \mathbf{U}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

In a similar way, we can conclude that the first derivative to u with respect to y as the following:

$$u_y(x, y) \simeq \frac{2}{d-c} \left(\Phi_{[a,b]}^{(N)}(x) \otimes \Phi_{[c,d]}^{(M)}(y) \right) \left(\mathbf{I}_{M+1} \otimes \mathbf{D}_{M+1} \right) \mathbf{U}. \quad (24)$$

3 Chebyshev Pseudo–spectral Approximation

In order to solve problem (1)–(3), we approximate $u(x, t)$ as:

$$u(x, t) \simeq \left(\Phi_{[a,b]}^{(N)}(x) \otimes \Phi_{[0,T]}^{(M)}(t) \right) \mathbf{U}, \quad (25)$$

where the positive and integer numbers N and M are discretization parameters corresponding to space and time dimensions, respectively. Also we will consider $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^N$ and $\{t_j\}_{j=0}^M$ as the **CGL** nodes corresponding to the intervals $[a, b]$ and $[0, T]$, respectively.

By using (25) and differentiation matrices, we can write the derivatives to $u(x, t)$ as the following

$$u_x(x, t) \simeq \frac{2}{b-a} \left(\Phi_{[a,b]}^{(N)}(x) \mathbf{D}_{N+1} \otimes \Phi_{[0,T]}^{(M)}(t) \right) \mathbf{U}, \quad (26)$$

$$u_{xx}(x, t) \simeq \frac{4}{(b-a)^2} \left(\Phi_{[a,b]}^{(N)}(x) \mathbf{D}_{N+1}^2 \otimes \Phi_{[0,T]}^{(M)}(t) \right) \mathbf{U}, \quad (27)$$

$$u_t(x, t) \simeq \frac{2}{T} \left(\Phi_{[a,b]}^{(N)}(x) \otimes \Phi_{[0,T]}^{(M)}(t) \mathbf{D}_{M+1} \right) \mathbf{U}. \quad (28)$$

Now, by substituting from the previous equations in equation (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\frac{2}{T} \left(\Phi_{[a,b]}^{(N)}(x) \otimes \Phi_{[0,T]}^{(M)}(t) \mathbf{D}_{M+1} \right) \right. \\ &+ \frac{2q(x)}{b-a} \left(\Phi_{[a,b]}^{(N)}(x) \mathbf{D}_{N+1} \otimes \Phi_{[0,T]}^{(M)}(t) \right) \\ &\left. - \frac{4p(x)}{(b-a)^2} \left(\Phi_{[a,b]}^{(N)}(x) \mathbf{D}_{N+1}^2 \otimes \Phi_{[0,T]}^{(M)}(t) \right) \right] \mathbf{U} = f(x, t). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Now, for $1 < i < N-1$ and $1 < j < M$, we collocate the above equation at the collocation points $\{(x_i, t_j)\}_{i,j}$. Note that these collocation points are the interior points not lie in initial or boundary conditions. After collocating, equation (29) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\frac{2}{T} \left(e_{i+1}^{N+1} \otimes e_{j+1}^{M+1} \mathbf{D}_{M+1} \right) \right. \\ &+ \frac{2q(x_i)}{b-a} \left(e_{i+1}^{N+1} \mathbf{D}_{N+1} \otimes e_{j+1}^{M+1} \right) \\ &\left. - \frac{4p(x_i)}{(b-a)^2} \left(e_{i+1}^{N+1} \mathbf{D}_{N+1}^2 \otimes e_{j+1}^{M+1} \right) \right] \mathbf{U}_1 = f(x_i, t_j), \\ & \quad i = 1, \dots, N-1, \quad j = 1, \dots, M, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where e_k^p is the k^{th} row of $p \times p$ identity matrix. Equation (30) can be represented in the following matrix form using identity matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\frac{2}{T} \left([\mathbf{I}_2^N \otimes [\mathbf{I}_2^{M+1} \mathbf{D}_{M+1}]] + \frac{2q(x_i)}{b-a} \left([\mathbf{I}_2^N \mathbf{D}_{N+1} \otimes [\mathbf{I}_2^{M+2}]] \right) \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. - \frac{4p(x_i)}{(b-a)^2} \left([\mathbf{I}_2^N \mathbf{D}_{N+1}^2 \otimes [\mathbf{I}_2^{M+1}]] \right) \right] \mathbf{U}_1 = \mathbf{F}_1, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

