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#### Abstract

Several methods solve linear fractional partial differential equations. In this paper, it is presented a fractional model of the liquid-film mass transfer equation to compare numerical solution between fractional and simple model of the equation. Then the approximate of the generalized differential transform method is compared with the exact solution of the equation in integer orders. Furthermore, the approximates will be obtained in the fractional orders by limiting the intervals of the coefficient and variables. The results show that we can achieve the same result with the proposed fractional model and the method has a high accuracy.
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## 1 Introduction

In recent years, the study of stability and numerical methods of fractional differential equations by using various methods is helped to improve engineering and physics $[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]$. There are definitions of the fractional derivative and integral, such as Grunwald- Letnikov, Riemann-Liouville and Caputo. The Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha$ is defined as follow

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\alpha} f(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha+m)} \int_{a}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-\alpha+m-1} f^{(m)}(\tau) d \tau \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m-1<\alpha \leq m, m \in Z^{+}$. For more study see $[10,11,12]$. One of these methods is the differential transform method [13, 14]. The differential transform of function $f$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\left.\frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^{k} f(x)}{d x^{k}}\right|_{x=x_{0}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is the original function and $F(k)$ is the transformed function. The differential inverse transform of $F(k)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^{k} F(k) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2) into (3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\left.\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{k}}{k!} \frac{d^{k} f(x)}{d x^{k}}\right|_{x=x_{0}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, this method has developed in [15]. They have presented the generalized differential transform of function $f$ as follow

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\alpha}(k)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)}\left[\left(D^{\alpha}\right)^{k} f(x)\right]_{x=x_{0}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $0<\alpha \leq 1$ and $\left(D^{\alpha}\right)^{k}=D^{\alpha} . D^{\alpha} \ldots . D^{\alpha}(k-$ times $)$. The differential inverse transform of $F_{\alpha}(k)$ is defined by
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_{\alpha}(k)\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{\alpha k} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Substituting (5) into (6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{\alpha k}}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)}\left(\left(D^{\alpha}\right)^{k} f\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using theorem (4) in [16], we will obtain an approximate function $f(x)$ from the finite series as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \cong \sum_{k=0}^{n} F_{\alpha}(k)\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{\alpha k} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case $\alpha=1$, the generalized differential transform (5) changes to the differential transform. Also, GDTM is presented for solving the linear fractional partial differential equations [17]. Many equations have been studied by using fractional methods. The liquid-film mass transfer equation with the boundary conditions (10) is as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(1-y^{2}\right) \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}=a \frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial y^{2}}  \tag{9}\\
\omega=0, x=0,(0<y \leqslant 1) ; \omega=1, y=0,(x>0) ; \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y}=0, y=1,(x>0) \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\omega$, is a dimensionless temperature; $x$ and $y$ are dimensionless measured coordinates, respectively. $a=\frac{1}{P e}$ where $P e$ is the Peclet number $[18,19,20,21,22,23,24]$. Mixed boundary conditions are commonly encountered in practical purposes. The solution of (9) is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega(x, y)=1-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{m} \exp \left(-a \lambda_{m}^{2} x\right) F_{m}(y),  \tag{11}\\
F_{m}(y)=y \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{m} y^{2}\right) \Phi\left(\frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{m}, \frac{3}{2} ; \lambda_{m} y^{2}\right), \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the function $F_{m}$ and the coefficients $A_{m}$ and $\lambda_{m}$ are independent of the parameter $a$. The eigenvalues of $\lambda_{m}$ for $m=1,2, \ldots$ are solutions of the transcendental equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{m} \Phi\left(\frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{m}, \frac{3}{2} ; \lambda_{m}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{m}, \frac{1}{2} ; \lambda_{m}\right)=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi(M, N ; Z)=1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{M(M+1) \cdots(M+m-1)}{N(N+1) \cdots(N+m-1)} \frac{Z^{m}}{m!}$. The series coefficients $A_{m}$ are calculated as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m}=\frac{\int_{0}^{1}\left(1-y^{2}\right) F_{m}(y) d y}{\int_{0}^{1}\left(1-y^{2}\right)\left(F_{m}(y)\right)^{2} d y}, \quad m=1,2, \cdots \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table (1) shows the first ten eigenvalues $\lambda_{m}$ and coefficients $A_{m}$ [18].
The organization of this paper is followed by: In Section 2, we described GDTM for linear PDEs. In Section 3, the approximate of the fractional model (9) is compared with (11). In Section 4 we conclude our work.

