

On Order Ideal Convergence in a Metric Additive System

Bablu Biswas^{1,*} and D. K. Ganguly²

¹ Department of Mathematics, P. N. Das College, Palta, North 24 Parganas, West Bengal, India.

² Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, 35, Circular road, Kolkata-700019, India.

Received: 2 Feb. 2016, Revised: 2 Apr. 2016, Accepted: 9 Apr. 2016

Published online: 1 Jul. 2016

Abstract: In this paper we study the concept of Ideal convergence in a linearly ordered additive system associated with the order convergence endowed with a particular metric and we introduce the idea of order ideal convergence.

Keywords: Additive system, order convergence, natural density, statistical convergence, ideal convergence.

1 Introduction

The idea of I -convergence in real numbers was introduced by Kostyrko, Šalát and Wilezyński [9] in 2000 and it is an interesting generalization of statistical convergence. The notion of statistical convergence was introduced in 1951 by Fast [5] and Schoenberg [14] independently and it was discussed and developed by several authors viz. [6, 10, 15]. Many authors [4, 8, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20] developed the concept of I -convergence based on the notion of ideal I of subsets of the set \mathbb{N} of natural numbers in different spaces.

Recently the concept of statistical convergence has been studied in a linearly ordered additive system associated with the order convergence with respect to a particular metric in [3].

The order convergence is one of the main concept used in this paper and it was described and developed by many authors including [1, 2, 7, 12].

The main purpose of this paper is to examine whether the concept of I -convergence is extendable in a linearly ordered metric additive system mentioned in [3] and we introduce the concept of OI -convergence and study some basic properties of this convergence.

2 Definitions and notations

First we recall the definition of natural density of a subset of natural numbers \mathbb{N} and the idea of statistical convergence.

Definition 2.1. ([11]) If K is a subset of the set of positive

integers \mathbb{N} then the natural density of K is defined by,

$$\delta(K) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|K_n|}{n}, \text{ where}$$

$K_n = \{k \leq n : k \in K\}$ and $|K_n|$ is the number of elements of K_n .

Definition 2.2. ([5]) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ of real numbers is said to be statistically convergent to some number ξ , if for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\delta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - \xi| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0.$$

If $\{x_n\}$ is statistically convergent to ξ , then we write $st - \lim_n x_n = \xi$.

We now mention the idea of order convergence and a particular metric γ in a linearly ordered additive system L introduced in the paper [21] and also recall definition of an ideal.

Definition 2.3. Let L be a set of the elements x, y, z, \dots and \leq is a binary relation defined for all pairs (x, y) for $x, y \in L$.

We say that L is partially ordered set with respect to \leq , if for all $x, y, z \in L$

(i) $x \leq x$ for all $x \in L$,

(ii) $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$ implies $x = y$ and

(iii) $x \leq y$ and $y \leq z$ implies that $x \leq z$.

If $x \leq y$ and $x \neq y$, we write $x < y$. The relation $x \leq y$ is also written as $y \geq x$. Similarly, $x < y$ is also written as $y > x$.

A partially ordered set L is said to be a lattice if every two

* Corresponding author e-mail: bablubiswas100@yahoo.com

elements $x, y \in L$ possess a least upper bound $x \vee y \in L$ and a greatest lower bound $x \wedge y \in L$.

L is said to be an additive system if for every two elements $x, y \in L$ there exists a least upper bound $x \vee y$ in L and L is said to be a multiplicative system if for every two elements $x, y \in L$ there exists a greatest lower bound $x \wedge y$ in L .

An element θ in L is the null element of L if $x \vee \theta = x$ for all $x \in L$.

If L is a partially ordered set, we say that a sequence $\{x_i\}$ is increasing (decreasing) if $x_i \leq x_j$ ($a_i \geq a_j$) for $i < j$.

Note 2.4. To denote a monotone increasing (decreasing) sequence $\{x_n\} \in L$ we use the notation $x_n \uparrow$ ($x_n \downarrow$). The notation $x_n \downarrow x$ means that $x_n \downarrow$ and $\inf x_n = x$. The meaning of the notation $x_n \uparrow x$ is similar.

