Journal of Statistics Applications & Probability An International Journal

# Statistical Inference under Unified Hybrid Censoring Scheme

M. M. Mohie El-Din<sup>1</sup>, M. Nagy<sup>2,\*</sup> and A. R. Shafay<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt
<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Fayoum University, Fayoum, Egypt

Received: 4 Oct. 2016, Revised: 13 Jan. 2017, Accepted: 18 Jan. 2017 Published online: 1 Mar. 2017

**Abstract:** In this paper, a general exponential form of the underlying distribution and a general conjugate prior are used to discuss the maximum likelihood and Bayesian estimation based on an unified hybrid censored sample. A general procedure for deriving the point and interval Bayesian prediction of the future order statistics from the same sample as well as that from an unobserved future sample is also developed. The exponential and Pareto distributions are then used as illustrative examples. Finally, two numerical examples are presented for illustrating all the inferential procedures developed here.

**Keywords:** Bayesian estimation; Bayesian prediction; Exponential distribution; Maximum likelihood estimation; Pareto distribution; Order statistics; Unified hybrid censored sample.

## **1** Introduction

In life-testing experiments, the experimenter may stop the experiment before all the units on the test have failed due to some considerations such as time and cost. In such cases, the obtained data is called censored data. The most two common forms of censoring are Type-I and Type-II censoring schemes. Type-I hybrid censoring scheme is introduced by Epstein in [1] as a mixture of Type-I and Type-II censoring schemes. Type-II hybrid censoring scheme (Type-II HCS) is proposed by Childs et al. in [2] to fix the disadvantages inherent in Type-I hybrid censoring scheme. Chandrasekar et al. in [3] introduced generalized Type-I hybrid and generalized Type-II HCS as mixtures of Type-I hybrid and Type-II HCS. For more details about HCS, one may refer to [4].

Recently, Balakrishnan et al. in [5] proposed the unified HCS to fix the disadvantages inherent in the generalized Type-I hybrid and generalized Type-II HCS, suggested by Chandrasekar et al. in [3]. This censoring scheme can be described as follows. Consider a life-testing experiment in which *n* identical units are placed on a life-test. Fix integers  $k, r \in \{0, ..., n\}$  and  $T_1, T_2 \in (0, \infty)$  such that k < r and  $T_1 < T_2$ . If the  $k^{th}$  failure occurs before time  $T_1$ , the experiment is terminated at min  $\{\max(X_{r:n}, T_1), T_2\}$ . If the  $k^{th}$  failure occurs between  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , the experiment is terminated at min  $(X_{r:n}, T_2)$  and if the  $k^{th}$  failure occurs after time  $T_2$ , the experiment is terminated at  $X_{k:n}$ . Under this censoring scheme, we can guarantee that the experiment would be completed at most in time  $T_2$  with at least *k* failure and if not, we can guarantee exactly *k* failures. The described unified HCS and inferential methods based on such a scheme have been discussed earlier in the literature; see, for example; [4], [6], [7], [8], and [9].

AL-Hussaini [10] suggested a general exponential form of the underlying distribution to develop a general procedure for the Bayesian inference. This general form can described as follows; Motivated by the fact that the survival function  $(SF) \overline{F}(x|\theta) = 1 - F(x|\theta)$  corresponding to any cumulative distribution function  $(CDF) F(x|\theta)$  can be written in the form

$$\bar{F}(x|\theta) = \exp[-\psi(x;\theta)],\tag{1}$$

where  $\psi(x;\theta) = -\ln \bar{F}(x|\theta)$  is monotone increasing, continuous and differentiable function, with  $\psi(x;\theta) \to 0$  as  $x \to -\infty$ and  $\psi(x;\theta) \to \infty$  as  $x \to \infty$ .

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author e-mail: mn112@fayoum.edu.eg

The probability density function (PDF) corresponding to (1) is given by

$$f(x|\theta) = \psi'(x;\theta) \exp[-\psi(x;\theta)], \qquad (2)$$

where  $\psi'(x; \theta)$  is the first derivative of  $\psi(x; \theta)$  with respect to *x*.