## 2 Generalized Two-Dimensional Differential Transform Method

In this section, we provide some important definitions and theorems of GDTM for linear PDEs. First, we consider the function of two variables $u(x, y)$. Also, suppose that $u(x, y)=f(x) g(y)$. According to [25,26], the function $u(x, y)$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_{\alpha}(k)\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{k \alpha} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} G_{\beta}(h)\left(y-y_{0}\right)^{h \beta}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} U_{\alpha, \beta}(k, h)\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{k \alpha}\left(y-y_{0}\right)^{h \beta} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 1: Eigenvalue $\lambda_{m}$ and coefficients $A_{m}$ in Equation (11).

| m | $\lambda_{m}$ | $A_{m}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.2631 | 1.3382 |
| 2 | 6.2977 | -0.5455 |
| 3 | 10.3077 | 0.3589 |
| 4 | 14.3128 | -0.2721 |
| 5 | 18.3159 | 0.2211 |
| 6 | 22.3181 | -0.1873 |
| 7 | 26.3197 | 0.1631 |
| 8 | 30.3209 | -0.1449 |
| 9 | 34.3219 | 0.1306 |
| 10 | 38.3227 | -0.1191 |

where $\alpha>0$ and $\beta \leqslant 1$. $U_{\alpha, \beta}(k, h)=F_{\alpha}(k) G_{\beta}(h)$ is the spectrum of $u(x, y)$. The generalized two-dimensional differential transform of $u(x, y)$ is as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\alpha, \beta}(k, h)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1) \Gamma(\beta h+1)}\left[\left(D_{x_{0}}^{\alpha}\right)^{k}\left(D_{y_{0}}^{\beta}\right)^{h} u(x, y)\right]_{x_{0}, y_{0}} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (15) and (16), the following results obtain.
Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $U_{\alpha, \beta}(k, h), V_{\alpha, \beta}(k, h)$, and $W_{\alpha, \beta}(k, h)$ are the differential transformations of $u(x, y), v(x, y)$, and $w(x, y)$, respectively:
(a) if $u(x, y)=v(x, y) \pm w(x, y)$, then $U_{\alpha, \beta}(k, h)=V_{\alpha, \beta}(k, h) \pm W_{\alpha, \beta}(k, h)$,
(b) if $u(x, y)=c v(x, y), c \in \mathbb{R}$, then $U_{\alpha, \beta}(k, h)=c V_{\alpha, \beta}(k, h)$,
(c) if $u(x, y)=v(x, y) w(x, y)$, then $U_{\alpha, \beta}(k, h)=\sum_{r=0}^{k} \sum_{s=0}^{h} V_{\alpha, \beta}(k, h) W_{\alpha, \beta}(k, h)$,
(d) if $u(x, y)=\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{n \alpha}\left(y-y_{0}\right)^{m \beta}$, then $U_{\alpha, \beta}(k, h)=\delta(k-n) \delta(h-m)$,
(e) if $u(x, y)=D_{x_{0}}^{\alpha} v(x, y), 0<\alpha \leqslant 1$, then $U_{\alpha, \beta}(k, h)=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha(k+1)+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)} U_{\alpha, \beta}(k+1, h)$.

Theorem 2.2. If $u(x, y)=f(x) g(y)$ and the function $f(x)=x^{\lambda} h(x)$, where $\lambda>-1, h(x)$ has the generalized's series expansion $h(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{\alpha k}$ [16], and
(I) $\beta<\lambda+1$ and $\alpha$ is arbitrary, or
(II) $\beta \geqslant \lambda+1, \alpha$ is arbitrary and $a_{n}$ for $n=0,1,2, \cdots, m-1$, where $m-1<\beta \leqslant m$. Then the generalized differential transform (16) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\alpha, \beta}(k, h)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1) \Gamma(\beta h+1)}\left[\left(D_{x_{0}}^{\alpha k}\right)\left(D_{y_{0}}^{\beta}\right)^{h} u(x, y)\right]_{x_{0}, y_{0}} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.3. If $v(x, y)=f(x) g(y)$, the function $f(x)$ satisfies the conditions of theorem 2.2 and $u(x, y)=D_{x_{0}}^{\alpha} v(x, y)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\alpha, \beta}(k, h)=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha(k+1)+\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)} V_{\alpha, \beta}\left(k+\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}, h\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proofs and the convergence of GDTM maybe found in [15,27].

