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#### Abstract

In this paper, we deal with the existence of solutions to the frequency problem of a perturbed system, $x^{\prime}-A(t) x=$ $\varepsilon f(x, \sin w t, \cos w t, \varepsilon)$ with three-point boundary condition. The topological technique is used to obtain existence theorem. Two examples are given to illustrate our results.
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## 1 Introduction

Consider the three-point (boundary value problem) BVP

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x^{\prime}-A(t) x=\varepsilon f(x, \sin w t, \cos w t, \varepsilon), 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\
M x(0)+N x(\eta)+R x(1)=\ell \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

where $M, N$ and $R$ are constant square matrices of order $n$, $A(t)$ is an $n \times n$ matrix with continuous entries, $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times\left(-\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a continuous function and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\varepsilon|<\varepsilon_{0}, \ell \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \eta \in(0,1)$, and $w$ is a function of $\varepsilon$ such that $w=(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon))^{-1}, \mu(\varepsilon)$ is a twice differentiable function of $\varepsilon$ such that $\mu(0)=\mu_{0} \neq 0$. Let $\tau=w t=(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon))^{-1} t$ and $\frac{d \tau}{d t}=(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon))^{-1}$.

The existence of solutions to two-point, three-point, four-point or multipoint BVPs for ODEs at resonance have been studied by a number of authors (see, for example [4], [12] [46], [47], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [23], [24], [25], [26], [27], [44], [36], [19], [20], [21], [31], [40], [43], [45], [48]). A great amount of work has been completed on the existence of solutions to BVPs for nonlinear systems of first-order ODEs at resonance which involve a small parameter (see, for example [5], [29], [30] and [41]). The resonance case for systems of first-order difference and differential equations has been considered by several authors (see for example Agarwal [1], Agarwal and O'Regan [2], Agarwal and Sambandham [3],

Etheridge and Rodriguez [13], Rodriguez [37,38,39] and [42]). In these cases, resonance happens where the associated linear homogeneous BVP admits nontrivial solutions.

Recently, Mohamed et al. [32,34] established the existence of solutions at resonance for the following nonlinear boundary conditions

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x^{\prime}-A(t) x=H(t, x, \varepsilon)=\varepsilon F(t, x, \varepsilon)+E(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\
M x(0)+N x(\eta)+R x(1)=\ell+\varepsilon g(x(0), x(\eta), x(1)), \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

where $M, N$ and $R$ are constant square matrices of order $n$, $A(t)$ is an $n \times n$ matrix with continuous entries, $E:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad$ is continuous, $F:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times\left(-\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a continuous function where $\varepsilon_{0}>0, \ell \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \eta \in(0,1)$ and $g: \mathbb{R}^{3 n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is continuous. In [32], they applied a version of Brouwer's fixed point theorem which is due to Miranda (see Piccinini, Stampacchia and Vidossich [35]) to prove the existence of solutions to (3), (4). In [34], by employing the implicit function theorem sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions to (3), (4) are established.

In addition, Mohamed et al. [33] used the Theorem of Borsuk to show the existence of solutions to (3) with boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
M x(0)+N x(\eta)+R x(1)=0 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]A result for computing the local degree of polynomials whose terms of highest order have no common linear factors is also presented.

In [9, 10], Cronin gives examples of the entrainment of frequency problem for a singularly perturbed system for the case of periodic boundary conditions. In this paper, we establish analogues of these results for three-point and two-point BVPs adapting the approach of Cronin [6,7].

By the chain rule, $\frac{d x}{d \tau}=\frac{d x}{d t} \frac{d t}{d \tau}$, the system (1) becomes

$$
\frac{d x}{d \tau}=(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)) A(t) x+(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)) \varepsilon f(x, \sin \tau, \cos \tau, \varepsilon)
$$

or

$$
\frac{d x}{d \tau}=A(t) x+\varepsilon[\mu(\varepsilon) A(t) x+(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)) f(x, \sin \tau, \cos \tau, \varepsilon)] .
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
F(x, \sin \tau, \cos \tau, \varepsilon) \\
=\mu(\varepsilon) A(t) x+(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)) f(x, \sin \tau, \cos \tau, \varepsilon)
\end{gathered}
$$

Then the system (1) becomes
$\frac{d x}{d \tau}=A(t) x+\varepsilon F(x, \sin \tau, \cos \tau, \varepsilon)$.
We assume the following:
Assumption (D1). Let

$$
f(x, \sin \tau, \cos \tau, \varepsilon)=h(x, \varepsilon)+k(\sin \tau, \cos \tau, \varepsilon)
$$

Our goal is to solve the following problem: Let $\bar{x}(t)$ be the solution of the BVP
$\frac{d x}{d \tau}=A(t) x$
subject to boundary conditions (2). The problem is to show that for $\varepsilon>0, \varepsilon$ sufficiently small, there exists a solution of the BVP (6), (2) close to $\bar{x}(t)$ where $\bar{x}(t)$ is the solution of (7), (2). This is called the resonance or entrainment of frequency problem. The entrainment of frequency has been observed in physical systems for centuries and has been studied mathematically for over a hundred years. The phenomenon can be described as follows: 'Suppose a periodic force is impressed upon a physical system which has a natural frequency of oscillation and that the natural frequency is different from the frequency of the impresses force. If the system then oscillates with the frequency impresses force, we say that the entrainment occurs '(see [9]). For example, the entrainment of frequency occurs in a model of cardiac Punkinje fiber given by Cronin [9].

## 2 Preliminary Results

We recall the following results of [32].

## Lemma 1.Consider the system

$x^{\prime}=A(t) x$
where $A(t)$ is an $n \times n$ matrix with continuous entries on the interval [0,1]. Let $Y(t)$ be a fundamental matrix of (8). Then the solution of (8) which satisfies the initial condition
$x(0)=c$
is $x(t)=Y(t) Y^{-1}(0) c$ where $c$ is a constant $n$-vector. Abbreviate $Y(t) Y^{-1}(0)$ to $Y_{0}(t)$. Thus $x(t)=Y_{0}(t) c$.

