

Stability Criteria for Nonlinear Volterra Integro-Dynamic Systems

Awais Younus^{1,*}, Donal O'Regan², Nusrat Yasmin¹ and Safia Mirza¹

¹ Centre for Advanced studies in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Bahauddin Zakaryia University, Multan, Pakistan.

² School of Mathematics, Statistics and Applied Mathematics, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland

Received: 12 Jul. 2017, Revised: 23 Aug. 2017, Accepted: 28 Aug. 2017

Published online: 1 Sep. 2017

Abstract: We study conditions under which the solutions of nonlinear Volterra integro-dynamic system of the form

$$x^\Delta(t) = A(t)x(t) + \int_{t_0}^t K(t,s,x(s))\Delta s$$

are stable on certain time scales. We give sufficient and necessary conditions for various types of stability, including uniform stability, asymptotic stability, exponential asymptotic stability and strong stability.

Keywords: Stability, nonlinear Volterra integro-dynamic, time scale.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Stability theory is important when examining dynamic responses of a system to disturbances as time approaches infinity [9,10,11,13,16,28]. Stability of nonlinear differential equations or difference equations can be characterized using for example Lyapunov's second method, the method of variation of parameters, inequalities, etc. [4,17,24,25,29,32].

Time scales theory, introduced by Hilger [18] at the end of the twentieth century is a means to unify discrete and differential calculus [5,6]. Volterra and Fredholm type equations (both integral and integro-dynamic) on time scales were discussed in [1,2,3,19,21,22,23,26,27,30,31]. In [26] the authors discuss resolvent asymptotic stability, boundedness of VIDE and show that the principle matrix and resolvent are equivalent for linear VIDE on time scales.

In this paper we provide sufficient conditions for uniform stability, asymptotic stability, exponential asymptotic stability and strong stability of the trivial solution of a nonlinear Volterra integro-dynamic system of the form

$$x^\Delta(t) = A(t)x(t) + \int_{t_0}^t K(t,s,x(s))\Delta s, \quad x(t_0) = x_0 \quad (1)$$

where $A(t)$ is continuous and a regressive $n \times n$ matrix on $\mathbb{T}_0 := [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, $0 \leq t_0 \in \mathbb{T}^k$ and $K(t,s,x)$ is continuous n vector on $\Omega = \{(t,s,x) : t_0 \leq s \leq t < \infty \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$. We obtain new results and we generalize to a time scale some known properties concerning stability from the continuous case [14,20].

In the remainder of this paper we assume that $K(t,s,0) \equiv 0$.

Let $\mathbb{R}^n$ be the space of n -dimensional column vectors $x = \text{col}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ with a norm $\|\cdot\|$. Also, with the same symbol $\|\cdot\|$ we will denote the corresponding matrix norm in the space $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ of $n \times n$ matrices. If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, then we denote by A^T its conjugate transpose. We recall that $\|A\| := \sup\{\|Ax\|; \|x\| \leq 1\}$ and the following inequality $\|Ax\| \leq \|A\|\|x\|$ holds for all $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

A time scale $\mathbb{T}$ is a closed subset of $\mathbb{R}$. It follows that the jump operators $\sigma, \rho : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ defined by

$$\sigma(t) = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\} \text{ and } \rho(t) = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s < t\}$$

(supplemented by $\inf \emptyset := \sup \mathbb{T}$ and $\sup \emptyset := \inf \mathbb{T}$) are well defined. The point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is left-dense, left-scattered, right-dense, right-scattered if $\rho(t) = t, \rho(t) < t, \sigma(t) = t, \sigma(t) > t$, respectively. If $\mathbb{T}$ has a right-scattered minimum m , define $\mathbb{T}_k := \mathbb{T} - \{m\}$;

* Corresponding author e-mail: awaisms@yahoo.com and awais@bzu.edu.pk

otherwise, set $\mathbb{T}_k = \mathbb{T}$. If $\mathbb{T}$ has a left-scattered maximum M , define $\mathbb{T}^k := \mathbb{T} - \{M\}$; otherwise, set $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T}$. The graininess function $\mu : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is defined by $\mu(t) := \sigma(t) - t$. Given a time scale interval $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} := \{t \in \mathbb{T} : a \leq t \leq b\}$, then $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}^k}$ denotes the interval $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ if $a < \rho(b) = b$ and denotes the interval $[a, \rho(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$ if $a < \rho(b) < b$. In fact, $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} = [a, \rho(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$. Also, for $a \in \mathbb{T}$, we define $[a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}} = [a, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$. If $\mathbb{T}$ is a bounded time scale, then $\mathbb{T}$ can be identified with $[\inf \mathbb{T}, \sup \mathbb{T}]_{\mathbb{T}}$.

Throughout this work, we assume that $\sup \mathbb{T} = \infty$ with bounded graininess, i.e., $\mu(t) < \infty$. Moreover, the delta derivative of a function $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at a point $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$ is defined by

$$f^\Delta(t) = \lim_{\substack{s \rightarrow t \\ s \neq \sigma(t)}} \frac{f(\sigma(t)) - f(s)}{\sigma(t) - s}.$$

A function f is called rd-continuous provided that it is continuous at right dense points in $\mathbb{T}$, and has finite limit at left-dense points, and the set of rd-continuous functions is denoted by $C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. The set of functions $C_{rd}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ includes the functions f whose derivative is in $C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. For $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$ and a function $f \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, the Δ -integral is defined to be

$$\int_s^t f(\tau) \Delta \tau = F(t) - F(s),$$

where $F \in C_{rd}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is an anti-derivative of f , i.e., $F^\Delta = f$ on $\mathbb{T}^k$.

A function $f \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is called regressive if $1 + \mu(t)f(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, and $f \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is called positively regressive if $1 + \mu(t)f(t) > 0$ on $\mathbb{T}^k$. The set of regressive functions and the set of positively regressive functions are denoted by $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{R}^+(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, respectively.