Definition 2.5. ([7]) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in an additive system L is said to be order convergent (O -convergent) to $\xi \in L$ if there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ of elements of L with $y_n \downarrow \theta$ such that

$$|x_n - \xi| < y_n \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where in L , $|x| = x^+ + x^-$ and $x^+ = x \vee \theta$, $x^- = (-x) \vee \theta$.

Definition 2.6. [21] (i) Let L be an additive system and D be a real valued function defined on L . Then a function γ is defined on L by

$$\gamma(a, b) = 2D(a \vee b) - D(a) - D(b).$$

$D(a)$ is said to be monotone increasing (decreasing) when

$$D(a) \leq D(b) (D(a) \geq D(b)) \text{ for } a < b.$$

(ii) Let L be an additive system and $\gamma(a, b)$ be real valued function defined for every pair $(a, b) \in L$; then define

$$\Delta(a, b, c) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \gamma(a, b) + \gamma(b, c) - \gamma(a, c) \} \text{ for } a, b, c \in L.$$

The following proposition is immediate.

Proposition 2.7. ([21]) If $D(a)$ is a real valued function defined on an additive system L , then for $a, b \in L$

- (i) $D(a) - D(b) = \gamma(a, b)$ if $a \geq b$
- (ii) If $D(a)$ is monotone increasing, then $|D(a) - D(b)| \leq \gamma(a, b)$
- (iii) $\gamma(a, b) = \gamma(b, a)$, $\gamma(a, a) = 0$
- (iv) $\Delta(a, a \vee b, b) = 0$
- (v) $D(a)$ is monotone increasing if and only if $\gamma(a, b) \geq 0$
- (vi) $D(a)$ is properly monotone increasing if and only if $\gamma(a, b) > 0$ for $a \neq b$.

Note 2.8. If $D(a)$ is monotone increasing and $\Delta(a, b, c) \geq 0$ for every $a, b, c \in L$, then $\gamma(a, b)$ is a metric on L .

In this connection we mention the following result from the paper [21].

Result 2.9. If $D(a)$ is a real valued function defined on an additive system L , then

(A) $\Delta(a, b, c) \geq 0$ for every $a, b, c \in L$ implies the following equivalent statements .

(i) $\gamma(a \vee c, b \vee c) \leq \gamma(a, b)$ for all $a, b \in L$

(ii) $\gamma(a \vee c, b \vee c) \leq \gamma(a, b)$ for all $b \leq a$

(iii) $D(a \vee c) + D(b) \leq D(a) + D(c \vee b)$ for $b \leq a$

(iv) $\gamma(a \vee c, b \vee d) \leq \gamma(a, b) + \gamma(c, d)$

(B) If $D(a)$ is monotone increasing, then $\Delta(a, b, c) \geq 0$ if and only if one of the equivalent statements (i) – (iv) holds.

Here we mention the concept of order statistical convergence in the metric additive system (L, γ) .

Definition 2.10. [3] A sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ in a metric additive system (L, γ) is said to be order statistically convergent (i.e. *ost*-convergent) to $x \in L$ if, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}_n$ in L with $y_n \downarrow \theta$ such that

$$\delta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_k, x) \geq D(y_k)\}) = 0,$$

where D is a real valued monotone increasing function on L with $D(\theta) = 0$ and $\Delta(a, b, c) \geq 0$ for all $a, b, c \in L$.

We now recall the concept of an ideal and filter of a non-empty set and I -convergence of a sequence.

Definition 2.11. [9] Let $X \neq \emptyset$. A family of sets $I \subseteq 2^X$ is said to be an ideal in X provided I satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) $\emptyset \in I$,
- (b) $A \cup B \in I$ if $A, B \in I$,
- (c) If $A \in I$ and $B \subseteq A$ then $B \in I$.

Definition: 2.12. [9] Let X be a non-empty set. A non-empty family $F \subseteq 2^X$ is said to be a filter on X if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) $\emptyset \notin F$,
- (b) $A \cap B \in F$ if $A, B \in F$,
- (c) If $A \in F$ and $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$ then $B \in F$.