Lemma 2.Using Lemma 1, let $x(\tau)=Y_{0}(\tau) c$ be a solution of $\frac{d x}{d \tau}=A(t) x$. Then any solution of (6) can be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
x(\tau, c, \varepsilon)=Y_{0}(\tau) c  \tag{10}\\
+\int_{0}^{\tau} Y(w s) Y^{-1}(w s) \varepsilon F(x, \sin w s, \cos w s, \varepsilon) d s \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

The solution (10) satisfies the boundary conditions (2) if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M c+N\left(Y_{0}(\eta) c+\int_{0}^{\eta} Y(\eta) Y^{-1}(s) \varepsilon F(x, \sin w s, \cos w s, \varepsilon) d s\right) \\
& +R\left(Y_{0}(1) c+\int_{0}^{1} Y(1) Y^{-1}(s) \varepsilon F(x, \sin w s, \cos w s, \varepsilon) d s\right)=\ell
\end{aligned}
$$

or equivalently
$\mathscr{L} c=\varepsilon \mathscr{N}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)+d$,
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{L}= & M+N Y_{0}(\eta)+R Y_{0}(1), \\
\mathscr{N}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)= & -\left(\int_{0}^{\eta} N Y(\eta) Y^{-1}(s) F(x, \sin w s, \cos w s, \varepsilon) d s\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{1} R Y(1) Y^{-1}(s) F(x, \sin w s, \cos w s, \varepsilon) d s\right), \\
d= & \ell
\end{aligned}
$$

and $x(t, c, \varepsilon)$ is the solution of (6) given $x(0)=c$.
Thus (12) is a system of $n$ real equations in $\varepsilon, c_{1}, \cdots, c_{n}$ where $c_{1}, \cdots, c_{n}$ are components of $c$. The system (12) is sometimes called the branching equations.

Remark.[32] Let $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ be a basis for $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}$ is a basis for $E_{r}$, and $x_{r+1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ a basis for $E_{n-r}$. Let $P_{r}$ be the matrix projection onto $\operatorname{Ker} \mathscr{L}=E_{r}$, and $P_{n-r}=I-P_{r}$, where $I$ is the identity matrix. Thus $P_{n-r}$ is a projection onto the complementary space $E_{n-r}$ of $E_{r}$, and

$$
P_{r}^{2}=P_{r}, P_{n-r}^{2}=P_{n-r} \text { and } P_{n-r} P_{r}=P_{r} P_{n-r}=0
$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume
$P_{r} c=\left(c_{1}, \cdots, c_{r}, 0, \cdots, 0\right)$ and $P_{n-r} c=\left(0, \cdots, 0, c_{r+1}, \cdots, c_{n}\right)$.
Let H be a nonsingular $n \times n$ matrix satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \mathscr{L}=P_{n-r} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Matrix $H$ can be computed easily (see Cronin [7]). The nature of the solutions of the branching equations depends heavily on the rank of the matrix $\mathscr{L}$.

## 3 Existence Results

We need to solve (12) for $c$ when $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small. The problem of finding solutions to (6), (2) has become the problem of solving the branching equation (12) for $c$. So consider (12), which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}\left(P_{r}+P_{n-r}\right) c=\varepsilon \mathscr{N}\left(\left(P_{r}+P_{n-r}\right) c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon\right)+d . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (14) by the matrix $H$ and using (13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n-r} c=\varepsilon H \mathscr{N}\left(\left(P_{r}+P_{n-r}\right) c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon\right)+H d \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.H \mathscr{N}\left(\left(P_{r}+P_{n-r}\right) c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon\right)\right)= \\
& -H\left(\int_{0}^{\eta} N Y(\eta) Y^{-1}(s) F(x, \sin w s, \cos w s, \varepsilon) d s\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{1} R Y(1) Y^{-1}(s) F(x, \sin w s, \cos w s, \varepsilon) d s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $H d=H \ell$.
The following theorem gives a necessary condition for the existence of solutions to the BVP (6) and (2).

Theorem 1.A necessary condition that (15) can be solved for $c$, with $|\varepsilon|<\varepsilon_{0}$, for some $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ is $P_{r} H d=0$.

Proof The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 1 of [32] and is omitted.

Definition 1.[32] Let $E_{r}$ denote the null space of $\mathscr{L}$ and let $E_{n-r}$ denote the complement in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ of $E_{r}$. Let $P_{r}$ be the matrix projection onto Ker $\mathscr{L}=E_{r}$, and $P_{n-r}=I-P_{r}$, where $I$ is the identity matrix. Thus $P_{n-r}$ is a projection onto the complementary space $E_{n-r}$ of $E_{r}$. If $E_{n-r}$ is properly contained in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ then $E_{r}$ is an $r$-dimensional vector space where $0<r<n$. If $c=\left(c_{1}, \cdots, c_{n}\right)$, let $c^{r}=\left(c_{1}, \cdots, c_{r}\right)$ and $c^{n-r}=\left(c_{r+1}, \cdots, c_{n}\right)$, then define $a$ continuous mapping $\Phi_{\varepsilon}: \mathbb{R}^{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r}$, given by
$\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(c_{1}, \cdots, c_{r}\right)=P_{r} H \mathscr{N}\left(c^{r} \oplus c^{n-r}\left(c^{r}, \varepsilon\right), \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon\right)$,
where where $c^{n-r}\left(c^{r}, \varepsilon\right)=c^{n-r}$ is a differentiable function of $c^{r}$ and $\varepsilon, P_{r} H \mathscr{N}$ is interpreted as $\left(H \mathscr{N}_{1}, \cdots, H \mathscr{N}_{r}\right)$. Similarly we will sometimes identify $P_{n-r} c$ and $c^{n-r}$. Setting $\varepsilon=0$, we have
$\Phi_{0}\left(c_{1}, \cdots, c_{r}\right)=P_{r} H \mathscr{N}\left(c^{r} \oplus P_{n-r} H d, \alpha, \eta, 0\right)$
where $c^{n-r}\left(c^{r}, 0\right)=P_{n-r} H d$; note that from the context $c^{n-r}\left(c^{r}, 0\right)=P_{n-r} H d$ is interpreted as $c^{n-r}\left(c^{r}, 0\right)=\left(H d_{r+1}, \cdots, H d_{n}\right)$.