which can be formed as

$$\mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{U}_1 = \mathbf{F}_1, \quad (32)$$

where \mathbf{F}_1 and \mathbf{U}_1 are the $(N-1)(M)$ vectors they take the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_1 &= [f_{1,1}, \dots, f_{1,M} \mid \dots \mid f_{N-1,1}, \dots, f_{N-1,M}]^T, \\ \mathbf{U}_1 &= [U_{1,1}, \dots, U_{1,M} \mid \dots \mid U_{N-1,1}, \dots, U_{N-1,M}]^T, \end{aligned}$$

and \mathbf{A}_1 is a matrix of dimension $N(N-1) \times (M+1)^2$, that can be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_1 &= \left[\frac{2}{T} \left([\mathbf{I}_2^N \otimes [\mathbf{I}_2^{M+1} \mathbf{D}_{M+1}]] \right) \right. \\ &+ \frac{2q(x_i)}{b-a} \left([\mathbf{I}_2^N \mathbf{D}_{N+1} \otimes [\mathbf{I}_2^{M+2}]] \right) \\ &\left. - \frac{4p(x_i)}{(b-a)^2} \left([\mathbf{I}_2^N \mathbf{D}_{N+1}^2 \otimes [\mathbf{I}_2^{M+1}]] \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For discretization the initial condition, we substitute (25) in (2) getting the following

$$\left(\Phi_{[a,b]}^{(N)}(x) \otimes \Phi_{[0,T]}^{(M)}(0) \right) \mathbf{U} = u_0(x), \quad a \leq x \leq b,$$

Now, for $0 < i < N$, we collocate the above equation at the collocation points $\{(x_i, 0)\}$. After collocating, the previous equation becomes:

$$\left(e_{i+1}^{N+1} \otimes e_1^{M+1} \right) \mathbf{U}_2 = u_0(x_i), \quad (33)$$

then in matrix form using identity matrix

$$([\mathbf{I}]_1^{N+1} \otimes e_1^{M+1}) \mathbf{U}_2 = \mathbf{U}_0, \tag{34}$$

which can be formed as

$$\mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{U}_2 = \mathbf{U}_0, \tag{35}$$

where \mathbf{U}_0 and \mathbf{U}_2 are the $(N + 1)$ vectors, they can be described as the following forms:

$$\mathbf{U}_0 = [u_0(x_0), \dots, u_0(x_N)]^T,$$

$$\mathbf{U}_2 = [U_{0,0}, \dots, U_{N,0}]^T,$$

and \mathbf{A}_2 is a matrix of dimension $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)^2$, that has the following form

$$\mathbf{A}_2 = ([\mathbf{I}]_1^{N+1} \otimes e_1^{M+1}).$$

Finally, to discrete the boundary conditions, we substitute (29) in (3). First, we deal with the left boundary to find the reduced form, then doing the same with the right boundary. Equation (3) will be

$$(\Phi_{[a,b]}^{(N)}(a) \otimes \Phi_{[0,T]}^{(M)}(t)) \mathbf{U} = g_1(t), \tag{36}$$