## 3 Numerical Results

In this section, first we introduce the fractional liquid-film mass transfer equation. Then by using the mentioned definitions and theorems, we compare an approximate of GDTM with the exact solution in the integer and fractional orders cases. We consider the fractional liquid-film mass transfer equation with following boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-y^{2}\right) D_{x}^{\alpha} \omega=a D_{y}^{\gamma} \omega \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(0, y)=0, \omega(x, 0)=1, \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y}(x, 1)=-437.11+2.913010^{5} a x-1.427110^{8} a^{2} x^{2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\alpha \leqslant 1,1<\gamma \leqslant 2$, and $a=1$. It can be obtained an ordinary system by sitting $\alpha=1, \beta=1$, and $\gamma=2$. The generalized differential transform of (19) is as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{1,1}(k, h+2)=\frac{1}{a(h+1)(h+2)}\left[(k+1) \Omega_{1,1}(k+1, h)-\sum_{r=0}^{k} \sum_{s=0}^{h}\left(\delta(k-r) \delta(s-2)(k-r+1) \Omega_{1,1}(k-r+1, s)\right)\right] . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we can write the generalized differential transform of the boundary conditions (20) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(k, 0)=1, \Omega(k, 1)=-437.11+2.913010^{5} \delta(k-1) \delta(h)-1.427110^{8} \delta(k-2) \delta(h) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have the solution $\omega(x, y)$ up to $O\left(x^{18}\right)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\omega(x, y)=1-437.11 x+\frac{x^{2}}{2 a}-72.85166667 \frac{x^{3}}{a}+\left(1-437.11 x+\frac{x^{2}}{a}-145.7033333 \frac{x^{3}}{a}+\frac{x^{4}}{6 a^{2}}\right) y^{2}  \tag{23}\\
+\left(1-437.11 x+\frac{3 x^{2}}{2 a}-218.5550000 \frac{x^{3}}{a}+\frac{x^{4}}{2 a^{2}}\right) y^{4}+\left(1-437.11 x+\frac{2 x^{2}}{a}-291.4066667 \frac{x^{3}}{a}+\frac{3 x^{4}}{4 a^{2}}\right) y^{6} \\
+\left(1-437.11 x+\frac{5 x^{2}}{2 a}-364.2583333 \frac{x^{3}}{a}+\frac{7 x^{4}}{6 a^{2}}\right) y^{8}+\left(1-437.11 x+\frac{3 x^{2}}{a}-437.11 \frac{x^{3}}{a}+\frac{7 x^{4}}{4 a^{2}}\right) y^{10} \\
+\left(1-437.11 x+\frac{7 x^{2}}{2 a}-509.9616667 \frac{x^{3}}{a}+\frac{9 x^{4}}{4 a^{2}}\right) y^{12}+\left(1-437.11 x+\frac{4 x^{2}}{a}-582.8133333 \frac{x^{3}}{a}+\frac{35 x^{4}}{12 a^{2}}\right) y^{14} \\
+\left(1-437.11 x+\frac{9 x^{2}}{2 a}-655.6650000 \frac{x^{3}}{a}+\frac{11 x^{4}}{3 a^{2}}\right) y^{16}+\left(1-437.11 x+\frac{5 x^{2}}{a}-728.5166667 \frac{x^{3}}{a}+\frac{9 x^{4}}{2 a^{2}}\right) y^{18}
\end{array}
$$

Figures (1a) and (1b) show the exact solution and approximate of (19), respectively. Also, the interval $a$ in figure (1a) and (1b) is $[0,1]$ and $[0.6,0.8]$, respectively. As we see, the approximate is compared with the exact solution by modifying in the interval $a$.