If $E_{r}=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $P_{r}=I$, then $P_{n-r}=0$. Since $P_{n-r}=0$ it follows that the matrix $H$ is the identity matrix. Thus define a continuous mapping $\Phi_{\varepsilon}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, given by
$\Phi_{\varepsilon}(c)=\mathscr{N}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)$.
Setting $\varepsilon=0$, we have

$$
\Phi_{0}(c)=\mathscr{N}(c, \alpha, \eta, 0)
$$

Lemma 3.(see Cronin [7] p. 297) Let $P_{r} H d=0$. Suppose that
$\Phi_{0}^{1}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=k_{1} c_{1}+k_{2} c_{2}+V_{1}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$
$\Phi_{0}^{2}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=k_{3} c_{1}+k_{4} c_{2}+V_{2}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$
where $\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}k_{1} & k_{2} \\ k_{3} & k_{4}\end{array}\right) \neq 0$ and for $i=1,2$, the polynomial $V_{i}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ consists of terms of the form $K c_{1}^{q_{1}} c_{2}^{q_{2}}$ where $q_{1}+$ $q_{2} \geq 2$ and $K$ is a constant. If $B_{k}$ is a ball with centre at the origin and sufficiently small radius $k$, then
$d\left(\Phi_{0}, B_{k}, 0\right)=\operatorname{sgn} \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}k_{1} & k_{2} \\ k_{3} & k_{4}\end{array}\right)$.
Proof Set $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}k_{1} & k_{2} \\ k_{3} & k_{4}\end{array}\right)$, and let $A=\sup _{|c| \leq 1}|A c|$. Since $A$ is nonsingular it follows that there exist $l>0$ such that given $c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$, we have $|A c| \geq l|c|$. By assumption on $V_{i}$, for each $k>0$ there is a constant $d_{k}$ such that

$$
\left|V_{i}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)\right| \leq d_{k}|c|^{2}, i=1,2
$$

for $c \in B_{k}$. Notice that for $c \in \partial B_{k}$ we have $\left|\Phi_{0}(c)\right|>0$. Thus we choose $k>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Phi_{0}(c)\right| & \geq l|c|-\left|V\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)\right| \\
& >l|c|-d_{k}|c|^{2} \\
& =|c|\left(l-d_{k}|c|\right) \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $|c|<\min \left\{\frac{l}{d_{k}}, 1\right\}$. Define the homotopy
$H(c, \lambda)=A c+\lambda V\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right), 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.
Therefore if $c \in \partial B_{k}$ then $|H(c, \lambda)|>0$. Thus $0 \notin H\left(\partial B_{k}, \lambda\right)$ for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and therefore

$$
d\left(\Phi_{0}, B_{k}, 0\right)=d\left(A, B_{k}, 0\right)=\operatorname{sgn} \operatorname{det} A \neq 0
$$

Hence we conclude Lemma 3 with Theorem 2.
Theorem 2.(Compare with Theorem 6.12, p. 93 of Cronin [6]) If Assumption (D1) is satisfied, and $d\left(\Phi_{0}, B_{k}, 0\right)$ is defined for $B_{k}$, a ball with centre at the origin and sufficiently small radius, then for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon$, the system (1) has at least one solution with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& M x\left(0, c_{0}, 0\right)+N x\left(\eta, c_{0}, 0\right)+R x\left(1, c_{0}, 0\right)=M x(0, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon) \\
& \quad+N x\left(\frac{\eta}{1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)}, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon\right)+R x\left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)}, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon\right)=\ell \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c(0)=c_{0}$.
As a consequence of Theorem 3.8, p. 69 of Cronin [6], we have the following Theorem 3.

Theorem 3.If $r=n$, a necessary condition in order that (12) has a solution $c$ for each $\varepsilon$ with $|\varepsilon|<\varepsilon_{0}$ is $\ell=0$.

## 4 Application to Second-Order Equations

In this section we use results of Section 3 to find solutions to the three-point BVP
$y^{\prime \prime}+16 \pi^{2} y=\varepsilon f\left(y, y^{\prime}, \sin 4 \pi \omega t, \cos 4 \pi w t, \varepsilon\right), 0 \leq t \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 y(0)-y(1 / 2)-y(1)=0,-y^{\prime}(1 / 2)+y^{\prime}(1)=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as the two-point BVP
$y^{\prime \prime}+4 \pi^{2} y=\varepsilon f\left(y, y^{\prime}, \sin 2 \pi w t, \cos 2 \pi w t, \varepsilon\right), 0 \leq t \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(0)=0,-y^{\prime}(0)+y^{\prime}(1)=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w=(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon))^{-1}, \mu(\varepsilon)$ is a twice differentiable function of $\varepsilon$ such that $\mu(0)=\mu_{0}$ and $f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times\right.$ $\left.\left(-\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}\right) ; \mathbb{R}\right)$. We will use the following facts in solving the examples.
$\int_{0}^{1 / 2} \sin ^{n} 4 \pi s \cos ^{m} 4 \pi s d s \neq 0$,
$\int_{0}^{1} \sin ^{n} 4 \pi s \cos ^{m} 4 \pi s d s \neq 0$
if and only if both $n$ and $m$ are even.
$\int_{0}^{1} \sin ^{n} 2 \pi s \cos ^{m} 2 \pi s d s \neq 0$
if and only if both $n$ and $m$ are even.