Let $f \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ and $s \in \mathbb{T}$. Then the generalized exponential function $e_f(\cdot, s)$ on a time scale $\mathbb{T}$ is defined to be the unique solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} x^\Delta(t) = f(t)x(t) \\ x(s) = 1. \end{cases}$$

For $h \in \mathbb{R}^+$, set $\mathbb{C}_h := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z \neq -1/h\}$, $\mathbb{Z}_h := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : -\pi/h < \text{Im}(z) \leq \pi/h\}$, and $\mathbb{C}_0 := \mathbb{Z}_0 := \mathbb{C}$. For $h \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}_h$, the cylinder transformation $\xi_h : \mathbb{C}_h \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_h$ is defined by

$$\xi_h(z) := \begin{cases} z, & h = 0 \\ \frac{1}{h} \text{Log}(1 + zh), & h > 0, \end{cases}$$

and the exponential function can also be written in the form

$$e_f(t, s) := \exp \left\{ \int_s^t \xi_{\mu(\tau)}(f(\tau)) \Delta \tau \right\} \text{ for } s, t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

For more details, see [5]. Clearly, $e_f(t, s)$ never vanishes.

Let $\mathbb{T}_1$ and $\mathbb{T}_2$ be two given time scales and put $\mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2 = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathbb{T}_1, y \in \mathbb{T}_2\}$, which is a complete metric space with the metric (distance) d defined by

$$d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = \sqrt{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2}$$

for $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$.

A function $f : \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be continuous at $(x, y) \in \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|f(x, y) - f(x_0, y_0)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$ satisfying $d((x, y), (x_0, y_0)) < \delta$. If (x, y) is an isolated point of $\mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$, then the definition implies that every function $f : \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at (x, y) . In particular, every function $f : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at each point of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 1. ([15, Theorem 5 p. 102]) Let $f(x, y)$ be a real-finite valued function whose domain is the Cartesian product $S_1 \times S_2$. Suppose $f(x, y)$ is continuous in y at $y = b$ uniformly for x in S_1 , and continuous in x at $x = a$ for each y in S_2 , then $f(x, y)$ is continuous in (x, y) at (a, b) .

Let $C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2, \mathbb{R})$ denote the set of functions $f(x, y)$ on $\mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$ with the following properties:

- (i) f is rd-continuous in x for fixed y ;
- (ii) f is rd-continuous in y for fixed x ;
- (iii) if $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$ with x_0 right-dense or maximal and y_0 right-dense or maximal, then f is continuous at (x_0, y_0) ;
- (iv) if x_0 and y_0 are both left-dense, then the limit of $f(x, y)$ exists (finite) as (x, y) approaches (x_0, y_0) along any path in $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2 : x < x_0, y < y_0\}$.

A brief introduction into the two-variable time scales calculus can be found in [8].

Lemma 1. ([7])

(i) For a nonnegative φ with $-\varphi \in \mathcal{R}^+$, we have the following inequality

$$1 - \int_s^t \varphi(u) \Delta u \leq e_{-\varphi}(t, s) \leq \exp \left(- \int_s^t \varphi(u) \Delta u \right)$$

for all $t \geq s$.

(ii) If φ is rd-continuous and non-negative, then

$$1 + \int_s^t \varphi(u) \Delta u \leq e_\varphi(t, s) \leq \exp \left(\int_s^t \varphi(u) \Delta u \right)$$

for all $t \geq s$.

2 Stability

In the remainder of this paper when we say the zero solution of (1) we mean the zero solution of (1) with $x_0 = 0$.

Definition 1. The zero solution of (1) is stable, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that for any solution $x(t)$ of (1), the inequality $\|x_0\| < \delta$ implies $\|x(t)\| < \varepsilon$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$.

In this section, we assume that the zero solution of

$$y^\Delta(t) = A(t)y(t), \quad y(t_0) = y_0 \quad (2)$$

is stable. This is equivalent [13, Theorem 2.1] to assuming that there exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\|\Phi_A(t, s)\| \leq \eta \text{ for } s \in [t_0, t]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad (3)$$

where $\Phi_A(t, s)$ is a fundamental matrix of (2).

We now put conditions on $K(t, s, x)$ so that the zero solution of (1) is stable.

We make the following assumption:

(A1) There exists $\alpha > 0$ so that $\|K(t, s, x)\| \leq C(t, s) \|x\|$ with $C(t, s)$ rd-continuous for $s \in [t_0, t]_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $\|x\| < \alpha$.

Theorem 2. Suppose that the assumptions (3) and (A1) hold and there exists a positive constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^s C(s, u) \Delta u \Delta s < M. \quad (4)$$

Then the zero solution of (1) is stable.

Proof. For any $0 < \varepsilon < \alpha$ let $\delta(\varepsilon) < \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta e^{\eta M}}$ and $\|x_0\| < \delta(\varepsilon)$. Suppose that there exists $t_1 \in \mathbb{T}_0$ such that $\|x(t_1)\| = \varepsilon$ and $\|x(t)\| < \varepsilon$ on $[t_0, t_1]_{\mathbb{T}}$. From the variation of parameters formula [5], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(t)\| &\leq \|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \|x_0\| + \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \\ &\quad \times \int_{t_0}^s C(s, u) \|x(u)\| \Delta u \Delta s \\ &\leq \eta \delta(\varepsilon) + \eta \int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^s C(s, u) \|x(u)\| \Delta u \Delta s \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [t_0, t_1]_{\mathbb{T}}$.

Let $q(t) = \sup_{s \in [t_0, t]_{\mathbb{T}}} \|x(s)\|$ and we obtain

$$q(t) \leq \eta \delta(\varepsilon) + \eta \int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^s C(s, u) q(u) \Delta u \Delta s.$$

From Gronwal's inequality [5, Theorem 6.4] and Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(t)\| &\leq q(t) \\ &\leq \eta \delta(\varepsilon) \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^t \log \left(\frac{1 + \mu(s) \eta \int_{t_0}^s C(s, u) \Delta u}{\mu(s)} \right) \Delta s \right) \\ &\leq \eta \delta(\varepsilon) \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^s \eta C(s, u) \Delta u \Delta s \right) \\ &\leq \eta \delta(\varepsilon) e^{\eta M} < \varepsilon \text{ for } t \in [t_0, t_1]_{\mathbb{T}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|x(t_1)\| < \varepsilon$, which is a contradiction. Thus the zero solution of (1) is stable. The proof is complete.