Now, for $1 < j < M$, we collocate the above equation at the collocation points $\{(a, t_j)\}$ for the first boundary condition. After collocating, the previous equation becomes:

$$(e_1^{N+1} \otimes e_{j+1}^{M+1}) \mathbf{U}_3 = g_1(t_j), \tag{37}$$

then in matrix form using identity matrix

$$(e_1^{N+1} \otimes [\mathbf{I}]_2^{M+1}) \mathbf{U}_3 = \mathbf{G}_1, \tag{38}$$

which can be formed as

$$\mathbf{A}_3 \mathbf{U}_3 = \mathbf{G}_1, \tag{39}$$

where \mathbf{G}_1 and \mathbf{U}_3 are the (M) vectors, they can be described as the following forms:

$$\mathbf{G}_1 = [g_1(t_1), \dots, g_1(t_M)]^T,$$

$$\mathbf{U}_3 = [U_{0,1}, \dots, U_{0,M}]^T,$$

and \mathbf{A}_3 is a matrix of dimension $(M) \times (M + 1)^2$, that has the following form

$$\mathbf{A}_3 = (e_1^{N+1} \otimes [\mathbf{I}]_2^{M+1}).$$

Similarly, we can write the equation of the second boundary condition as the following form

$$(e_{N+1}^{N+1} \otimes [\mathbf{I}]_2^{M+1}) \mathbf{U}_4 = \mathbf{G}_2, \tag{40}$$

which can be formed as

$$\mathbf{A}_4 \mathbf{U}_4 = \mathbf{G}_2, \tag{41}$$

where \mathbf{G}_2 and \mathbf{U}_4 are the (M) vectors, they can be described as the following forms:

$$\mathbf{G}_2 = [g_2(t_1), \dots, g_2(t_M)]^T,$$

$$\mathbf{U}_4 = [U_{N,1}, \dots, U_{N,M}]^T,$$

and \mathbf{A}_4 is a matrix of dimension $(M) \times (M + 1)^2$, that has the following form

$$\mathbf{A}_4 = (e_{N+1}^{N+1} \otimes [\mathbf{I}]_2^{M+1}).$$

The resulting system of equations can be described, from collecting equations (32), (35), (39) and (41), as the following

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{F}, \tag{42}$$

where \mathbf{A} is a matrix of dimension $(N + 1)^2 \times (M + 1)^2$, that has the form $\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{A}_1 | \mathbf{A}_2 | \mathbf{A}_3 | \mathbf{A}_4]$. For \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{F} , each one is a vector with dimension $(M + 1)^2$, and take the following form

$$\mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{U}_1 | \mathbf{U}_2 | \mathbf{U}_3 | \mathbf{U}_4]^T,$$

$$\mathbf{F} = [\mathbf{F}_1 | \mathbf{U}_0 | \mathbf{G}_1 | \mathbf{G}_2]^T.$$

After solving the linear system described in (42), we can find the approximated solution to our problem (1).

4 Numerical Examples

In order to test the utility of the proposed method, we apply the new scheme to the following examples whose exact solutions are provided in each case. For both examples, we take $N = M$ and to show the efficiency of the presented method for our problems in comparison with the exact solution. Also, to study the convergence behavior of the presented method, we applied the following laws for different values of N and for $t = T$:

-The $\|E\|_\infty$ error norm of the solution is defined by

$$\|E\|_\infty = \|U(x, t) - u(x, t)\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N-1} |U_{i,M} - u(x_i, t_M)|,$$

-The $\|E\|_2$ error norm of the solution is defined by

$$\|E\|_2 = \|U(x, t) - u(x, t)\|_2 = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (U_{i,M} - u(x_i, t_M))^2 \right]^{1/2},$$

-The condition number $K_g(\mathbf{A})$ of the coefficient matrix \mathbf{A} is given by

$$K_g(\mathbf{A}) = \|\mathbf{A}\|_g \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|_g, \quad g = 2, \infty.$$

All the computations are carried out in double precision arithmetic using Matlab 7.9.0 (R2009b). To obtain sufficient accurate calculations, variable arithmetic precision (vpa) is employed with digit being assigned to be 32. The code was executed on a second generation Intel Core i52410M, 2.3 Ghz Laptop.