An ideal I is said to be non-trivial if $I \neq \emptyset$ and $X \notin I$.

A non-trivial ideal I is said to be admissible in X if $\{x\} \in I$ for each $x \in X$.

Lemma 2.13. [9] I is a non-trivial ideal in X if and only if the family of sets $F(I) = \{M \subseteq X : X - M \in I\}$ is a filter in X .

It is called the filter associated with the ideal I .

Definition 2.14. [9] Let I be a non-trivial ideal of subsets of \mathbb{N} , the set of natural numbers and (X, ρ) be a metric space. A sequence $x = \{x_n\}$ of elements of X is said to be I -convergent to $\xi \in X$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $A(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \rho(x_n, \xi) \geq \varepsilon\} \in I$.

If $x = \{x_n\}$ is I -convergent to ξ , then ξ is called the I -limit of the sequence x and we denote it by $I - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \xi$.

Definition 2.15. [9] Let I be a non-trivial ideal of subsets of \mathbb{N} and (X, ρ) be a metric space. A sequence $x = \{x_n\}$ of elements of X is said to be I^* -convergent to $\xi \in X$ if there exists a set $M \in F(I)$ with $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < \dots\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_{m_n}, \xi) = 0$.

Definition: 2.16. [9] An admissible ideal I of subsets of \mathbb{N} is said to have AP -property if for any sequence $\{A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots\}$ of mutually disjoint sets of I , there exists a sequence $\{B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots\}$ such that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ the symmetric difference $A_i \Delta B_i$ is finite and $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \in I$.

3 Order ideal convergence

Following the idea of ost -convergence we introduce the concept of order ideal convergence in the metric additive system (L, γ) where γ is a metric defined in [21] and study some general properties related to this convergence.

Definition 3.1. Let I be a non-trivial ideal of subsets of \mathbb{N} and (L, γ) be a metric additive system. A sequence $x = \{x_n\}$ of elements of L is said to be order ideal convergent (OI -convergent) to $\xi \in L$ if there exists a sequence $y = \{y_n\} \in L$ with $y_n \downarrow \theta$ such that the set $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_n, \xi) \geq D(y_n)\} \in I$, where D is a real valued monotone increasing function defined on L with $D(\theta) = 0$ and $\Delta(a, b, c) \geq 0$ for all $a, b, c \in L$.

The number ξ is called the order ideal limit (OI -limit) of the sequence $x = \{x_n\}$ and we write $OI - \lim x_n = \xi$. Throughout the paper we consider D to be a monotone increasing real valued function with $D(\theta) = 0$ and $\Delta(a, b, c) \geq 0$ for all $a, b, c \in L$.

Note 3.2. From the definition of OI -convergence it is clear that an OI -convergent sequence is I -convergent. In particular if D be an identity map and $L = \mathbb{R}$, then γ becomes the usual metric on \mathbb{R} . In this case OI -convergence is equivalent to the I -convergence of real numbers.

Example 3.3. If I_f is the family of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} then I_f is an admissible ideal on \mathbb{N} and the OI -convergence coincides with the ordinary convergence.

Example 3.4. If $I_\delta = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \delta(A) = 0\}$ then I_δ is an admissible ideal in \mathbb{N} and the OI -convergence coincides with the order statistical convergence.

We give an example of a sequence which is OI -convergent but not convergent in (L, γ) in ordinary

sense.

Example 3.5. Consider the ideal I_f and let $L = \mathbb{R}$ with D as the identity mapping. Then clearly (L, γ) becomes the usual metric space.

Consider a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \mathbb{R} as follows:

$$x_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is a square} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R} such that $y_n = \frac{1}{n}$. Then $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_n, 0) \geq D(y_n)\} \in I$. So $OI - \lim x_n = 0$, but $\{x_n\}$ is not convergent with respect to the metric γ .

Theorem 3.6. If I is a non-trivial ideal, then OI -limit of any sequence if exists, is unique.