Several distributions that are used in reliability studies, such as exponential, Pareto, Weibull and Burr Type-XII distributions, can be obtained as special cases from the general exponential form (1) by using an appropriate choice of  $\psi(x; \theta)$ . Many authors considered this general exponential form to develop a general procedure of the statistical inference based on different forms of censored data, see, for example; [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], and [18]. Recently, Mohie El-Din et al. in [19] have considered the inverse general exponential form and developed a general procedures for Bayesian estimation and two-sample prediction using the unified hybrid censoring schemes. We discuss in this paper the same problem based on the unified hybrid censoring scheme which involves some additional complications.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, a general procedure of deriving the maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian estimators is presented. A general procedure of predicting the future order statistics from the same sample is discussed in Section 3. In Section 4, a general procedure of predicting the future order statistics from an unobserved future sample is then developed. The exponential and Pareto distributions are presented in Section 5 as special cases from the general exponential form (1). Finally, in Section 6, some computational results for the exponential and Pareto distributions are presented for illustrating all the inferential methods developed here.

#### 2 The ML and Bayesian estimation

Let  $X_{1:n} < X_{2:n} < ... < X_{n:n}$  be the failure times of *n* independent and identical units are placed on a life-test with an absolutely continuous CDF  $F(x) \equiv F(x|\theta)$  and PDF  $f(x) \equiv f(x|\theta)$  where the parameter  $\theta \in \Theta$  may be a real vector. Let  $D_j$  denote the number of  $X_{i:n}$ 's that are at most  $T_j$ , j = 1, 2. Then,  $D_j$  is a discrete random variable has the binomial distribution  $B(n, F(T_j))$ , j = 1, 2, with support  $\{0, 1, ..., n\}$ . Therefore, we observe one of the following six cases of observations under the unified hybrid censoring scheme:

- 1.If  $0 < X_{k:n} < X_{r:n} \le T_1 < T_2$ , then the experiment is terminated at  $T_1$  and we will observe  $X_{1:n} < ... < X_{k:n} < ... < X_{r:n} < ... < X_{D_1:n}$ .
- 2.If  $0 < X_{k:n} \le T_1 < X_{r:n} \le T_2$ , then the experiment is terminated at  $X_{r:n}$  and we will observe  $X_{1:n} < ... < X_{k:n} < ... < X_{D_1:n} < ... < X_{r:n}$ .
- 3.If  $0 < X_{k:n} \le T_1 < T_2 < X_{r:n}$ , then the experiment is terminated at  $T_2$  and we will observe  $X_{1:n} < ... < X_{k:n} < ... < X_{D_1:n} < ... < X_{D_2:n}$ .
- 4.If  $0 < T_1 < X_{k:n} < X_{r:n} \le T_2$ , then the experiment is terminated at  $X_{r:n}$  and we will observe  $X_{1:n} < ... < X_{D_1:n} < ... < X_{k:n} < ... < X_{r:n}$ .
- 5.If  $0 < T_1 < X_{k:n} \le T_2 < X_{r:n}$ , then the experiment is terminated at  $T_2$  and we will observe  $X_{1:n} < ... < X_{D_1:n} < ... < X_{k:n} < ... < X_{D_2:n}$ .
- 6.If  $0 < T_1 < T_2 < X_{k:n} < X_{r:n}$ , then the experiment is terminated at  $X_{k:n}$  and we will observe  $X_{1:n} < ... < X_{D_1:n} < ... < X_{D_2:n} < ... < X_{k:n}$ .

Thus, the joint density function of the unified hybrid censored sample  $\underline{\mathbf{X}} = (X_{1:n}, X_{2:n}, \dots, X_{D:n})$  is as follows:

$$f_{\underline{\mathbf{X}}}(\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{n!}{(n-D)!} \prod_{i=1}^{D} f(x_i) \left\{ 1 - F(T) \right\}^{n-D},\tag{3}$$

where

$$(D,T) = \begin{cases} (D_1,T_1), \text{ in Case } 1, \\ (r,X_{r:n}), \text{ in Cases } 2 \text{ and } 4, \\ (D_2,T_2), \text{ in Cases } 3 \text{ and } 5, \\ (k,X_{k:n}), \text{ in Case } 6, \end{cases}$$
(4)

and  $\underline{\mathbf{x}} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_D)$  is a vector of realizations.