Instead of (A1) assume

($\tilde{A}1$) $\|K(t, s, x)\| \leq C(t, s) \|x\|$, where $C(t, s)$ is rd-continuous for $s \in [t_0, t]_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Remark. Suppose that the assumptions (3), (4) and ($\tilde{A}1$) hold. Then the solutions of (1) are bounded.

3 Asymptotic stability

Assume that there exists a constant $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \Delta s < \beta \quad (5)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$ with $t \geq \sigma(t_0)$. (This is equivalent [13, Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4] to assuming that the zero solution of (2) is asymptotic stable).

Note that

$$\Phi_A(t, t_0) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6)$$

Definition 2. The zero solution of (1) is asymptotically stable, if it is stable and attractive (i.e. if for any solution $x(t)$ of (1), there exist $\delta_0 \geq 0$ such that $\|x_0\| < \delta_0$ implies $\|x(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$).

Theorem 3. Suppose that the assumptions (A1) and (5) hold and

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \int_{t_0}^t C(t, s) \Delta s < \frac{1}{\beta}. \quad (7)$$

Furthermore, suppose that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^s C(s, u) \Delta u = 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0. \quad (8)$$

Then the zero solution of (1) is asymptotic stable.

Proof. We first show the stability of the zero solution of (1). From (7) there exists a positive constant γ such that

$$0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{\beta} \text{ and } \sup_{s \in \mathbb{T}_0} \int_{t_0}^s C(s, u) \Delta u \leq \gamma. \quad (9)$$

From (6) there exists a positive constant N such that

$$\|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \leq N \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0. \quad (10)$$

For any $0 < \varepsilon < \alpha$ and t_0 let $\delta(\varepsilon) < \min\{(1 - \gamma\beta)\varepsilon/N, \varepsilon\}$.

Consider the solution $x(t)$ of (1) such that $\|x_0\| < \delta$. Suppose that there exists $t_1 \in \mathbb{T}_0$ such that $\|x(t_1)\| = \varepsilon$ and $\|x(t)\| < \varepsilon$ on $[t_0, t_1]_{\mathbb{T}_0}$. From the variation of parameters

formula [5], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x(t)\| &\leq \|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \|x_0\| + \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \\
 &\quad \times \int_{t_0}^s C(s, u) \|x(u)\| \Delta u \Delta s \\
 &= \|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \|x_0\| + \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \\
 &\quad \times \int_{t_0}^s C(s, u) \|x(u)\| \Delta u \Delta s \\
 &< N\delta + \varepsilon \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \int_{t_0}^s C(s, u) \Delta u \Delta s \\
 &< (1 - \gamma\beta)\varepsilon + \varepsilon\beta\gamma = \varepsilon \text{ for } t \in [t_0, t_1]_{\mathbb{T}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|x(t_1)\| < \varepsilon$, which is a contradiction. Thus the zero solution of (1) is stable.

Next we will show that the zero solution of (1) is attractive. Let $\varepsilon = 1$, then there exists $\delta_0 = \delta(1) < 1$ such that $\|x_0\| < \delta_0$ implies

$$\|x(t)\| < \min(\alpha, 1) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0. \quad (11)$$

Suppose there exists x_0 with $\|x_0\| < \delta_0$ such that the solution $x(t)$ of (1) satisfies

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|x(t)\| = \lambda > 0. \quad (12)$$

From (9) $\gamma\beta < 1$, and there exists a constant θ such that $\gamma\beta < \theta < 1$. From (12), there exists $t_1 \in \mathbb{T}_0$ such that

$$\|x(u)\| \leq \frac{\lambda}{\theta} \text{ for all } u \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}} \quad (13)$$

and from (8), there exists $T \in (t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ such that

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(s, u) \Delta u < \frac{(\theta - \gamma\beta)\lambda}{2\theta\beta} \text{ for all } s \in [T, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (14)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x(t)\| &\leq \|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \delta_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \\
 &\quad \times \int_{t_0}^s C(s, u) \|x(u)\| \Delta u \Delta s \\
 &\leq \|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \delta_0 + \|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \int_{t_0}^T \|\Phi_A(t_0, \sigma(s))\| \\
 &\quad \times \int_{t_0}^s C(s, u) \|x(u)\| \Delta u \Delta s + \int_T^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \\
 &\quad \times \int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(s, u) \|x(u)\| \Delta u \Delta s + \int_T^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \\
 &\quad \times \int_{t_1}^s C(s, u) \|x(u)\| \Delta u \Delta s.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (5), (11) and (14) we have

$$\int_T^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \int_{t_0}^{t_1} C(s, u) \|x(u)\| \Delta u \Delta s \leq \frac{(\theta - \gamma\beta)\lambda}{2\theta}.$$

Moreover, using (5), (9) and (13), we obtain

$$\int_T^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \int_{t_1}^s C(s, u) \|x(u)\| \Delta u \Delta s \leq \frac{\gamma\beta\lambda}{\theta}.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x(t)\| &\leq \|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \delta_0 + \|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \int_{t_0}^T \|\Phi_A(t_0, \sigma(s))\| \\
 &\quad \times \int_{t_0}^s C(s, u) \|x(u)\| \Delta u \Delta s + \frac{(\theta + \gamma\beta)\lambda}{2\theta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ by (6), we have $\lambda \leq \frac{(\theta + \gamma\beta)\lambda}{2\theta}$ and thus $\lambda < \lambda$, a contradiction. Therefore the zero solution of (1) is attractive. The proof is complete.

4 Exponential asymptotic stability

Definition 3. The zero solution of (1) is exponentially asymptotically stable, if there exist $\eta > 0$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\delta > 0$ such that for any solution $x(t)$ of (1), $\|x_0\| < \delta$ implies $\|x(t)\| < \varepsilon e_{-\eta}(t, t_0)$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$.