Fig. 1: The solution $\omega(x, y)$ of (19) and (20). (a) exact solution and $a \in[0,1]$. (b) approximate solution when $\alpha=\beta=1, \gamma=2$, and $a \in[0.6,0.8]$.
Now, we suppose $\alpha=0.5, \beta=1$, and $\gamma=2$. The generalized differential transform of (19) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega_{0.5,1}(k, h+4)=\frac{\Gamma(0.5 h+1)}{a \Gamma(0.5 k+2.5)}\left[\frac{\Gamma(0.5 k+1.5)}{\Gamma(0.5 k+1)} \Omega_{0.5,1}(k+1, h)\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{r=0}^{k} \sum_{s=0}^{h} \delta(k-r) \delta(s-2) \frac{\Gamma(0.5(k-r)+1.5)}{\Gamma(0.5(k-r)+1)} \Omega_{0.5,1}(k-r+1, s)\right] . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, the transformed boundary conditions (20) is
$\Omega(k, 0)=\delta(k), \Omega(k, 1)=-437.11 \delta(k)+2.913010^{5} \delta(k-2)-1.427110^{8} \delta(k-4)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(k, 2)=0, \Omega(k, 3)=10.138 \delta(k)-337.58 a \delta(k-2)+8688 a^{2} \delta(k-4) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have the solution $\omega(x, y)$ up to $O\left(x^{8}\right)$.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\omega(x, y)=1-437.11 x^{0.5}+10.138 x^{1.5}+\left(145650.0 \frac{x^{2.5}}{a}-84.39500000 x^{3.5}\right) y^{2} \\
+\left(291300.0 x^{0.5}-337.58 a x^{1.5}\right) y^{4}+\left(-95140000.02 \frac{x^{2.5}}{a}+2896 a x^{3.5}\right) y^{6} \\
+\left(-142710000.0 x^{0.5}+8688 a^{2} x^{1.5}\right) y^{8} \tag{26}
\end{array}
$$

It should be noted that increasing more components of the series solution results in increasing error and changes the solution. Although, $\alpha$ is decreased but the approximate will be obtained by limiting in the intervals $x, y$, and $a$. Figure (2) shows the comparison of the exact solution and GDTM approximate.


Fig. 2: The solution $\omega(x, y)$ of (19) and (20). (a) exact solution and $a \in[0,1]$. (b) approximate solution when

$$
\alpha=0.5, \beta=1, \gamma=2, a \in\left[6 \cdot 10^{-9}, 8 \cdot 10^{-9}\right] \text {, and } x, y \in[0,8.4] \text {. }
$$

Finally, in the last case, we suppose that $\alpha=0.5, \beta=1$, and $\gamma=1.5$. The transform of (19) is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Omega_{0.5,1}(k, h+4)=\frac{\Gamma(0.5 h+1)}{a \Gamma(0.5 k+2)}\left[\frac{\Gamma(0.5 k+1.5)}{\Gamma(0.5 k+1)} \Omega_{0.5,1}(k+1, h)-\sum_{r=0}^{k} \sum_{s=0}^{h} \delta(k-r) \delta(s-2)\right. \\
\left.\frac{\Gamma(0.5(k-r)+1.5)}{\Gamma(0.5(k-r)+1)} \Omega_{0.5,1}(k-r+1, s)\right] \tag{27}
\end{array}
$$

Since $\alpha=0.5$, therefore, we obtain the solution $\omega(x, y)$ up to $O\left(x^{6}\right)$ with the transformed boundary conditions (25).

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\omega(x, y)=1-437.11 x^{0.5}+10.138 x^{1.5}+\left(109565.6171 x^{2.5}-152.3672957 x^{3.5}\right) y^{1.5}+\left(291300.0 x^{0.5}\right. \\
\left.-337.58 a x^{1.5}\right) y^{3}+\left(-143138658.7 \frac{x^{2.5}}{a}+5228.457710 a x^{3.5}\right) y^{4.5}+\left(-142710000.0 x^{0.5}\right. \\
\left.+8688 a^{2} x^{1.5}\right) y^{6} \tag{28}
\end{array}
$$

The last case is shown in figure (3b). As we see, both orders are decreased and GDTM approximate obtains with smaller intervals than the previous cases.


Fig. 3: The solution $\omega(x, y)$ of (19) and (20). (a) exact solution, $a \in[0,1]$ and $x, y \in[0,10]$. (b) approximate solution when $\alpha=0.5, \beta=1, \gamma=1.5, a \in\left[6 \cdot 10^{-11}, 8 \cdot 10^{-11}\right]$, and $x, y \in[0,6.4]$.

## 4 Conclusion

In this work, we introduced the fractional model of the liquid-film mass transfer equation. The generalized differential transform method is powerful tool in order to solve linear PDEs. It was used for solving the mentioned equation. In the first case, the interval $a$ has been limited, $x$ and $y$ are fixed. This action makes approximates even if the orders be fractional. As we have seen, when both of orders were decreased, the intervals became limited.

## Acknowledgement

In remembrance of Maryam Mirzakhani Iranian-American mathematician for her motivation and patience.