Upon substituting (1) and (2) in (3), the likelihood function of  $\theta$ , given the observed unified hybrid censored sample, is obtained as

$$L(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{n!}{(n-D)!} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{D} \psi'(x_{i:n};\theta) \right) \exp\left[ -\left( \sum_{i=1}^{D} \psi(x_i;\theta) - (n-D)\psi(T;\theta) \right) \right],$$
(5)

The log-likelihood function of  $\theta$ , given the observed unified hybrid censored sample, is then given by

$$\log L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \log n! - \log(n-D)! + \sum_{i=1}^{D} \log \psi'(x_i; \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \sum_{i=1}^{D} \psi(x_i; \boldsymbol{\theta}) - (n-D)\psi(T; \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$
(6)

By differentiating (6) with respect to  $\theta$  and equating the result to zero, we can obtain the ML estimator of  $\theta$  by solving the following equation

$$\frac{d\log L(\theta|\underline{\mathbf{x}})}{d\theta} = 0$$

This equation is appropriate for a single value  $\theta$ , but for a vector  $\theta$  of course, the partial derivatives produce a system of equations that are solved simultaneously.

For the Bayesian method, we consider here a general conjugate prior, suggested by AL-Hussaini [10], that is given as

$$\pi(\theta; \delta) \propto A(\theta; \delta) \exp[-B(\theta; \delta)], \tag{7}$$

where  $\theta \in \Theta$  is the vector of parameters of the distribution in (1) and  $\delta$  is the vector of prior parameters. The prior family in (7) includes several priors used in the literature as special cases.

Upon combining (3) and (7), we obtain the posterior density function of  $\theta$ , given the observed unified hybrid censored sample, as

$$\pi^{*}(\theta; \underline{\mathbf{x}}) = L(\theta; \underline{\mathbf{x}}) \pi(\theta; \delta) / \int_{\theta \in \theta} L(\theta; \underline{\mathbf{x}}) \pi(\theta; \delta) d\theta$$
  
=  $I^{-1} \eta(\theta; \underline{\mathbf{x}}) \exp[-\zeta(\theta; \underline{\mathbf{x}})],$  (8)  
with

with

$$\begin{split} \eta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}}) &= A(\theta;\delta) \prod_{i=1}^{D} \psi'(x_i;\theta), \\ \zeta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{D} \psi(x_i;\theta) + (n-D) \psi(T;\theta) + B(\theta;\delta), \end{split}$$

and

$$I = \int_{\theta \in \theta} \eta(\theta; \underline{\mathbf{x}}) \exp[-\zeta(\theta; \underline{\mathbf{x}})] d\theta.$$

By using the squared error loss function, the Bayesian estimator of  $\theta$  is obtained as the mean of the posterior density function, which is given by

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = I^{-1} \int_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\theta}} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \underline{\mathbf{x}}) \exp[-\zeta(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \underline{\mathbf{x}})] d\boldsymbol{\theta}.$$
<sup>(9)</sup>

#### **3 One-Sample Bayesian Prediction**

In one-sample prediction, we use the observed unified hybrid censored sample  $\underline{\mathbf{X}} = (X_{1:n}, X_{2:n}, ..., X_{D:n})$  to develop a general procedure for deriving the point and interval prediction for the future order statistic  $X_{s:n}$ ,  $D < s \leq n$ , from the same sample.

The conditional density function of  $X_{s:n}$ ,  $D < s \leq n$ , given the observed unified hybrid censored sample  $\underline{\mathbf{X}} = (X_{1:n}, X_{2:n}, \dots, X_{D:n})$ , is given by:

$$f(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \begin{cases} f_{1}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}), & \text{if } (D,T) = (D_{1},T_{1}), \\ f_{2}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}), & \text{if } (D,T) = (r,X_{r:n}), \\ f_{3}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}), & \text{if } (D,T) = (D_{2},T_{2}), \\ f_{4}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}), & \text{if } (D,T) = (k,X_{k:n}), \end{cases}$$
(10)

where

$$f_{1}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{1}{P(r \le D_{1} \le s-1)} \sum_{d_{1}=r}^{s-1} f(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}, D_{1} = d_{1}) P(D_{1} = d_{1})$$
  
$$= \sum_{d_{1}=r}^{s-1} \frac{(n-d_{1})!\phi_{d_{1}}(T_{1})}{(s-d_{1}-1)!(n-s)!} \frac{[F(x_{s}) - F(T_{1})]^{s-d_{1}-1} [1 - F(x_{s})]^{n-s} f(x_{s})}{[1 - F(T_{1})]^{n-d_{1}}}, \quad x_{s} > T_{1},$$
(11)



with  $\underline{\mathbf{x}} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_{D_1})$  and  $\phi_{d_1}(T_1) = \frac{P(D_1 = d_1)}{P(r \le D_1 \le s - 1)}$ ,