### 4.1 A Three-point BVP

Consider (17), (18). Then (17) may be written as
$\frac{d x_{1}}{d t}=x_{2}$
$\frac{d x_{2}}{d t}=-16 \pi^{2} x_{1}+\varepsilon f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \sin 4 \pi w t, \cos 4 \pi \omega t, \varepsilon\right)$.
By the chain rule (23) becomes
$\frac{d x_{1}}{d \tau}=x_{2}+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon) x_{2}$
$\frac{d x_{2}}{d \tau}=-16 \pi^{2} x_{1}+\varepsilon\left[-16 \mu(\varepsilon) \pi^{2} x_{1}+\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)) f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \sin 4 \pi \tau, \cos 4 \pi \tau, \varepsilon\right)\right] \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing (24), (18) into matrix form, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \binom{\frac{d x_{1}}{d \tau}}{\frac{d x_{2}}{d \tau}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-16 \pi^{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}+ \\
& \varepsilon\binom{\mu(\varepsilon) x_{2}}{-16 \mu(\varepsilon) \pi^{2} x_{1}(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)) f()} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
f()=f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \sin 4 \pi \tau, \cos 4 \pi \tau, \varepsilon\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}(0)}{x_{2}(0)}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}(1 / 2)}{x_{2}(1 / 2)}+ \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}(1)}{x_{2}(1)}=\binom{0}{0}, \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\quad x=\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}, \quad A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -16 \pi^{2} & 0\end{array}\right), \quad M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$, $N=\left(\begin{array}{cc}-1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right), R=\left(\begin{array}{cc}-1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \sin 4 \pi \tau, \cos 4 \pi \tau, \varepsilon\right)= \\
& \binom{\mu(\varepsilon) x_{2}}{-16 \mu(\varepsilon) \pi^{2} x_{1}+(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)) f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \sin 4 \pi \tau, \cos 4 \pi \tau, \varepsilon\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The fundamental matrix

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y(\tau)=e^{A \tau}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos 4 \pi \tau & \sin 4 \pi \tau /(4 \pi) \\
-4 \pi \sin 4 \pi \tau & \cos 4 \pi \tau
\end{array}\right) \\
Y^{-1}(\tau)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos 4 \pi \tau & -\sin 4 \pi \tau /(4 \pi) \\
4 \pi \sin 4 \pi \tau & \cos 4 \pi \tau
\end{array}\right) \\
Y_{0}(\tau)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos 4 \pi \tau & \sin 4 \pi \tau /(4 \pi) \\
-4 \pi \sin 4 \pi \tau & \cos 4 \pi \tau
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
Y_{0}(1 / 2)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $Y_{0}(1)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Then by Lemma 2, solving the problem (25), (26) is reduced to that of solving $\mathscr{L} c=\varepsilon \mathscr{N}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)+d$ for $c$. Thus we find $\mathscr{L}$ and $\mathscr{N}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{L} & =M+N Y_{0}(1 / 2)+R Y_{0}(1) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Rank $\mathscr{L}=0$. Let $e_{1}=\binom{1}{0}, e_{2}=\binom{0}{1}$, be a basis for $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathscr{L})$, and $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathscr{L})=\operatorname{Span}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$. Let $P_{1}$ be the matrix projection onto $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathscr{L}), \quad P_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. So $P_{2}=I-P_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$. Set $H=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ so that $H \mathscr{L}=P_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{N}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)= \\
& -\int_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) Y^{-1}(s) \times \\
& \binom{\mu(\varepsilon) x_{2}}{\left(-16 \mu(\varepsilon) \pi^{2} x_{1}+(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)) f()\right)} d s \\
& -\int_{0}^{1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) Y^{-1}(s) \times \\
& \\
& \binom{\mu(\varepsilon) x_{2}}{\left(-16 \mu(\varepsilon) \pi^{2} x_{1}+(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)) f()\right)} d s \\
& =\left(\mathscr{N}_{1}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon), \mathscr{N}_{2}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{N}_{1}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)=\int_{0}^{1 / 2}\left\{\mu(\varepsilon) x_{2}(s, c, \varepsilon) \cos 4 \pi s\right. \\
& +16 \pi^{2} \mu(\varepsilon) \frac{\sin 4 \pi s}{4 \pi} x_{1}(s, c, \varepsilon) \\
& -(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)) \frac{\sin 4 \pi s}{4 \pi}\left[h\left(x_{1}(s, c, \varepsilon), x_{2}(s, c, \varepsilon), \varepsilon\right)\right. \\
& k(\sin 4 \pi s, \cos 4 \pi s, \varepsilon)]\} d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\mu(\varepsilon) x_{2}(s, c, \varepsilon) \cos 4 \pi s+16 \pi^{2} \mu(\varepsilon) \frac{\sin 4 \pi s}{4 \pi} x_{1}(s, c, \varepsilon)\right. \\
& -(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)) \frac{\sin 4 \pi s}{4 \pi}\left[h\left(x_{1}(s, c, \varepsilon), x_{2}(s, c, \varepsilon), \varepsilon\right)\right. \\
& +k(\sin 4 \pi s, \cos 4 \pi s, \varepsilon)]\} d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{N}_{2}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)=-\int_{1 / 2}^{1}\left\{4 \pi \mu(\varepsilon) x_{2}(s, c, \varepsilon) \sin 4 \pi s\right. \\
& -16 \pi^{2} \mu(\varepsilon) x_{1}(s, c, \varepsilon) \cos 4 \pi s \\
& +(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)) \cos 4 \pi s\left[h\left(x_{1}(s, c, \varepsilon), x_{2}(s, c, \varepsilon), \varepsilon\right)+\right. \\
& k(\sin 4 \pi s, \cos 4 \pi s, \varepsilon)]\} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

and $f$ is defined in Assumption (D1) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f():=f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \sin 4 \pi s, \cos 4 \pi s, \varepsilon\right) \\
& =h\left(x_{1}(s, c, \varepsilon), x_{2}(s, c, \varepsilon), \varepsilon\right)+k(\sin 4 \pi s, \cos 4 \pi s, \varepsilon) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $d=0$, it follows that $P_{1} H d=0$. Thus a necessary condition of Theorem 1 is satisfied. We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1} H \mathscr{N}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon) & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{\mathscr{N}_{1}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)}{\mathscr{N}_{2}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)} \\
& =\binom{\mathscr{N}_{1}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)}{\mathscr{N}_{2}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=\binom{\mathscr{N}_{1}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)}{\mathscr{N}_{2}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)}
$$