We assume that there exists $M, \eta > 0$ with $-\eta \in \mathcal{R}^+(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\|\Phi_A(t, s)\| \leq M e_{-\eta}(t, s) \text{ for all } s \in [t_0, t]_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (15)$$

(This is equivalent [13, Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4] to assuming that the zero solution of (2) is exponentially stable).

Theorem 4. Suppose that the assumptions (A1) and (15) holds and there exists a positive constant ν such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \int_{t_0}^t e_{-\nu}(s, \sigma(t)) C(t, s) \Delta s < \frac{\eta}{M}. \quad (16)$$

Then the zero solution of (1) is exponentially asymptotically stable.

Proof. Using (15) for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$ and $\|x_0\| < \alpha/M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x(t)\| &\leq \|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \|x_0\| + \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \\
 &\quad \times \int_{t_0}^s C(s, u) \|x(u)\| \Delta u \Delta s \\
 &\leq M e_{-\eta}(t, t_0) \|x_0\| + M \int_{t_0}^t e_{-\eta}(t, \sigma(s)) \\
 &\quad \times \int_{t_0}^s C(s, u) \|x(u)\| \Delta u \Delta s.
 \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

There exist positive constants $\vartheta < \nu$ and ε with $-\vartheta, -\varepsilon \in \mathcal{R}^+(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $-\eta = -\vartheta \oplus -\varepsilon$ and

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \int_{t_0}^t e_{-\vartheta}(s, \sigma(t)) C(t, s) \Delta s < \frac{\varepsilon}{M}.$$

Multiply by $e_{-\vartheta}(t_0, t)$ on both sides of (17) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e_{-\vartheta}(t_0, t) \|x(t)\| &\leq M e_{-\varepsilon}(t, t_0) \|x_0\| + M \int_{t_0}^t e_{-\vartheta}(t_0, \sigma(s)) \\ &\times e_{-\varepsilon}(t, \sigma(s)) \int_{t_0}^s C(s, u) \|x(u)\| \Delta u \Delta s \\ &= M e_{-\varepsilon}(t, t_0) \|x_0\| + M \int_{t_0}^t e_{-\varepsilon}(t, \sigma(s)) \int_{t_0}^s e_{-\vartheta}(u, \sigma(s)) \\ &\times C(s, u) e_{-\vartheta}(t_0, u) \|x(u)\| \Delta u \Delta s. \end{aligned}$$

If we define $q(t) = \sup_{s \in [t_0, t]_{\mathbb{T}}} e_{-\vartheta}(t_0, s) \|x(s)\|$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} e_{-\vartheta}(t_0, t) \|x(t)\| &\leq M e_{-\varepsilon}(t, t_0) \|x_0\| \\ &+ M q(t) \int_{t_0}^t e_{-\varepsilon}(t, \sigma(s)) \int_{t_0}^s e_{-\vartheta}(u, \sigma(s)) C(s, u) \Delta u \Delta s \\ &\leq M e_{-\varepsilon}(t, t_0) \|x_0\| + \varepsilon q(t) \int_{t_0}^t e_{-\varepsilon}(t, \sigma(s)) \Delta s. \end{aligned}$$

Using [5, Theorem 2.39], we obtain that

$$e_{-\vartheta}(t_0, t) \|x(t)\| \leq M e_{-\varepsilon}(t, t_0) \|x_0\| + \{1 - e_{-\varepsilon}(t, t_0)\} q(t). \quad (18)$$

Now we consider two cases

(I): In this case $e_{-\vartheta}(t_0, s) \|x(s)\| \leq e_{-\vartheta}(t_0, t) \|x(t)\|$ for any $s \in [t_0, t]_{\mathbb{T}}$, so we have $q(t) = e_{-\vartheta}(t_0, t) \|x(t)\|$. Then from (18) we have

$$q(t) \leq M e_{-\varepsilon}(t, t_0) \|x_0\| + \{1 - e_{-\varepsilon}(t, t_0)\} q(t).$$

Thus $q(t) \leq M \|x_0\|$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$. Then $q(t) = e_{-\vartheta}(t_0, t) \|x(t)\|$ implies $\|x(t)\| \leq M e_{-\vartheta}(t, t_0) \|x_0\|$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$.

(II): In this case there exists $s \in [t_0, t]_{\mathbb{T}}$ such that

$$e_{-\vartheta}(t_0, s) \|x(s)\| > e_{-\vartheta}(t_0, t) \|x(t)\|.$$

There exists $t_1 \in [t_0, t]_{\mathbb{T}}$ such that $q(t) = e_{-\vartheta}(t_0, t_1) \|x(t_1)\|$. Then from (18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} q(t_1) &= e_{-\vartheta}(t_0, t_1) \|x(t_1)\| \\ &\leq M e_{-\varepsilon}(t_1, t_0) \|x_0\| + \{1 - e_{-\varepsilon}(t_1, t_0)\} q(t_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $q(t_1) \leq M \|x_0\|$ for all $t_1 \in \mathbb{T}_0$. Then $q(t_1) > e_{-\vartheta}(t_0, t) \|x(t)\|$ implies $\|x(t)\| \leq M e_{-\vartheta}(t, t_0) \|x_0\|$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$.

Thus from (I) and (II), the zero solution of (1) is exponentially asymptotically stable. The proof is complete.

5 Strong stability

Definition 4. The zero solution of (1) is said to be strongly stable if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\delta > 0$ such that, for any solution $x(t)$ of (1), the inequalities $t_1 \in \mathbb{T}_0$ and $\|x(t_1)\| < \delta$ implies $\|x(t)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $t \geq t_0 \in \mathbb{T}_0$.