Proof: Let $x = \{x_n\}$ be a sequence in L such that x is OI -convergent to ξ as well as v and suppose $\xi \neq v$. Let $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{3}\gamma(\xi, v)$. Then $B(\xi, \varepsilon) \cap B(v, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$ where $B(\xi, \varepsilon)$ is the open ball with centre at ξ and ε as the radius. Since x is OI -convergent to both ξ and v , then there exists two sequences $\{y_n^{(1)}\}$ and $\{y_n^{(2)}\}$ in L with $y_n^{(1)} \downarrow \theta$ and $y_n^{(2)} \downarrow \theta$ such that $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_k, \xi) \geq D(y_k^{(1)})\} \in I$ and $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_k, v) \geq D(y_k^{(2)})\} \in I$. Now $y_n^{(1)} \downarrow 0$ and $y_n^{(2)} \downarrow 0$ implies that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $D(y_n^{(1)}) < \varepsilon/2$ and $D(y_n^{(2)}) < \varepsilon/2$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Then for $k \geq n_0$, $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_k, \xi) < D(y_k^{(1)})\} \subseteq \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_k, \xi) < \varepsilon/2\}$. So for $k \geq n_0$, $A = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_k, \xi) < \varepsilon/2\} \in F(I)$ since $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_k, \xi) < D(y_k^{(1)})\} \in F(I)$. Similarly for $k \geq n_0$, $B = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_k, v) < \varepsilon/2\} \in F(I)$. Thus for $k \geq n_0$, $A \cap B \in F(I)$ and $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ which is a contradiction and hence the proof.

Lemma 3.7. If $x = \{x_n\} \in L$ is such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \xi$ with respect to the metric γ , then there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_n\} \in L$ with $\alpha_n \downarrow \theta$ such that $\gamma(x_n, \xi) < D(\alpha_n)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof: Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \xi$, then for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gamma(x_n, \xi) < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq m$.

Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in L such that $y_n \downarrow \theta$. Then for each y_i there exists a smallest positive integer m_i such that $\gamma(x_n, \xi) < D(y_i)$ for all $n \geq m_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Choose $z_1 \in L$ such that, $D(z_1) \geq \max\{D(y_1), \gamma(x_1, \xi), \gamma(x_2, \xi), \dots, \gamma(x_{m_1-1}, \xi)\}$,

Choose $z_2 \in L$ such that, $\gamma(x_{m_1}, \xi) \geq D(z_2) > \max\{D(y_2), \gamma(x_{m_1+1}, \xi), \gamma(x_{m_1+2}, \xi), \dots, \gamma(x_{m_2-1}, \xi)\}$,

Choose $z_3 \in L$ such that, $\gamma(x_{m_2}, \xi) \geq D(z_3) > \max\{D(y_3), \gamma(x_{m_2+1}, \xi), \gamma(x_{m_2+2}, \xi), \dots, \gamma(x_{m_3-1}, \xi)\}$,

and so on.
Now set,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i &= z_1; i = 1, 2, \dots, m_1 - 1 \\ &= y_1; i = m_1 \\ &= z_2; i = m_1 + 1, m_1 + 2, \dots, m_2 - 1 \\ &= y_2; i = m_2 \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\gamma(x_n, \xi) < D(\alpha_n), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \alpha_n \downarrow \theta.$$

Theorem 3.8. If I is a non-trivial ideal and $x = \{x_n\} \in L$ be such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \xi$ with respect to the metric γ , then $OI - \lim x_n = \xi$.

Proof: Let $x = \{x_n\} \in L$ be a sequence such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \xi$ with respect to the metric γ . Then by Lemma 3.7 there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_n\} \in L$ with $\alpha_n \downarrow \theta$ such that $\gamma(x_n, \xi) < D(\alpha_n)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_n, \xi) \geq D(\alpha_n)\} = \phi \in I$. So, $OI - \lim x_n = \xi$.

Theorem 3.9. If I is a non-trivial ideal and if $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are two sequences in L such that $OI - \lim x_n = \xi$ and $OI - \lim y_n = v$, then $OI - \lim(x_n \vee y_n) = \xi \vee v$.