Now $\tau=w t=(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon))^{-1} t$ where at $\varepsilon=0, w t=t$. Set $\varepsilon=0$, and $x_{1}(t, c, 0)=c_{1} \cos 4 \pi t+c_{2} \sin 4 \pi t /(4 \pi)$, $x_{2}(t, c, 0)=-4 \pi c_{1} \sin 4 \pi t+c_{2} \cos 4 \pi t$. Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{0}^{1}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{1 / 2}\left\{\mu_{0} x_{2}(s, c, 0) \cos 4 \pi s\right. \\
& +\frac{16 \pi^{2} \mu_{0} \sin 4 \pi s}{4 \pi} x_{1}(s, c, 0)-\frac{\sin 4 \pi s}{4 \pi} \times \\
& \left.\left[h\left(x_{1}(s, c, 0), x_{2}(s, c, 0)\right)+k(\sin 4 \pi s, \cos 4 \pi s, 0)\right]\right\} d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\mu_{0} x_{2}(s, c, 0)+\frac{16 \pi^{2} \mu_{0} \sin 4 \pi s}{4 \pi} x_{1}(s, c, 0)-\frac{\sin 4 \pi s}{4 \pi} \times\right. \\
& \left.\left[h\left(x_{1}(s, c, 0), x_{2}(s, c, 0)\right)+k(\sin 4 \pi s, \cos 4 \pi s, 0)\right]\right\} d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{1 / 2}\left\{\mu_{0} c_{1} \sin 4 \pi s \cos 4 \pi s+\mu_{0} c_{2} \cos ^{2} 4 \pi s\right. \\
& +4 \pi c_{1} \mu_{0} \sin 4 \pi s \cos 4 \pi s+\mu_{0} c_{2} \sin ^{2} 4 \pi s-\frac{\sin 4 \pi s}{4 \pi} \times \\
& {\left[h \left(c_{1} \cos 4 \pi s+\frac{c_{2} \sin 4 \pi s}{4 \pi},\right.\right.} \\
& \left.\left.\left.-4 \pi c_{1} \sin 4 \pi s+c_{2} \cos 4 \pi s\right)+k(\sin 4 \pi s, \cos 4 \pi s, 0)\right]\right\} d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\mu_{0} c_{1} \sin 4 \pi s \cos 4 \pi s+\mu_{0} c_{2} \cos ^{2} 4 \pi s\right. \\
& +4 \pi c_{1} \mu_{0} \sin 4 \pi s \cos 4 \pi s+\mu_{0} c_{2} \sin ^{2} 4 \pi s \\
& -\frac{\sin 4 \pi s}{4 \pi}\left[h \left(c_{1} \cos 4 \pi s+\right.\right. \\
& \left.\frac{c_{2} \sin 4 \pi s}{4 \pi},-4 \pi c_{1} \sin 4 \pi s+c_{2} \cos 4 \pi s\right) \\
& +k(\sin 4 \pi s, \cos 4 \pi s, 0)]\} d s \\
& =\frac{3 \mu_{0} c_{2}}{2}+V_{1}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right) \text {, } \\
& \Phi_{0}^{2}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=-\int_{1 / 2}^{1}\left\{4 \pi \mu_{0}\left(-4 \pi c_{1} \sin 4 \pi s+c_{2} \cos 4 \pi s\right) \sin 4 \pi s\right. \\
& -16 \pi^{2} \mu_{0}\left(c_{1} \cos 4 \pi s+\frac{c_{2} \sin 4 \pi s}{4 \pi}\right) \cos 4 \pi s \\
& +\cos 4 \pi s\left[h \left(c_{1} \cos 4 \pi s+\frac{c_{2} \sin 4 \pi s}{4 \pi},\right.\right. \\
& \left.-4 \pi c_{1} \sin 4 \pi s+c_{2} \cos 4 \pi s\right) \\
& +k(\sin 4 \pi s, \cos 4 \pi s, 0)]\} d s \\
& =8 \pi^{2} \mu_{0} c_{1}+V_{2}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where for $i=1,2$ the polynomial $V_{i}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ consists of terms of the form $K c_{1}^{q_{1}} c_{2}^{q_{2}}$ where $q_{1}+q_{2} \geq 2$ and $K$ is a constant. Now we apply Lemma 3. Since
$\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & \frac{3 \mu_{0}}{2} \\ 8 \pi^{2} \mu_{0} & 0\end{array}\right) \neq 0$,
it follows that $d\left(\Phi_{0}, B_{k}, 0\right) \neq 0$. Hence for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small, we conclude that by Theorem 2 the problem (23)
has at least one solution with the boundary condition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}\left(0, c_{0}, 0\right)}{x_{2}\left(0, c_{0}, 0\right)}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}\left(1 / 2, c_{0}, 0\right)}{x_{2}\left(1 / 2, c_{0}, 0\right)}+ \\
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}\left(1, c_{0}, 0\right)}{x_{2}\left(1, c_{0}, 0\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}(0, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)}{x_{2}(0, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)}+ \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon))}, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon\right)}{x_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon))}, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon\right)} \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}\left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)}, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon\right)}{x_{2}\left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)}, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon\right)}=\binom{0}{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c(0)=c_{0}$.
Does the problem have nontrivial solutions?