Theorem 5. ([10, Theorem 4.3]) Let $\Phi_A(t, s)$ be a fundamental matrix for (2). Then the zero solution of (2) is strongly stable on $\mathbb{T}_0$ if and only if there exist a positive constant K such that

$$\|\Phi_A(t, t_0) \Phi_A^{-1}(s, t_0)\| \leq K \text{ for all } t_0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$$

or equivalently,

$$\|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \leq K \text{ and } \|\Phi_A^{-1}(t, t_0)\| \leq K \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

Let us consider the following hypotheses:

H_1 : There exist a continuous function $\varphi: \mathbb{T}_0 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and the constants $p_1 \geq 1, K_1 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{t_0}^t (\varphi(s) \|\Phi_A(t, t_0) \Phi_A^{-1}(s, t_0)\|)^{p_1} \Delta s \leq K_1, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

H_2 : There exist a continuous function $\varphi: \mathbb{T}_0 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and the constants $p_2 \geq 1, K_2 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{t_0}^t (\varphi(s) \|\Phi_A^{-1}(t, t_0) \Phi_A(s, t_0)\|)^{p_2} \Delta s \leq K_2, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

H_3 : There exist a continuous function $\varphi: \mathbb{T}_0 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and the constants $p_3 \geq 1, K_3 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{t_0}^t (\varphi(s) \|\Phi_A^{-1}(s, t_0) \Phi_A(t, t_0)\|)^{p_3} \Delta s \leq K_3, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

H_4 : There exist a continuous function $\varphi: \mathbb{T}_0 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and the constants $p_4 \geq 1, K_4 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{t_0}^t (\varphi(s) \|\Phi_A(s, t_0) \Phi_A^{-1}(t, t_0)\|)^{p_4} \Delta s \leq K_4, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

Theorem 6. Suppose that the fundamental matrix $\Phi_A(t, s)$ satisfies one of the following conditions:

C_1 : H_1 and H_2 are true.

C_2 : H_1 and H_4 are true.

C_3 : H_2 and H_3 are true.

C_4 : H_3 and H_4 are true.

Then, the zero solution of (2) is strongly stable on $\mathbb{T}_0$.

Proof. We prove that $\Phi_A(t, t_0)$ and $\Phi_A^{-1}(t, t_0)$ are bounded on $\mathbb{T}_0$. First consider the case C_2 . For this we prove that $\Phi_A(t, t_0)$ is bounded on $\mathbb{T}_0$. Consider

$$q(t) = \varphi^{p_1}(t) (\|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\|)^{-p_1} \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

From the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{t_0}^t q(s) \Delta s \right) \Phi_A(t, t_0) &= \int_{t_0}^t (\varphi(s) \Phi_A(t, t_0) \Phi_A^{-1}(s, t_0)) \\ &\times (q(s) (\varphi(s))^{-1} \Phi_A(s, t_0)) \Delta s, \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{T}_0, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{t_0}^t q(s) \Delta s \right) \|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| &\leq \int_{t_0}^t (\varphi(s) \|\Phi_A(t, t_0) \Phi_A^{-1}(s, t_0)\|) \\ &\times (q(s) (\varphi(s))^{-1} \|\Phi_A(s, t_0)\|) \Delta s, \text{ } t \in \mathbb{T}_0. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

If $p_1 = 1$, we have that $q(s)(\varphi(s))^{-1} \|\Phi_A(s, t_0)\| = 1$. From (19) and the hypothesis H_1 , it follows that

$$\left(\int_{t_0}^t q(s) \Delta s \right) \|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \leq \int_{t_0}^t (\varphi(s) \|\Phi_A(t, t_0) \Phi_A^{-1}(s, t_0)\|) \Delta s \leq K_1, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

If $p_1 > 1$, set $q_1 = \frac{p_1}{(p_1-1)}$, such that $q(s)(\varphi(s))^{-1} \|\Phi_A(s, t_0)\| = (q(s))^{1/q_1}$. From (19), it follows that

$$\left(\int_{t_0}^t q(s) \Delta s \right) \varphi(t) (q(t))^{-1/p_1} \leq \int_{t_0}^t (\varphi(s) \|\Phi_A(t, t_0) \Phi_A^{-1}(s, t_0)\|) \times (q(s))^{1/q_1} \Delta s, \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

Using the Hölder's inequality [5], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{t_0}^t q(s) \Delta s \right) \varphi(t) (q(t))^{-1/p_1} \leq \\ & \left(\int_{t_0}^t (\varphi(s) \|\Phi_A(t, t_0) \Phi_A^{-1}(s, t_0)\|)^{p_1} \Delta s \right)^{1/p_1} \\ & \times \left(\int_{t_0}^t q(s) \Delta s \right)^{1/q_1} \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T}_0. \end{aligned}$$

Now using hypothesis H_1 , we obtain

$$\left(\int_{t_0}^t q(s) \Delta s \right)^{1/p_1} \varphi(t) (q(t))^{-1/p_1} \leq K_1^{1/p_1}, \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

or

$$\left(\int_{t_0}^t q(s) \Delta s \right) \|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\|^{p_1} \leq K_1, \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

Thus for $p_1 \geq 1$, the function $\|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\|$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \leq K_1^{1/p_1} \left(\int_{t_0}^t q(s) \Delta s \right)^{-1/p_1}, \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

Let $Q(t) = \int_{t_0}^t q(s) \Delta s$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$, so

$$\|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \leq K_1^{1/p_1} (Q(t))^{-1/p_1}, \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

Note

$$Q^\Delta(t) = q(t) \geq K_1^{-1} (\varphi(t))^{p_1} Q(t), \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

It follows that there exist a constant M_1 such that $\|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \leq M_1$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$.