Proof: Since $OI - \lim x_n = \xi$ and $OI - \lim y_n = v$, then there exists sequences $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ in L with $\alpha_n \downarrow \theta$ and $\beta_n \downarrow \theta$ such that $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_n, \xi) \geq D(\alpha_n)\} \in I$ and $B = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(y_n, v) \geq D(\beta_n)\} \in I$. Let $p \in A^c \cap B^c$. Clearly $\gamma(x_p, \xi) < D(\alpha_p)$ and $\gamma(y_p, v) < D(\beta_p)$. Since D is an increasing function, then by using Result 2.9(B) we have

$$\gamma(x_p \vee y_p, \xi \vee v) \leq \gamma(x_p, \xi) + \gamma(y_p, v) < D(\alpha_p) + D(\beta_p).$$

Since $\alpha_n \downarrow \theta$ and $\beta_n \downarrow \theta$ we can consider a sequence $\{\delta_n\} \in L$ with $\delta_n \downarrow \theta$ and $D(\delta_n) \geq D(\alpha_n) + D(\beta_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\gamma(x_p \vee y_p, \xi \vee v) < D(\delta_p)$.

Let $C = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_n \vee y_n, \xi \vee v) \geq D(\delta_n)\}$. Then $p \in C^c$ and hence $A^c \cap B^c \subseteq C^c$. This implies that $C \subseteq A \cup B \in I$ since $A, B \in I$ and consequently $OI - \lim(x_n \vee y_n) = \xi \vee v$.

Definition 3.10 Let I be a non-trivial ideal of subsets of \mathbb{N} and (L, γ) be a metric additive system. A sequence $x = \{x_n\}$ of elements in L is said to be order ideally bounded(i.e. OI -bounded) in L if there exists $B \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : D(x_n) \geq B\} \in I$.

Theorem 3.11. Let I be a non-trivial ideal of subsets of \mathbb{N} . An OI -convergent sequence in the metric additive system (L, γ) is OI -bounded.

Proof: Let $x = \{x_n\}$ be a sequence in L such that $OI - \lim x_n = \xi$. Then there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in L

with $y_n \downarrow \theta$ such that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_n, \xi) \geq D(y_n)\} \in I$. i.e. $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_n, \xi) < D(y_n)\} \in F(I)$.

Let $p \in A$. Then $\gamma(x_p, \xi) < D(y_p)$ i.e., $2D(x_p \vee \xi) - D(x_p) - D(\xi) < D(y_p)$. Then $D(x_p) \leq 2D(x_p \vee \xi) - D(x_p) < D(y_p) + D(\xi)$. Since $y_n \downarrow \theta$, then $D(y_n) \downarrow 0$ and consequently, $\{D(y_n)\}$ is bounded and we can choose a real number M such that $M = \sup\{D(y_p) : p \in A\}$. Clearly $D(x_p) < D(\xi) + M$ and so $A \subseteq \{k \in \mathbb{N} : D(x_k) < D(\xi) + M\} \in F(I)$. Hence the proof.

Theorem 3.12 Let I be an admissible ideal of subsets of \mathbb{N} and (L, γ) be a metric additive system. If I contains an infinite set, then there exists an OI -convergent sequence $\{x_n\}$ in L , which has subsequence, which does not converge to the same limit.

Proof: Let A be an infinite set in I and $A = \{n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots\}$ with $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$. Again let $B = \mathbb{N} - A = \{m_1, m_2, m_3, \dots\}$ with $m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < \dots$. Since I is admissible then B is also an infinite set. Let us choose $\eta, \xi \in L$ such that $\eta \neq \xi$ and consider a sequence $\{x_n\} \in L$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_k &= \eta; \text{ if } k \in A, \\ &= \xi; \text{ if } k \in B. \end{aligned}$$

We choose a sequence $\{y_n\}$ of non-null elements in L such that $y_n \downarrow \theta$. This implies that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_n, \xi) \geq D(y_n)\} \subseteq A \in I$. Clearly, $OI - \lim x_k = \xi$. But $\{n_k \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_{n_k}, \eta) \geq D(y_n)\} = \phi \in I$ and consequently the subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is OI -convergent to η .