Example 1.[15] Consider the problem (1)–(3) with the initial condition $u(x,0) = \sin(\pi x)$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$, and the boundary conditions are given as

$$\begin{cases} u(0,t) = 0, \\ u(1,t) = 0, \end{cases} \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

and the exact solution $u(x,t) = \sin(\pi x)e^{-\pi^2 t}$, in this case the forcing function will be

$$f(x,t) = e^{-\pi^2 t} \left[\pi^2 \sin(\pi x)(p(x) - 1) + q(x)\pi \cos(\pi x) \right].$$

Table 1: $\|E\|_\infty$ error, $\|E\|_2$ error, condition number of $g = \infty, g = 2$ with different values of N for Example 1.

N	$\ E\ _\infty$	$\ E\ _2$	$K_\infty(\mathbf{A})$	$K_2(\mathbf{A})$	CPU(s)
6	2.55E-04	3.90E-04	7.67e+02	3.91e+02	2.065
8	9.72E-06	1.31E-05	2.21e+03	1.27e+03	3.218
10	2.61E-07	4.76E-07	5.12e+03	3.29e+03	4.122
12	8.45E-09	1.75E-08	1.07e+04	7.25e+03	4.874
14	2.34E-10	4.81E-10	1.97e+04	1.42e+04	6.754
16	4.64E-12	1.03E-11	3.37e+04	2.57e+04	8.073
18	7.71E-14	1.91E-13	5.41e+04	4.32e+04	11.30
20	6.66E-14	1.19E-13	8.27e+04	6.89e+04	21.49

In “Table 1”, we take $p(x) = x/(1+x^2)$ and $q(x) = e^x$. In Comparing with cubic B-Spline finite difference method [17,47], the maximum error was $4.44E-05$ at $T = 1$ for $\Delta x = 0.01$ and $\Delta t = 0.001$, making CPU-time equal to 12.3226459 sec.

Example 2.[15, 16] Consider the problem (1)–(3) with the initial condition

$$u(x,0) = e^{5x} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right) + 0.25 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right) \right), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1,$$

and the boundary conditions given by

$$\begin{cases} u(0,t) = e^{-C_0 t}, \\ u(1,t) = 0.25e^{5-C_0 t}, \end{cases} \quad 0 \leq t \leq 2,$$

and the exact solution


$$u(x,t) = e^{5x-C_0 t} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right) + 0.25 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right) \right),$$

in this case the forcing function will be


$$f(x,t) = \left\{ \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right) \left[-C_0 + C_1 q(x) - p(x) \left(5C_1 + \frac{\pi}{2}C_2 \right) \right] \right. \\ \left. + \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right) \left[\frac{-C_0}{4} + C_2 q(x) - p(x) \left(5C_2 - \frac{\pi}{2}C_1 \right) \right] \right\} \\ \cdot e^{5x-C_0 t},$$

where

$$C_0 = \frac{\pi^2}{40} + \frac{5}{2}, \quad C_1 = 5 + \frac{\pi}{8}, \quad C_2 = \frac{5}{4} - \frac{\pi}{2}.$$



(a) Exact solution




(b) Numerical solution

Fig. 1: Exact and Numerical solutions for $p(x), q(x)$ with $x \in [0, 1]$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ at $N = 20$ for Example 1.


Table 2: $\|E\|_\infty$ error, $\|E\|_2$ error, condition number of $g = \infty, g = 2$ with different values of N for Example 2.