Now for prove $\Phi_A^{-1}(t, t_0)$ is bounded on $\mathbb{T}_0$. Consider

$$q(t) = \varphi^{p_4}(t) \|\Phi_A^{-1}(t, t_0)\|^{-p_4} \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

From the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{t_0}^t q(s) \Delta s \right) \Phi_A^{-1}(t, t_0) = \int_{t_0}^t \left(q(s) (\varphi(s))^{-1} \Phi_A^{-1}(s, t_0) \right) \\ & \times (\varphi(s) \Phi_A(s, t_0) \Phi_A^{-1}(t, t_0)) \Delta s, \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T}_0, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{t_0}^t q(s) \Delta s \right) \|\Phi_A^{-1}(t, t_0)\| \leq \\ & \int_{t_0}^t \left(q(s) (\varphi(s))^{-1} \|\Phi_A^{-1}(s, t_0)\| \right) \\ & \times (\varphi(s) \|\Phi_A(s, t_0) \Phi_A^{-1}(t, t_0)\|) \Delta s. \end{aligned}$$

If $p_4 = 1$, we have that $q(s)(\varphi(s))^{-1} \|\Phi_A^{-1}(s, t_0)\| = 1$. Using hypothesis H_4 it follows that

$$\left(\int_{t_0}^t q(s) \Delta s \right) \|\Phi_A^{-1}(t, t_0)\| \leq \int_{t_0}^t (\varphi(s) \|\Phi_A(s, t_0)\|) \Delta s \times \Phi_A^{-1}(t, t_0) \leq K_4 \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

If $p_4 > 1$, set $q_4 = \frac{p_4}{p_4-1}$, such that $q(s)(\varphi(s))^{-1} \|\Phi_A^{-1}(s, t_0)\| = (q(s))^{1/q_4}$. It follows that

$$\left(\int_{t_0}^t q(s) \Delta s \right) \|\Phi_A^{-1}(t, t_0)\| \leq \int_{t_0}^t (\|\Phi_A(s, t_0) \Phi_A^{-1}(s, t_0)\|) \times (q(s))^{1/q_4} \Delta s \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{t_0}^t q(s) \Delta s \right) \|\Phi_A^{-1}(t, t_0)\| \leq \\ & \left(\int_{t_0}^t (\varphi(s) \|\Phi_A(s, t_0) \Phi_A^{-1}(t, t_0)\|)^{p_4} \Delta s \right)^{1/p_4} \\ & \times \left(\int_{t_0}^t q(s) \Delta s \right)^{1/q_4} \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T}_0. \end{aligned}$$

Now using hypothesis H_4 , we obtain

$$\left(\int_{t_0}^t q(s) \Delta s \right) \|\Phi_A^{-1}(t, t_0)\| \leq \left(\int_{t_0}^t q(s) \Delta s \right)^{1/q_4} K_4^{1/p_4}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}_0$$

or

$$\left(\int_{t_0}^t q(s) \Delta s \right)^{1/p_4} \|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \leq K_4^{1/p_4}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

Thus for $p_4 \geq 1$, the function $\|\Phi_A^{-1}(t, t_0)\|$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|\Phi_A^{-1}(t, t_0)\| \leq K_4^{1/p_4} \left(\int_{t_0}^t q(s) \Delta s \right)^{-1/p_4} \quad t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

Let $Q(t) = \int_{t_0}^t q(s) \Delta s$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$, so

$$\|\Phi_A^{-1}(t, t_0)\| \leq K_4^{1/p_4} (Q(t))^{-1/p_4}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}_0.$$

Note $Q^\Delta(t) = q(t) \geq K_4^{-1} (\varphi(t))^{p_4} Q(t)$, for $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$. Thus there exist a constant M_2 such that $\|\Phi_A^{-1}(t, t_0)\| \leq M_2$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$.

Hence the conclusion follows immediately from Theorem 5. The proof is similar for the cases C_1, C_3 or C_4 .

Our next result gives us an existence and uniqueness criteria for solutions of equation (1).

Theorem 7. Assume that the function K is continuous and satisfies the condition

$$\|K(t, s, x) - K(t, s, y)\| \leq f(t, s) \|x - y\| \quad (20)$$

for $t_0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$ and for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and there exist a function $\beta \in \mathcal{R}^+(\mathbb{T}_0, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \frac{1}{e_\beta(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \int_{t_0}^s f(s, u) \Delta u \Delta s < 1 \quad (21)$$

$$\times e_\beta(u, t_0) \Delta u \Delta s < 1 \quad (22)$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \frac{1}{e_\beta(t, t_0)} \|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| < \infty, \quad (23)$$

where f is a rd-continuous nonnegative function on $D = \{(t, s) : t_0 \leq s \leq t < \infty\}$. Then there exists a unique solution of (1).

Proof. We consider the space of continuous function $C(\mathbb{T}_0; \mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \frac{\|x(t)\|}{e_\beta(t, t_0)} < \infty,$$

and we denote this space by $C_\beta(\mathbb{T}_0; \mathbb{R}^n)$. We couple the linear space $C_\beta(\mathbb{T}_0; \mathbb{R}^n)$ with a metric, namely

$$d_\beta^\infty(x, y) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \frac{\|x(t) - y(t)\|}{e_\beta(t, t_0)}.$$

It is easy to see that $C_\beta(\mathbb{T}_0; \mathbb{R}^n)$ (coupled with the norm $\|x\|_\beta^\infty = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \frac{\|x(t)\|}{e_\beta(t, t_0)}$) is a Banach space [22, Lemma 4.1].

Consider the operator T from $C_\beta(\mathbb{T}_0; \mathbb{R}^n)$ to $C_\beta(\mathbb{T}_0; \mathbb{R}^n)$ given by

$$Tx(t) = \Phi_A(t, t_0)x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \Phi_A(t, \sigma(s)) \int_{t_0}^s K(s, u, x) \Delta u \Delta s \quad (24)$$

and note

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx\|_\beta^\infty &= \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \frac{1}{e_\beta(t, t_0)} \|\Phi_A(t, t_0)x(t_0) \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t \Phi_A(t, \sigma(s)) \int_{t_0}^s K(s, u, x) \Delta u \Delta s\| \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \frac{1}{e_\beta(t, t_0)} (\|\Phi_A(t, t_0)x(t_0)\| \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s)) \int_{t_0}^s K(s, u, x)\| \Delta u \Delta s) \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \frac{1}{e_\beta(t, t_0)} \|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \|x(t_0)\| \\ &+ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \frac{1}{e_\beta(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \\ &\times \int_{t_0}^s \|K(s, u, x)\| \Delta u \Delta s, \end{aligned}$$