Theorem 3.13. Let I be an admissible ideal of subsets of natural numbers and each sequence $x = \{x_n\}$ in L has a subsequence which is OI -convergent to ξ , then x is OI -convergent to ξ .

Proof: Let $x = \{x_n\}$ be a sequence in L such that each subsequence of x has a subsequence that is OI -convergent to ξ but $OI - \lim x_n \neq \xi$. Then for each $\{y_n\} \in L$ with $y_n \downarrow \theta$, $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_n, \xi) \geq D(y_n)\} \notin I$. i.e. $A \in F(I)$ and A is an infinite set since I is admissible.

Let $A = \{n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots\}$ and $\{x_{n_k}\}$ be a subsequence of x . Then if we choose any subsequence $\{x_{p_k}\}$ of $\{x_{n_k}\}$, then clearly $\{p_k \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_{p_k}, \xi) \geq D(y_{p_k})\} \notin I$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $OI - \lim x_n = \xi$.

Definition 3.14. Let I be a non-trivial ideal of subsets of \mathbb{N} and (L, γ) be a metric additive system. A sequence $x = \{x_n\}$ of elements of L is said to be OI^* -convergent to $\xi \in L$ if there exists a set $M \in F(I)$ with $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < \dots\}$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{m_k} = \xi$ with

respect to the metric γ .

Theorem 3.15. Let I be a non-trivial ideal of subsets of \mathbb{N} . If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in L such that $OI^* - \lim x_n = \xi$, then $OI - \lim x_n = \xi$.

Proof: Let $OI^* - \lim x_n = \xi$. Then there exists $M \in F(I)$ with $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < \dots\}$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{m_k} = \xi$. Then we can choose $\{\beta_n\}$ in L with $\beta_n \downarrow \theta$ by using Lemma 3.7 such that $\gamma(x_{m_k}, \xi) < D(\beta_{m_k})$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_k, \xi) \geq D(\beta_k)\} \subseteq \mathbb{N} - M \in I$. Consequently $OI - \lim x_n = \xi$.

The following example ensures that for an ideal I a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in L , $OI - \lim x_n$ and $OI^* - \lim x_n$ may not be equal.

Example 3.16. Let $N_p = \{p, p^2, p^3, \dots\}$, where $p \in P$, the set of all prime numbers and $N_1 = \mathbb{N} - \cup_{p \in P} N_p$. Then $\mathbb{N} = \cup_{j=1, j \in P} N_j$ where each N_j is infinite and $N_i \cap N_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Consider $I = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} : A \text{ intersects only a finite number of } N_j\text{'s}\}$.

Let L has an accumulation point ξ in L . Then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in L so that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \xi$.

Using Lemma 3.7 we can choose a sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ in L with $\alpha_n \downarrow \theta$ so that $\gamma(x_n, \xi) < D(\alpha_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in L with $y_n = x_j$ if $n \in N_j$, where j is either 1 or a prime number. We assert that $OI - \lim y_n = \xi$. If not, then for each $\beta = \{\beta_n\}$ in L with $\beta_n \downarrow \theta$, $A(\beta) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(y_n, \xi) \geq D(\beta_n)\} \notin I$. i.e. $A(\beta)$ intersects infinite number of N_j 's. Then there exists a subsequence $\{p_n\}$ of prime numbers such that $\gamma(y_{p_n}, \xi) \geq D(\beta_{p_n})$ when $n \in N_1 \cup N_{p_1} \cup N_{p_2} \cup N_{p_3} \cup \dots$.

Since N_{p_1} is infinite, there exists a natural number $n = q_1 \in N_{p_1}$ such that $\gamma(x_{p_1}, \xi) \geq D(\beta_{q_1})$.

Further N_{p_2} is infinite, so there exists a natural number $n = q_2 \in N_{p_2}$ with $q_2 > q_1$ such that $\gamma(x_{p_2}, \xi) \geq D(\beta_{q_2})$.