N	$\ E\ _\infty$	$\ E\ _2$	$K_\infty(\mathbf{A})$	$K_2(\mathbf{A})$	CPU(s)
6	1.06E-03	1.35E-03	3.61e+02	1.70e+02	3.201
8	2.19E-05	3.12E-05	9.56e+02	5.27e+02	4.635
10	2.66E-07	4.12E-07	2.15e+03	1.34e+03	6.569
12	2.70E-09	4.22E-09	4.30e+03	2.91e+03	7.215
14	2.06E-11	3.88E-11	7.80e+03	5.68e+03	9.656
16	5.40E-12	7.62E-12	1.32e+04	1.02e+04	12.12
18	1.81E-12	2.90E-12	2.10e+04	1.71e+04	16.94

In “Table 2”, we take $p(x) = xe^{-x}/(1+x^2)$ and $q(x) = e^x/(1+x^2)$. In Comparing with cubic B-Spline finite difference method [17,47], the maximum error was $1.451977E-03$ at $T = 2$ for $\Delta x = 0.01$ and $\Delta t = 0.001$, making CPU-time equal to 25.721 sec.



(a) Exact solution



(b) Numerical solution

Fig. 2: Exact and Numerical solutions for $p(x)$, $q(x)$ with $x \in [0, 1]$ and $t \in [0, 2]$ at $N = 18$ for Example 2.

5 Conclusion

In this work, we apply Chebyshev Pseudo-spectral method for one-dimensional advection-diffusion equation with Variable coefficients on Chebyshev-Gauss-Lobatto nodes. The main advantage of using Chebyshev scheme instead of using Legendre one is that its quadrature points have explicit and simple expressions. The differentiation matrices are used to represent the unknown functions. Two examples are introduced in this article to show that the proposed numerical procedure is efficient and provides very accurate results even with using a small number of collocation points. The Pseudo-spectral scheme is a powerful approach for the numerical solution of parabolic advection-diffusion equation.

References

- [1] B. J. Noye, Numerical Solutions of Partial Differential Equations, Elsevier Science Ltd, United Kingdom, 1982.
- [2] B. J. Noye, Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations, Lecture Notes, 1990.
- [3] J. Isenberg and C. Gutfinger, Heat transfer to a draining film, *Int. J. Heat Transf.* **16**, pp. 505–512 (1972).
- [4] J. Y. Parlange, Water transport in soils, *Ann. Rev. Fluids Mech.* **2**, 77–102 (1980).
- [5] Q. N. Fattah and J. A. Hoopes, Dispersion in anisotropic homogeneous porous media, *J. Hydraul. Eng.* **111**, pp. 810–827 (1985).
- [6] P. C. Chatwin and C. M. Allen, Mathematical models of dispersion in rivers and estuaries, *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **17**, pp. 119–149 (1985).
- [7] F. M. Holly and J. M. Usseglio-Polatera, Dispersion simulation in two-dimensional tidal flow, *J. Hydraul. Eng.* **111**, pp. 905–926 (1984).
- [8] J. R. Salmon, J. A. Liggett and R. H. Gallager, Dispersion analysis in homogeneous lakes, *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Eng.* **15**, pp. 1627–1642 (1980).