## References

[1] Sh. Das, Functional Fractional Calculus, Springer, Berlin, 2011.
[2] K. Diethelm, The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations, Springer, Heidelberg, Dordrecht, London, New York, 2010.
[3] R. Hilfer, Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Farrer Road, Singapore, 2000. [4] V. S. Kiryakova, Generalized Fractional Calculus and Applications, Chapman \& Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics Series, Longman Scientific \& Technical, Burnt Mill, Harlow, 1994.
[5] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations, Wiley, New York, 1993.
[6] K. B. Oldham and J. Spanier, The Fractional Calculus: Theory and Applications of Differentiation and Integration to Arbitrary Order, Academic Press, San Diego ,1974.
[7] H. Saberi Najafi, A. H. Refahi Sheikhani and A. Ansari, Stability analysis of distributed order fractional differential equations, Abstr. Appl. Anal. Article ID 175323, doi: 10.1155/175323 (2011).
[8] H. Saberi Najafi, S. R. Mirshafaei and E. Arsanjani Toroqi, An approximate solution of the Mathieu fractional equation by using the generalized differential transform method (GDTM), Appl. Math. 7, 374-384 (2012).
[9] H. Saberi Najafi, E. Arsanjani Toroqi and A. Jafarzadeh Divishali, A new fractional model of single degree of freedom system, by using generalized differential transform method, Rom. J. Math. Comput.Sci. 6, 93-105 (2016).
[10] M. Caputo, Linear models of dissipation whose Q is almost frequency independent, Part II, J. Roy. Austral. Soc. 13, 529-539 (1967).
[11] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
[12] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava and J. J. Trujillo, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 2006.
[13] A. Arikoglu and I. Ozkol, Solution of fractional differential equations by using differential transform method, Chaos Solit. Fract. 345, 1473-1481 (2007).
[14] J. K. Zhou, Differential Transformation and its Application for Electrical Circuits, Huazhong University Press, Wuhan, China, (in Chinese), 1986.
[15] Z. Odibat, S. Momani and V. S. Erturk, Generalized differential transform method: application to differential equations of fractional order, Appl. Math. Comput. 197, 467-477 (2008).
[16] Z. Odibat and N. Shawagfeh, Generalized Taylor's formula, Appl. Math. Comput. 186, 286-293 (2007).
[17] Z. Odibat and S. Momani, A generalized differential transform method for linear partial differential equations of fractional order, Appl. Math. Lett. 21, 194-199 (2008).
[18] A. D. Polyanin and V. E. Nazaikinskii, Handbook of Linear Partial Differential Equations for Engineers and Scientists, Chapman \& Hall/CRC, U.S. 2002.
[19] C. S. Wen, The Fundamentals of Aerosol Dynamics, World Scientific, 1996.
[20] C. Hirsch, Numerical Computation of Internal and External Flows: The Fundamentals of Computational Fluid Dynamics, Butterworth-Heinemann, 2007.
[21] J. Fujiki, T. Shinomiya, T. Kawakita, S. Ishibashi and E. Furuya, Experimental determination of fluid-film mass transfer coefficient from adsorption uptake curve, Chem. Eng. J. 173, 49-54 (2011).
[22] N. Sonetaka, H. J. Fan, S. Kobayashi, H. N. Chang and E. Furuya, Simultaneous determination of intraparticle diffusivity and liquid film mass transfer coefficient from a single-component adsorption uptake curve, J. Hazard Mater, 164, 1447-1451 (2009).
[23] N. Sonetaka, H. J. Fan, S. Kobayashi, Y. C. H. Su and E. Furuya, Characterization of adsorption uptake curves for both intraparticle diffusion and liquid film mass transfer controlling systems, J. Hazard Mat. 165, 232-239 (2009).
[24] Y. L. Tsay and T. F. Lin, Combined heat and mass transfer in laminar gas stream flowing over an evaporating liquid film, Int. J. Heat Mass Transf. 25, 221-231 1990.
[25] N. Bildik, A. Konuralp, F. Bek and S. Kucukarslan, Solution of different type of the partial differential equation by differential transform method and Adomian's decomposition method, Appl. Math. Comput. 172, 551-567 (2006).
[26] I. H. Hassan, Comparison differential transformation technique with Adomian decomposition method for linear and nonlinear initial value problem, Chaos Solit. Fract. 36, 53-65 (2008).
[27] Z. Odibat, S. Kumar, N. Shawagfeh, A. Alsaedi and T. Hayat, A study on the convergence conditions of generalized differential transform method, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 40, 40-48 (2016).


[^0]:    * Corresponding author e-mail: arsanjanie @ yahoo.com