Continuing this process we can construct a subsequence $\{q_n\}$ of natural numbers such that $\gamma(x_{p_n}, \xi) \geq D(\beta_{q_n})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ but in particular if $\beta_{q_n} = \alpha_{p_n}$, this contradicts the choice of $\{\alpha_n\}$.

Now if possible let $OI^* - \lim y_n = \xi$. Then there exists a set $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < \dots\} \in F(I)$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{m_k} = \xi$ with respect to the metric γ . Using Lemma 3.7 we can have a sequence $\{\beta_n\}$ in L with $\beta_n \downarrow \theta$ such that

$$\gamma(x_{m_k}, \xi) < D(\beta_{m_k}) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N} \quad \dots(1)$$

Let $H = \mathbb{N} - M$, then $H \in I$ and there exists prime numbers p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r such that $H \subseteq N_1 \cup N_{p_1} \cup N_{p_2} \cup \dots \cup N_{p_r}$. Thus, $N_{r+1} \subseteq \mathbb{N} - H = M$ and $n \in N_{r+1}$ implies that $y_n = x_{r+1}$ for infinitely many n . i.e. for infinitely many m_k , $\gamma(y_{m_k}, \xi) = \gamma(x_{r+1}, \xi) > 0$ which contradicts the relation (1) since $\beta_n \downarrow \theta$ and D is

monotone increasing. Hence $OI^* - \lim y_n \neq \xi$.

Theorem 3.17 Let I be an admissible ideal of subsets of \mathbb{N} and I has the AP -property. Then for a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (L, γ) , $OI - \lim x_n = \xi$ if and only if $OI^* - \lim x_n = \xi$, $\xi \in L$.

Proof: Since $OI - \lim x_n = \xi$, then we can choose a sequence $\{y_n\}$ of distinct elements in L with $y_n \downarrow \theta$ such that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_n, \xi) \geq D(y_n)\} \in I$.

Consider $A_1 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_n, \xi) \geq D(y_1)\}$ and

$A_n = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : D(y_n) \leq \gamma(x_k, \xi) < D(y_{n-1})\}$, for $n \geq 2$.

Clearly A_i 's are pairwise disjoint. By AP -property there exists a sequence of subsets $\{B_n\}$ such that $A_i \Delta B_i$ is finite for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $B = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \in I$

Let $M = \mathbb{N} - B = \{m_1, m_2, m_3, \dots\}$.

For $\varepsilon > 0$ we choose the smallest positive integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $D(y_{k+1}) < \varepsilon$. Then

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \gamma(x_n, \xi) \geq \varepsilon\} \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^{k+1} A_i.$$

$A_i \Delta B_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k+1$ are all finite sets and so there is some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\cup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_i \cap \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n > m\} = \cup_{i=1}^{k+1} A_i \cap \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n > m\}$.

If $n > m$ and $n \notin B$ then $n \notin \cup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_i$ and this implies that $n \notin \cup_{i=1}^{k+1} A_i$. Then $\gamma(x_n, \xi) < D(y_{k+1}) < \varepsilon$.

Therefore, $\gamma(x_n, \xi) < \varepsilon$ for $n > m$ and $n \in M$ and hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{m_n} = \xi$ and consequently $OI^* - \lim x_n = \xi$.

The converse follows from Theorem 3.15.

4 Conclusion

In this paper, two new concepts, namely the concepts of OI -convergence and OI^* -convergence in a linearly ordered additive system have been introduced and investigated. In this investigation we have also shown by an example that OI -convergence and OI^* -convergence need not be equivalent. Further we have introduced the idea of OI -bounded sequences and investigated some basic properties. The present paper also contains a generalization of the results of the papers [3] and [9]. In this perspective we think that these results could provide a more general frame work for the investigation on convergence of sequences with respect to order.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the reviewers for careful reading and making some useful corrections which improved the presentation of the paper.

References

[1] C. D. Aliprantis, Kim C. Border, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, (Third Edition), New York, (2006).