and using (20), (21) and (23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx\|_\beta^\infty &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \frac{1}{e_\beta(t, t_0)} \|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \|x(t_0)\| \\ &+ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \frac{1}{e_\beta(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \\ &\times \int_{t_0}^s f(s, u) \|x(u)\| \Delta u \Delta s \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \frac{1}{e_\beta(t, t_0)} \|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \|x(t_0)\| \\ &+ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \frac{1}{e_\beta(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \\ &\times \int_{t_0}^s f(s, u) e_\beta(u, t_0) \frac{\|x(u)\|}{e_\beta(u, t_0)} \Delta u \Delta s \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \frac{1}{e_\beta(t, t_0)} \|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \|x(t_0)\| \\ &+ \|x\|_\beta^\infty \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \frac{1}{e_\beta(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \\ &\times \int_{t_0}^s f(s, u) e_\beta(u, t_0) \Delta u \Delta s. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty\|_\beta^\infty &= \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \frac{1}{e_\beta(t, t_0)} \left\| \int_{t_0}^t \Phi_A(t, \sigma(s)) \right. \\ &\times \left. \int_{t_0}^s K(s, u, x) - K(s, u, y) \Delta u \Delta s \right\| \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \frac{1}{e_\beta(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \\ &\times \int_{t_0}^s \|K(s, u, x) - K(s, u, y)\| \Delta u \Delta s \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \frac{1}{e_\beta(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \\ &\times \int_{t_0}^s f(s, u) \|x(u) - y(u)\| \Delta u \Delta s \\ &\leq \|x - y\|_\beta^\infty \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \frac{1}{e_\beta(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \\ &\times \int_{t_0}^s f(s, u) e_\beta(u, t_0) \Delta u \Delta s. \end{aligned}$$

Hence T is a contraction. The Banach fixed point theorem guarantees there exists a unique solution of the system (1) [22, 23] (note the variation of parameters formula [5]).

Theorem 8. Assume that the function K is continuous and satisfies the condition

$$\|K(t, s, x) - K(t, s, y)\| \leq f(t, s) \|x - y\| \quad (25)$$

for $t_0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$ and for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \int_{t_0}^s f(s, u) \Delta u \Delta s < 1 \quad (26)$$

and

$$N = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| < \infty, \quad (27)$$

where f is a rd-continuous nonnegative function on $D = \{(t, s) : t_0 \leq s \leq t < \infty\}$. Then the zero solution of (1) is strongly stable on $\mathbb{T}_0$.

Proof. For $t_1 \in \mathbb{T}_0$, equation (24) yields

$$\begin{aligned} Tx(t) &= \Phi_A(t, t_0)x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \Phi_A(t, \sigma(s)) \int_{t_0}^s K(s, u, x) \Delta u \Delta s \\ &= \Phi_A(t, t_1) \Phi_A(t_1, t_0)x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \Phi_A(t, \sigma(s)) \\ &\quad \times \int_{t_0}^s K(s, u, x) \Delta u \Delta s + \int_{t_1}^t \Phi_A(t, \sigma(s)) \int_{t_0}^s K(s, u, x) \Delta u \Delta s \\ &= \Phi_A(t, t_1) \Phi_A(t_1, t_0)x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \Phi_A(t, t_1) \Phi_A(t_1, \sigma(s)) \\ &\quad \times \int_{t_0}^s K(s, u, x) \Delta u \Delta s + \int_{t_1}^t \Phi_A(t, \sigma(s)) \int_{t_0}^s K(s, u, x) \Delta u \Delta s \\ &= \Phi_A(t, t_1) Tx(t_1) + \int_{t_1}^t \Phi_A(t, \sigma(s)) \\ &\quad \times \int_{t_0}^s K(s, u, x) \Delta u \Delta s. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 7, we deduce that there exists a unique solution $x(t)$ of (1) on $\mathbb{T}_0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(t)\| &\leq \|\Phi_A(t, t_1)\| \|x(t_1)\| + \int_{t_1}^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \\ &\quad \times \int_{t_0}^s \|K(s, u, x)\| \Delta u \Delta s \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}} \int_{t_1}^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \int_{t_0}^s \|K(s, u, x)\| \Delta u \Delta s \\ &\quad + \sup_{t \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}} \|\Phi_A(t, t_1)\| \|x(t_1)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now using (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(t)\| &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \|x(t)\| \leq \|x(t_1)\| \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \|\Phi_A(t, t_0)\| \\ &\quad + \sup_{w \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}} \|x(w)\| \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \int_{t_0}^s f(s, u) \Delta u \Delta s, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

for $t \geq t_0 \in \mathbb{T}_0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary and let $\delta(\varepsilon) = \frac{\varepsilon(1-P)}{N}$, be such that

$$\|x(t_1)\| < \delta(\varepsilon), \quad (29)$$

where

$$P = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \int_{t_0}^t \|\Phi_A(t, \sigma(s))\| \int_{t_0}^s f(s, u) \Delta u \Delta s.$$

Now (28) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}} \|x(t)\| (1-P) &\leq N \|x(t_1)\|, \text{ i.e.,} \\ \sup_{t \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}} \|x(t)\| &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that the zero solution of (1) is strongly stable on $\mathbb{T}_0$. The proof is complete.