## Example 1: An example with local degree zero

In system (25), let $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \sin 4 \pi \tau, \cos 4 \pi \tau, \varepsilon\right)=$ $x_{1}^{2} \cos 4 \pi \tau+x_{2}^{2} \sin 4 \pi \tau+\gamma \cos 4 \pi \tau$. Note also that $\sin 4 \pi \tau=\sin 4 \pi(1+\varepsilon \eta(\varepsilon))^{-1} t$ at $\varepsilon=0$ is given by $\sin 4 \pi t$. Assumption (D1) is satisfied since we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(x_{1}(s, c, 0), x_{2}(s, c, 0), 0\right)+k(\sin 4 \pi t, \cos 4 \pi t, 0) \\
& =c_{1}^{2} \cos ^{3} 4 \pi t+\left(\frac{c_{1} c_{2}}{2 \pi}+c_{2}^{2}\right) \cos ^{2} 4 \pi t \sin 4 \pi t \\
& +\left(\frac{c_{2}^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}}-8 \pi c_{1} c_{2}\right) \sin ^{2} 4 \pi t \cos 4 \pi t \\
& +16 \pi^{2} c_{1}^{2} \sin ^{3} 4 \pi t+\gamma \cos 4 \pi t
\end{aligned}
$$

Using condition (21), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{0}^{1}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{3 \mu_{0} c_{2}}{2}-\int_{0}^{1 / 2}\left\{\frac { \operatorname { s i n } 4 \pi s } { 4 \pi } \left[h\left(x_{1}(s, c, 0), x_{2}(s, c, 0), 0\right)\right.\right. \\
& +k(\sin 4 \pi s, \cos 4 \pi s, 0)]\} d s \\
& -\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\frac { \operatorname { s i n } 4 \pi s } { 4 \pi } \left[h\left(x_{1}(s, c, 0), x_{2}(s, c, 0), 0\right)\right.\right. \\
& +k(\sin 4 \pi s, \cos 4 \pi s, 0)]\} d s \\
= & \frac{3 \mu_{0} c_{2}}{2}-\int_{0}^{1 / 2}\left\{\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left(\frac{c_{1} c_{2}}{2 \pi}+c_{2}^{2}\right) \cos ^{2} 4 \pi s \sin ^{2} 4 \pi s\right. \\
& \left.+16 \pi^{2} c_{1}^{2} \sin ^{4} 4 \pi s\right\} d s \\
& -\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left(\frac{c_{1} c_{2}}{2 \pi}+c_{2}^{2}\right) \cos ^{2} 4 \pi s \sin ^{2} 4 \pi s\right. \\
& \left.+16 \pi^{2} c_{1}^{2} \sin ^{4} 4 \pi s\right\} d s \\
= & \frac{3 \mu_{0} c_{2}}{2}-3\left(\frac{c_{1} c_{2}}{128 \pi^{2}}+\frac{c_{2}^{2}}{64 \pi}+3 \pi^{2} c_{1}^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{0}^{2}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right) \\
= & 8 \pi^{2} \mu_{0} c_{1}-\int_{1 / 2}^{1}\left\{\operatorname { c o s } 4 \pi s \left[h\left(x_{1}(s, c, 0), x_{2}(s, c, 0), 0\right)\right.\right. \\
& +k(\sin 4 \pi s, \cos 4 \pi s, 0)]\} d s \\
= & 8 \pi^{2} \mu_{0} c_{1}-\int_{1 / 2}^{1}\left\{c_{1}^{2} \cos ^{4} 4 \pi s+\right. \\
& \left.\left(\frac{c_{2}^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}}-8 \pi c_{1} c_{2}\right) \sin ^{2} 4 \pi s \cos ^{2} 4 \pi s+\gamma \cos ^{2} 4 \pi s\right\} d s \\
= & 8 \pi^{2} \mu_{0} c_{1}-\left(\frac{3 \pi c_{1}^{2}}{4}+\frac{c_{2}^{2}}{256 \pi^{2}}-\frac{\pi c_{1} c_{2}}{2}+\frac{\gamma}{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\gamma \neq 0$ and $k$ is small enough then $\Phi_{0}(c) \neq 0$ for all $c \in B_{k}$. So $d\left(\Phi_{0}, B_{k}, 0\right)=0$. Thus the branching equation has no real solutions if $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small. However, if $\gamma=0$, and $\mu_{0} \neq 0$ then the polynomial $\Phi_{0}^{2}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ has roots in $B_{k}$ and, in particular, solutions exist. Indeed we can apply Lemma 3. Since
$\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & \frac{3 \mu_{0}}{2} \\ 8 \pi^{2} \mu_{0} & 0\end{array}\right) \neq 0$,
it follows that $d\left(\Phi_{0}, B_{k}, 0\right) \neq 0$. Hence for $(\varepsilon, \gamma) \neq(0,0)$ but small enough, we conclude that by Theorem 2 the problem (23) has at least one solution with the boundary condition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}\left(0, c_{0}, 0\right)}{x_{2}\left(0, c_{0}, 0\right)}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}\left(1 / 2, c_{0}, 0\right)}{x_{2}\left(1 / 2, c_{0}, 0\right)}+ \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}\left(1, c_{0}, 0\right)}{x_{2}\left(1, c_{0}, 0\right)} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}(0, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)}{x_{2}(0, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon))}, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon\right)}{x_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon))}, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon\right)} \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}\left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)}, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon\right)}{x_{2}\left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)}, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon\right)}=\binom{0}{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c(0)=c_{0}$.

Remark.If the local degree at the origin and relative to a small ball, $B_{k}$ with center at the origin is nonzero, then $\Phi_{0}$ has at least one root in $B_{k}$. We note that $\Phi_{0}$ may have solutions even if the local degree is equal to zero.