- [2] G. Birkhoff, *Lattice Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. vol. XXV (First Edition), New York, (1940).
- [3] D. K. Ganguly, Bablu Biswas, *Order statistical convergence in a metric space*, Investigations in Mathematical Sciences, **4**(2), 2014, 11-23.
- [4] P. Das, S. Dutta and S. K. Pal, *On I and I^* Equal Convergence and an Egoroff-type theorem*, MATEMATIKI VESNIK, **66**, 2 (2014), 165177.
- [5] H. Fast, *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math., **2** (1959), 241–244.
- [6] J. A. Fridy, *On statistical convergence*, Analysis, **5**(no.4)(1985), 301–313.
- [7] O. Frink, *Topology in lattices*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **51**(1942), 569–582.
- [8] P. Kostyrko, M. Macaj, T. Salat, M. Sleziak, *I-convergence and External I-limit Points*, Math. Slovaca, **55**(2005), 443-464.
- [9] P. Kostyrko, T. Salat, W. Wilczynski, *I-convergence*, Real Anal. Exchange, **26**(2), (2000), 669-688.
- [10] H. I. Miller, *A measure theoretical subsequence characterization of statistical convergence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **374**(no.5)(1995), 1811–1819.
- [11] I. Niven, H. S. Zuckerman, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, USA, 4-th edition, Chapter-11, 1980.
- [12] B. C. Rennie, *Lattices*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. Series **52**(no.2)(1951), 386–400.
- [13] T. Salat, B. C. Tripathy, M. Ziman, *On I-convergence field*, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math., **17**((2005), 45-54.
- [14] I. J. Schoenberg, *The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **66**(no. 5) (1959), 361–375.
- [15] H. Steinhaus, *Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique*, Colloq. Math., **2**(1951), 73–74
- [16] B. K. Tripathy and B. C. Tripathy, *On I-convergent double sequence.*, Soochow J. of Math., **31**(no.4)(2005), 549-560.
- [17] B. C. Tripathy, B. Hazarika, *On I-monotonic and I-convergent sequences.*, Kyungpook Math. J., **51**(2) (2011), 233-239.
- [18] B. C. Tripathy, B. Hazarika, *I-convergent sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function*, Acta Math. Appl. Sin., **27**(1) (2011), 149-154.
- [19] B. C. Tripathy, M. Sen, S. Nath, *I-convergence in probabilistic n-normed space*, Soft Comput., **16** (2012), 1021-1027, DOI 10.1007/s00500-011-0799-8.
- [20] B. C. Tripathy, A. J. Dutta, *On I-acceleration convergence of sequences of fuzzy real numbers*, Math. Modell. Anal., **17**(4) (2012), 549-557.
- [21] L. R. Wilcox and M. F. Smiley, *Metric Lattices*, Ann. of Math., **40**(no.2)(April 1939), 309–327.



Bablu Biswas is an Assistant Professor in Mathematics and a research scholar. He published 6 research papers in reputed national and international journals. He also delivered lectures in some national and international seminars. His research directions are in the area of descriptive set theory, sequence spaces, series and measure theory. He has completed his M.Sc. degree in Mathematics from the Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta and is a life member of Calcutta Mathematical Society, Kolkata.



D. K. Ganguly is a retired Professor of the Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, India. He received Ph. D degree in Pure Mathematics from University of Calcutta. He pursued Post-Doctoral Research work in the University of California, Santabarbara, USA. He has undergraduate and postgraduate teaching experiences more than 44 years. His research interests are in the area of Real Analysis, Descriptive Set Theory, Measure Theory, Fixed Point Theory, Function Spaces, Multi-valued Functions and Selection Theory and also Integration Theory. He has published 80 research papers in reputed International and National Journals. He is referee and member of the Board of Editors of some International and National journals. He is at present a Vice President of Calcutta Mathematical Society which is one of the oldest Societies in the world. He is a member of some learned Societies. He visited various universities and institutions in abroad, namely, USA, England, Ireland, Canada, Switzerland, Slovakia, Hong Kong, Thailand, Indonesia on academic invitation. He has produced so far 10 Ph. D students. He is member of several academic committees in some universities in India.