References

- [1] M. Adivar, Y. N. Raffoul, Qualitative analysis of nonlinear Volterra integral equations on time scales using resolvent and Lyapunov functionals, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 273 (2016) 258–266.
- [2] M. Adivar, Principal matrix solutions and variation of parameters for Volterra integro-dynamic equations on time scales, *Glasg. Math. J.* 53 (3) (2011) 463–480.
- [3] M. Adivar, Function bounds for solutions of Volterra integro-dynamic equations on time scales, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, 7 (2010) 1–22.
- [4] R. P. Agarwal, *Difference Equations and Inequalities*, 2nd edn. Dekker, New York (2000).
- [5] M. Bohner, A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales, An Introduction with Applications*, Birkhäuser Boston, MA, 2001.
- [6] M. Bohner, A. Peterson, *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston, (2003).
- [7] M. Bohner, Some oscillation criteria for first-order delay dynamic equations, *Far East J. Appl. Math.* 18 (3) (2005), 289–304.
- [8] M. Bohner, G. Sh. Guseinov, Double integral calculus of variations on time scales, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 54 (2007) 45–57.
- [9] S. K. Choi, D. M. Im and N. Koo, Stability of linear dynamic systems on time scales, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, 2008 (2008) Article ID 670203, 12 pages.
- [10] S. K. Choi, N. Koo, On the stability of linear dynamic systems on time scales, *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* 15 (2009), 167–183.
- [11] S. K. Choi, N. Koo, Stability of linear dynamic equations on time scales, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 7th AIMS Conference*, suppl. (2009), 161–170.
- [12] J. J. DaCunha, Transition matrix and generalized matrix exponential via the Peano-Baker series, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* 11 (15) (2005) 1245–1264.
- [13] J. J. DaCunha, Stability for time varying linear dynamic systems on time scales, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 176 (2005) 381–410.
- [14] A. Diamandescu, On the stability of a nonlinear Volterra integro-differential systems, *Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae*, Vol. LXXV, 2 (2006), 153–162.
- [15] L. M. Graves, *The Theory of Functions of Real Variables*, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, 1946.
- [16] S. I. Grossman, R. K. Miller, Perturbation theory for Volterra integrodifferential system, *J. Differential Equations* 8 (1970) 457–474.
- [17] S. Elaydi, *An Introduction to Difference Equations*, 3rd edn. Springer, New York (2005).
- [18] S. Hilger, Ein Maßkettenkalkül mit Anwendung auf Zentrsmannigfaltigkeiten, *PhD thesis*, Univarsi. Wurzburg, 1988.
- [19] J. Hoffacker, C.C. Tisdell, Stability and instability for dynamic equations on time scales, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 49 (2005) 1327–1334.
- [20] T. Hara, T. Yoneyama and T. Itoh, Asymptotic stability criteria for nonlinear Volterra integro-differential equations, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj* 33 (1990), 39–57.
- [21] B. Karpuz, Volterra Theory on time scales, *Results. Math.*, 65 (2014), 263–292.

- [22] T. Kulik, C. C. Tisdell, Volterra integral equations on time scales: basic qualitative and quantitative results with applications to initial value problems on unbounded domains, *Int. J. Difference Equ.* 3 (1) (2008) 103–133.
- [23] C. C. Tisdell, A. H. Zaidi, Basic qualitative and quantitative results for solutions to nonlinear, dynamic equations on time scales with an application to economic modelling, *Nonlinear Anal.* 68 (11) (2008) 3504–3524
- [24] V. Lakshmikantham, S. Leela, *Difference and Integral Inequalities with Theory and Applications*, Academic Press, New York (1969).
- [25] V. Lakshmikantham, D. Trigiante, *Theory of Difference Equations: Numerical Methods and Applications*, 2nd edn. Marcel Dekker, New York (2002).
- [26] V. Lupulescu, S. K. Ntouyas, A. Younus, Qualitative aspects of a Volterra integro-dynamic system on time scales, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, 5 (2013) 1–35.
- [27] E. Messina, A. Vecchio, Stability analysis of linear Volterra equations on time scales under bounded perturbations, *Applied Mathematics Letters* 59 (2016) 6–11.
- [28] R. Medina, Asymptotic behavior of Volterra difference equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 41 (5-6) (2001) 679–687.
- [29] C. Potzsche, *Geometric Theory of Discrete Nonautonomous Dynamical Systems*, Springer, Berlin (2010).
- [30] I. L. D. dos Santos, On Volterra Integral Equations on Time Scales, *Mediterr. J. Math.* 12 (2015), 471–480
- [31] Y. Xing, M. Han, G. Zheng, Initial value problem for first order integro-differential equation of Volterra type on time scales, *Nonlinear Anal.* 60 (3) (2005) 429–442.
- [32] T. Yoshizawa, *Stability Theory by Liapunov's Second Method*. The Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo (1966).



Awais Younus earned his PhD degree from Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences, Govt College University, Lahore, Pakistan (2013) in the field Pure Mathematics (Nonlinear Analysis and Control Theory). He has vast experience of teaching and research at university levels. It covers many areas of Mathematical such as Mathematical Analysis, Ordinary Differential Equations, Control Theory, Time Scale Calculus, Fractional Calculus, Integral Equations, Functional Analysis, Optimization Theory and Interval Analysis. He has written and published several research articles in reputed international journals of mathematical. He is currently Assistant Professor in Centre for Advanced Studies in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan.



Donal O'Regan

is a Professor of Mathematics in School of Mathematics, Statistics and Applied Mathematics, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland. He has vast experience of teaching and research at university levels. It covers many areas of

Mathematics such as Nonlinear Mathematical Analysis, Ordinary Differential Equations, Fixed Point Theory, Time Scale Calculus, Fractional Calculus, Integral Equations, Optimization Theory and Interval Analysis. He has written and published several research articles in reputed international journals of mathematics.



Nusrat yasmin

is Associate Professor in Mathematics at Center of Advanced Studies in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Bahauddin Zakariya University (BZU), Multan, Pakistan. She did her Master from BZU in Pure Mathematics. She received her Ph. D. degree from Wales

(UK) Her research areas include Ordinary Differential Equations and Numerical Analysis. Her teaching experience is over 30 years. She is teaching Real Analysis, Calculus, Numerical Analysis, Differential Geometry and Differential Equations at Undergraduate and graduate level.



Safia Mirza

is Lecturer in Mathematics at Center of Advanced Studies in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Bahauddin Zakariya University (BZU), Multan, Pakistan. She did her Master from BZU in Applied Mathematics in 2001. She received her M. Phil degree from BZU in 2005, currently

she is doing her Ph.D. from BZU. Her research areas include Differential Equations, dynamic systems and Time Scales Theory. Her teaching experience is over 12 years. She is teaching Mathematical Methods, Vector Analysis, Calculus, Mechanics, Numerical Analysis, Differential Geometry and Differential Equations at Undergraduate and graduate level.