The following example indicates the degree is defined if the radius of $B_{k}$ is sufficiently small.
Example 2: An example where local degree is nonzero
In system (25), let $\varepsilon f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \sin 4 \pi \tau, \cos 4 \pi \tau, \varepsilon\right)=\varepsilon\left(x_{2}^{2}+\right.$ $\cos 4 \pi \tau)$. Note that $\cos 4 \pi \tau=\cos 4 \pi(1+\varepsilon \eta(\varepsilon))^{-1} t$ at $\varepsilon=$ 0 is given by $\cos 4 \pi t$. Assumption (D1) is satisfied since we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(x_{1}(t, c, 0), x_{2}(t, c, 0), 0\right)+k(\sin 4 \pi t, \cos 4 \pi t, 0) \\
& =16 \pi^{2} c_{1}^{2} \sin ^{2} 4 \pi t-8 \pi c_{1} c_{2} \sin 4 \pi t \cos 4 \pi t \\
& +c_{2}^{2} \cos ^{2} 4 \pi t+\cos 4 \pi t
\end{aligned}
$$

Using condition (21), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{0}^{1}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{3 \mu_{0} c_{2}}{2}-\int_{0}^{1 / 2}\left\{\frac { \operatorname { s i n } 4 \pi s } { 4 \pi } \left[h\left(x_{1}(s, c, 0), x_{2}(s, c, 0), 0\right)\right.\right. \\
& +k(\sin 4 \pi s, \cos 4 \pi s, 0)]\} d s \\
& -\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\frac { \operatorname { s i n } 4 \pi s } { 4 \pi } \left[h\left(x_{1}(s, c, 0), x_{2}(s, c, 0), 0\right)\right.\right. \\
& +k(\sin 4 \pi s, \cos 4 \pi s, 0)]\} d s \\
= & \frac{3 \mu_{0} c_{2}}{2} \\
& \Phi_{0}^{2}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right) \\
= & 8 \pi^{2} \mu_{0} c_{1}-\int_{1 / 2}^{1}\left\{\operatorname { c o s } 4 \pi s \left[h\left(x_{1}(s, c, 0), x_{2}(s, c, 0), 0\right)\right.\right. \\
& +k(\sin 4 \pi s, \cos 4 \pi s, 0)]\} d s \\
= & -\int_{1 / 2}^{1}\left\{\cos ^{2} 4 \pi s\right\} d s \\
= & -\int_{1 / 2}^{1}\left\{\frac{\cos 8 \pi s+1}{2}\right\} d s \\
= & 8 \pi^{2} \mu_{0} c_{1}-\frac{1}{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Jacobian is
$\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & \frac{3 \mu_{0}}{2} \\ 8 \pi^{2} \mu_{0} & 0\end{array}\right)<0$.
Thus the local degree is -1 , since the Jacobian is negative except the origin. Hence for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small, we conclude that by Theorem 2 the problem (23) has at least one solution with the boundary condition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}\left(0, c_{0}, 0\right)}{x_{2}\left(0, c_{0}, 0\right)}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}\left(1 / 2, c_{0}, 0\right)}{x_{2}\left(1 / 2, c_{0}, 0\right)}+ \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}\left(1, c_{0}, 0\right)}{x_{2}\left(1, c_{0}, 0\right)} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}(0, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)}{x_{2}(0, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)}+ \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon))}, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon\right)}{x_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon))}, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon\right)} \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}\left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)}, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon\right)}{x_{2}\left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)}, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon\right)}=\binom{0}{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c(0)=c_{0}$. Thus there exists at least one solution to the BVP even if all the terms in $V_{1}$ or $V_{2}$ vanish, because the terms $\frac{3 \mu_{0}}{2} c_{2}$ and $8 \pi^{2} \mu_{0} c_{1}$ are nonzero.
Next we show that in the following case the local degree is non zero.

### 4.2 A Two-point BVP

Consider the BVP (19), (20). Then (19) may be written as
$\frac{d x_{1}}{d t}=x_{2}$
$\frac{d x_{2}}{d t}=-4 \pi^{2} x_{1}+\varepsilon f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \sin 2 \pi w t, \cos 2 \pi \omega t, \varepsilon\right)$.
By the chain rule (27) becomes
$\frac{d x_{1}}{d \tau}=x_{2}+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon) x_{2}$
$\frac{d x_{2}}{d \tau}=-16 \pi^{2} x_{1}+\varepsilon\left[-4 \mu(\varepsilon) \pi^{2} x_{1}+\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)) f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \sin 2 \pi \tau, \cos 2 \pi \tau, \varepsilon\right)\right] \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing (28), (20) into matrix form, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \binom{\frac{d x_{1}}{d \tau}}{\frac{d x_{2}}{d \tau}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-4 \pi^{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \\
& +\varepsilon\binom{\mu(\varepsilon) x_{2}}{-4 \mu(\varepsilon) \pi^{2} x_{1}+(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)) f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \sin 2 \pi \tau, \cos 2 \pi \tau, \varepsilon\right)} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}(0)}{x_{2}(0)}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}(1)}{x_{2}(1)}=\binom{0}{0}$,
where $x=\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}, \quad A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -4 \pi^{2} & 0\end{array}\right), \quad M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$, $R=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$,
$F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \sin 2 \pi \tau, \cos 2 \pi \tau, \varepsilon\right)=$ $\binom{\mu(\varepsilon) x_{2}}{-4 \mu(\varepsilon) \pi^{2} x_{1}+(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)) f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \sin 2 \pi \tau, \cos 2 \pi \tau, \varepsilon\right)}$.
The fundamental matrix
$Y(\tau)=e^{A \tau}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\cos 2 \pi \tau & \sin 2 \pi \tau /(2 \pi) \\ -2 \pi \sin 2 \pi \tau & \cos 2 \pi \tau\end{array}\right)$,
$Y^{-1}(t)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\cos 2 \pi t & -\sin 2 \pi t /(2 \pi) \\ 2 \pi \sin 2 \pi t & \cos 2 \pi t\end{array}\right)$,
$Y_{0}(\tau)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\cos 2 \pi \tau & \sin 2 \pi \tau /(2 \pi) \\ -2 \pi \sin 2 \pi \tau & \cos 2 \pi \tau\end{array}\right) \quad$ and $Y_{0}(1)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Then by Lemma 2, solving the problem (29), (30) is reduced to that of solving $\mathscr{L} c=\varepsilon \mathscr{N}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)+d$ for $c$. Thus we find $\mathscr{L}$ and $\mathscr{N}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{L} & =M+R Y_{0}(1) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Rank $\mathscr{L}=1$. Let $E_{2}$ denote the null space of $\mathscr{L}$. Thus $e_{2}=\binom{0}{1}$, be a basis for $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathscr{L})$, and $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathscr{L})=\operatorname{Span}\left(e_{2}\right)$.