- [9] L. Lapidus and N. R. Amundston, Mathematics of absorption in beds, *J. Physical Chem.* **56** (8), pp. 984–988 (1952).
- [10] Z. Zlatev, R. Berkowicz and L. P. Prahm, Implementation of a variable stepsize variable formula in the time-integration part of a code for treatment of long-range transport of air pollutants, *J. Comput. Phys.* **55**, pp. 278–301 (1984).
- [11] C. R. Gane and P. L. Stephenson, An explicit numerical method for solving transient combined heat conduction and convection problems, *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Eng.* **14**, pp. 1141–1163 (1979).
- [12] M. H. Chaudhry, D. E. Cass and J. E. Edinger, Modelling of unsteady-flow water temperatures, *J. Hydraul. Eng.* **109** (5), pp. 657–669 (1983).
- [13] N. Kumar, Unsteady flow against dispersion in finite porous media, *J. Hydrol.* **63**, pp. 345–358 (1988).
- [14] V. Guvanasen and R. E. Volker, Numerical solutions for solute transport in unconfined aquifers, *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Fluids* **3**, pp. 103–123 (1983).
- [15] Joan Goh, Ahmad Abd. Majid and Ahmad Izani Md. Ismail, Cubic B-Spline Collocation Method for One-Dimensional Heat and Advection-Diffusion Equations, *Journal of Applied Mathematics* (Hindawi Publishing Corporation) Vol. (2012), pp. 1–8 (2012).
- [16] A. Mohebbi and M. Dehghan, High-order compact solution of the one-dimensional heat and advection-diffusion equations, *Applied Mathematical Modelling* Vol. **34** (10), pp. 3071–3084 (2010).
- [17] W. K. Zahra, W. A. Ouf and M. S. El-Azab, Cubic B-spline collocation algorithm for the numerical solution of newell wightthead segel type equations, *Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* Vol. **2-2**, pp. 81–100 (2014).
- [18] W. M. Abd-Elhameed, E. H. Doha and Y. H. Youssri, Efficient spectral-Petrov-Galerkin methods for third- and fifth-order Jacobi polynomials, *Quaestiones Mathematicae*, **36**, pp. 15–38, (2013).
- [19] E. H. Doha, A. H. Bhrawy, M. A. Abdelkawy and R. M. Hafez, A Jacobi collocation approximation for nonlinear coupled viscous Burgers equation, *Central European Journal of Physics*, **12**, pp. 111–122, (2014).
- [20] E. H. Doha, A. H. Bhrawy, M. A. Abdelkawy and R. A. Van Gorder, Jacobi-Gauss-Lobatto collocation method for the numerical solution of 1 + 1 nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Journal of Computational Physics*, **261**, pp. 244–255, (2014).
- [21] E. H. Doha, A. H. Bhrawy, D. Baleanu and R. M. Hafez, A new Jacobi rational-Gauss collocation method for numerical solution of generalized Pantograph equations, *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, **77**, pp. 43–54, (2014).

- [22] F. M. Mahfouz, Numerical simulation of free convection within an eccentric annulus filled with micropolar fluid using spectral method, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 219, pp. 5397–5409, (2013).
- [23] J. Ma, B. W. Li and J. R. Howell, Thermal radiation heat transfer in one- and two-dimensional enclosures using the spectral collocation method with full spectrum k-distribution model, *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 71, pp. 35–43, (2014).
- [24] W. M. Abd-Elhameed, E. H. Doha and Y. H. Youssri, New wavelets collocation method for solving second-order multipoint boundary value problems using Chebyshev polynomials of third and fourth, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2013, Article ID 542839, 9 pages, (2013).
- [25] S. R. Lau and R. H. Price, Sparse spectral-tau method for the three-dimensional helically reduced wave equation on two center domains, *Journal of Computational Physics*, 231, pp. 7695–7714, (2012).
- [26] F. Ghoreishi and S. Yazdani, An extension of the spectral Tau method for numerical solution of multi-order fractional differential equations with convergence analysis, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 61(1), pp. 30–43, (2011).
- [27] E. H. Doha and A. H. Bhrawy, An efficient direct solver for multidimensional elliptic Robin boundary value problems using a Legendre spectral-Galerkin method, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 64, pp. 558–571, (2012).
- [28] T. Boaca and I. Boaca, Spectral galerkin method in the study of mass transfer in laminar and turbulent flows, *Computer Aided Chemical Engineering*, 24, pp. 99–104, (2007).
- [29] E. H. Doha, A. H. Bhrawy and R. M. Hafez, A Jacobi–Jacobi dual-Petrov-Galerkin method for third- and fifth-order differential equations, *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Fluids*, 53(9-10), pp. 1820–1832, (2011).
- [30] W. M. Abd-Elhameed, E. H. Doha and M. A. Bassuony, Two Legendre-Dual-Petrov-Galerkin algorithms for solving the integrated forms of high odd-order boundary value problems, *The Scientific World Journal*, Vol. 2013, Article ID 309264, 11 pages, (2013).
- [31] G. I. El-Baghdady and M. S. El-Azab, Numerical solution of one-dimensional advection-diffusion equation with variable coefficients via Legendre-Gauss-Lobatto time-space pseudo-spectral method, *Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* Vol. 3(2), pp. 1–14 (2015).
- [32] C. Canuto, M. Y. Hussaini, A. Quarteroni, and T. A. Zang, *Spectral Methods in Fluid Dynamics*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1988.
- [33] C. Canuto, M. Y. Hussaini, A. Quarteroni, and T. A. Zang, *Spectral Methods: Fundamentals in Single Domains*, Springer Verlag, 2006.
- [34] A. Canuto and A. Quarteroni, (eds.), *Spectral and higher order methods for partial differential equations. proceeding of the Icosahom 1989 Conference. Como, Italy. Elsevier Scince* 1990.
- [35] M. H. Carpenter and D. Gottlieb, Spectral methods on arbitrary grids, *Journal of Computational physics* 129, pp. 74–86 (1996).
- [36] J. Shen, T. Tang, *Spectral and High-Order Methods with Applications*, Science Press, Beijing, 2006.
- [37] L. N. Trefethen, *Spectral Methods in MATLAB*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2000.
- [38] B. Fornberg, *A Practical Guide to Pseudospectral Methods*. Cambridge University Press 1996.
- [39] Michael Ross and Fariba Fahroo, *Legendre Pseudospectral Approximations of Optimal Control Problems*, Lecture Notes in Control and Information Science Computational physics, Springer Verlag 295, (2003).
- [40] M. Shamsi, modified pseudospectral scheme for accurate solution of Bang–Bang optimal control problems, *Optim. Control Appl. Meth.*, 32, pp. 668–680 (2011).
- [41] Chun-Hao Teng and et al., A Legendre Pseudospectral Penalty Scheme for Solving Time–Domain Maxwells Equations, *J. Sci. Comput.* 36, pp. 351–390 (2008).
- [42] Ben–yu Guo, Jie Shen and Cheng–long Xu, Spectral and pseudospectral approximations using Hermite functions: application to the Dirac equation, *Advances in Computational Mathematics*, 19, pp. 35–55 (2003).
- [43] Ben–yu Guo and Cheng–long Xu, Hermite Pseudospectral Method for Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, *Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis*, 34, pp. 859–872 (2000).
- [44] Yuan- yuan JI and et al., Multidomain pseudospectral methods for nonlinear convection–diffusion equations, *Appl. Math. Mech. -Engl. Ed.* 32 (10), pp. 1255–1268 (2011).
- [45] M. Dehghan and A. Taleei, Numerical solution of Nonlinear Schrödinger equation by using the Time–Space Pseudo–spectral Method, *Wily InterScience* (DOI 10.1002/num.20468) pp. 979–992 (2009).
- [46] Joseph Quin Wai Lo, *Pseudospectral Methods in Quantum and Statistical Mechanics*, Ph. D., The University of British Columbia August, 2008.
- [47] A. A. Mohamed Ali, *B-Spline Function and its Applications*, Master Thesis, Faculty of Engineering, Mansoura University, Egypt, (2014).
- [48] D. Funaro, *Polynomial Approximation of Differential Equations*. SpringerVerlag Berlin Heidelberg 1992.
- [49] B. C. Carlson, *Special Functions of Applied Mathematics*. Acadimc Press, 1977.
- [50] M. Dehghan and M. Shamsi, Numerical solution of two–dimensional parabolic equation subject to nonstandard boundary specifications using the Pseudospectral Legendre Method, *Numer. Methods Partial Differential Eq.* 22, pp. 1255–1266 (2006).
- [51] J. S. Hesthaven, S. Gottlieb and D. Gottlieb, *Spectral Methods for Time–Dependent Problems*, (Cambridge University Press), 2007.
- [52] B. D. Welfert, Generation of pseudo–spectral differentiation, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 34, pp. 1640–1657 (1997).