Let $P_{2}$ be the matrix projection onto $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathscr{L}), P_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. So $P_{1}=I-P_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$. Set $H=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ so that $H \mathscr{L}=P_{1}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{N}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)= \\
& -\int_{0}^{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos 2 \pi s & -\sin 2 \pi s /(2 \pi) \\
2 \pi \sin 2 \pi s & \cos 2 \pi s
\end{array}\right) \times \\
& \binom{\mu(\varepsilon) x_{2}}{-4 \pi^{2} x_{1}(s, c, \varepsilon) \mu(\varepsilon)+(1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)) f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \varepsilon\right)} d s \\
= & \binom{0}{N_{2}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{N}_{2}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)=-\int_{0}^{1}\left\{2 \pi \mu(\varepsilon) x_{2}(s, c, \varepsilon) \sin 2 \pi s\right. \\
& -4 \pi^{2} \mu(\varepsilon) \cos 2 \pi s x_{1}(s, c, \varepsilon) \\
& +\cos 2 \pi s\left(1+\varepsilon(\mu(\varepsilon)) f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \varepsilon\right)\right\} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { and } f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \varepsilon\right)=f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \sin 2 \pi w s, \cos 2 \pi w s, \varepsilon\right)
$$

Since $d=0$, it follows that $P_{2} H d=0$. Hence the condition of Theorem 1 is satisfied. In order to study $\Phi_{0}$, we must first obtain $x(t, c, 0)$, that is the solution of $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$. By Lemma 1, $x^{\prime}=A(t) x$ has a solution $x(t)$ with $x(0)=c=\left(0, c_{2}\right)^{T}$, where $x_{1}(0)=0=c_{1}$. Thus (29), (30) has a solution if $\varepsilon=0$ namely
$x_{1}(t, c, 0)=\frac{c_{2} \sin 2 \pi t}{2 \pi}$,
$x_{2}(t, c, 0)=c_{2} \cos 2 \pi t$.
We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{2} H \mathscr{N}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon) & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{0}{\mathscr{N}_{2}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)} \\
& =\binom{0}{\mathscr{N}_{2}(c, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(c_{2}\right)=\mathscr{N}_{2}\left(c^{2}, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon\right)$, where $c^{2}=P_{2}=\binom{0}{c_{2}}$ and $c^{1}=P_{1} c=\binom{c_{1}}{0}$. Setting $\varepsilon=0$, we have $\Phi_{0}\left(c_{2}\right)=\mathscr{N}_{2}\left(c^{2}, \alpha, \eta, 0\right)$, where $c^{1}\left(c^{2}, 0\right)=P_{2} H d=0$. Using condition (22), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{0}\left(c_{2}\right)= & -\int_{0}^{1}\left\{2 \pi \mu_{0}\left(c_{2} \cos 2 \pi s\right) \sin 2 \pi s\right.  \tag{31}\\
& -\frac{4 \pi^{2} c_{2} \mu_{0} \cos 2 \pi s \sin 2 \pi s}{2 \pi} \\
& +\cos 2 \pi s\left[h\left(\frac{c_{2} \sin 2 \pi s}{2 \pi}, c_{2} \cos 4 \pi s\right)\right.  \tag{32}\\
& +k(\sin 2 \pi s, \cos 2 \pi s, 0)]\} d s \\
= & V_{1}\left(c_{2}\right)+K_{1} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

## Example 3

In system (29), let

$$
\varepsilon f\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}, \sin \tau, \cos \tau, \varepsilon\right)=\varepsilon\left(x_{2}^{3}+\cos 2 \pi \tau\right)
$$

Assumption (D1) is satisfied since we have
$h\left(x_{1}(t, c, 0), x_{2}(t, c, 0), 0\right)+k(\sin \omega t, \cos \omega t, 0)=c_{2}^{3} \cos ^{3} 2 \pi t+\cos 2 \pi t$.
Using condition (22),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{0}\left(c_{2}\right) & =-\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\cos 2 \pi s\left(c_{2}^{3} \cos ^{3} 2 \pi s+\cos 2 \pi s\right)\right\} d s \\
& =-\int_{0}^{1}\left\{c_{2}^{3}\left(\frac{\cos 8 \pi s}{2}+2 \cos 4 \pi s+\frac{3}{2}\right)+\frac{\cos 4 \pi s+1}{2}\right\} d s \\
& =\frac{-3 \pi c_{2}^{3}}{4}+\frac{1}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We apply the Intermediate Value Theorem when $n=1$. Since $\Phi_{0}(0)=1 / 2>0$ and $\Phi_{0}(1)=\frac{(-3 \pi+2)}{4}<0$, it follows then that $\Phi_{0}(0) \Phi_{0}(1)<1$. Thus

$$
d\left(\Phi_{0},(0,1), 0\right)=-1
$$

Hence for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small, we conclude that by Theorem 2 the problem (27) has at least one solution with the boundary condition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}\left(0, c_{0}, 0\right)}{x_{2}\left(0, c_{0}, 0\right)}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}\left(1, c_{0}, 0\right)}{x_{2}\left(1, c_{0}, 0\right)} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}(0, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)}{x_{2}(0, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}\left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)}, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon\right)}{x_{2}\left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon)}, c(\varepsilon), \varepsilon\right)} \\
& =\binom{0}{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c(0)=c_{0}$.

## 5 Conclusions

In this paper, the entrainment of frequency problem for a perturbed system of first order ordinary differential equations has been established by adapting the approach of Cronin [6,7]. It is shown that the problem $\frac{d x}{d \tau}=A(t) x+\varepsilon F(x, \sin \tau, \cos \tau, \varepsilon)$ with three-point and two-point boundary conditions has a solution $\bar{x}(t)$ for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small, and this solution is close to the solution of the problem $\frac{d x}{d \tau}=A(t) x$, the system when $\varepsilon=0$. This is called the resonance or entrainment of frequency problem. The applications proposed in this paper for a 2-dimensional system of first-order equations can be extended to n -dimensional systems.
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