$$f_2(x_s|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = f(x_s|x_r)$$

$$=\frac{(n-r)!}{(s-r-1)!(n-s)!}\frac{\left[F(x_s)-F(x_r)\right]^{s-r-1}\left[1-F(x_s)\right]^{n-s}f(x_s)}{\left[1-F(x_r)\right]^{n-r}}, \ x_s > x_r,$$
(12)

with  $\underline{\mathbf{x}} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r),$ 

$$f_{3}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{1}{P(k \le D_{2} \le r^{*} - 1)} \sum_{d_{2}=k}^{r^{*}-1} f(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}, D_{2} = d_{2})P(D_{2} = d_{2})$$
  
$$= \sum_{d_{2}=k}^{r^{*}-1} \frac{(n-d_{2})!\gamma_{d_{2}}(T_{2})}{(s-d_{2}-1)!(n-s)!} \frac{[F(x_{s}) - F(T_{2})]^{s-d_{2}-1} [1 - F(x_{s})]^{n-s} f(x_{s})}{[1 - F(T_{2})]^{n-d_{2}}}, \quad x_{s} > T_{2},$$
(13)

with  $\underline{\mathbf{x}} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_{D_2}), \ \gamma_{d_2}(T_2) = \frac{P(D_2 = d_2)}{P(k \le D_2 \le r^* - 1)} \text{ and } r^* = \min(r, s),$  $f_4(x_s | \underline{\mathbf{x}}) = f(x_s | x_k)$ 

$$= \frac{(n-k)!}{(s-k-1)!(n-s)!} \frac{[F(x_s) - F(x_k)]^{s-k-1} [1 - F(x_s)]^{n-s} f(x_s)}{[1 - F(x_k)]^{n-k}}, \ x_s > x_k,$$
(14)

with  $\underline{\mathbf{x}} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_k).$ 

Upon substituting (1) and (2) in (11) - (13),  $f_1(x_s|\mathbf{x})$ ,  $f_2(x_s|\mathbf{x})$ ,  $f_3(x_s|\mathbf{x})$  and  $f_4(x_s|\mathbf{x})$  will become:

$$f_1(x_s|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_{d_1=r}^{s-1} \sum_{w=0}^{s-d_1-1} C_{1w} \phi_{d_1}(T_1; \theta) \psi'(x_s; \theta) \exp\left\{-(n-s+w+1)\left[\psi(x_s; \theta) - \psi'(T_1; \theta)\right]\right\}, x_s > T_1,$$
(15)

where  $\underline{\mathbf{x}} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_{D_2}), C_{1w} = \frac{(-1)^w \binom{s-d_1-1}{w} (n-d_1)!}{(s-d_1-1)! (n-s)!}$  and

$$\phi_{d_1}(T_1;\theta) = \frac{\binom{n}{d_1} \exp\left[-(n-d_1)\psi(T_1;\theta)\right] \{1 - \exp\left[-\psi(T_1;\theta)\right]\}^{d_1}}{\sum\limits_{d_1=r}^{s-1} \binom{n}{d_1} \exp\left[-(n-d_1)\psi(T_1;\theta)\right] \{1 - \exp\left[-\psi(T_1;\theta)\right]\}^{d_1}},$$

$$f_2(x_s|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_{w=0}^{s-r-1} C_{2w} \psi'(x_s;\theta) \exp\left\{-(n-s+w+1)\left[\psi(x_s;\theta) - \psi'(x_r;\theta)\right]\right\}, \ x_s > x_r,$$
(16)

where  $\underline{\mathbf{x}} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_r)$  and  $C_{2w} = \frac{(-1)^w \binom{s-r-1}{w} (n-r)!}{(s-r-1)!(n-s)!}$ .

$$f_{3}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_{d_{2}=k}^{r^{*}-1} \sum_{w=0}^{s-d_{2}-1} C_{3w} \gamma_{d_{2}}(T_{2};\theta) \psi'(x_{s};\theta) \exp\left\{-(n-s+w+1)\left[\psi(x_{s};\theta)-\psi'(T_{2};\theta)\right]\right\}, \ x_{s} > T_{2},$$
(17)

where  $\underline{\mathbf{x}} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_{D_2}), C_{3w} = \frac{(-1)^w {\binom{s-d_2-1}{w}} (n-d)!}{(s-d_2-1)!(n-s)!}$  and

$$\gamma_{d_2}(T_2;\theta) = \frac{\binom{n}{d_2} \exp\left[-(n-d_2) \psi(T_2;\theta)\right] \{1 - \exp\left[-\psi(T_2;\theta)\right]\}^{d_2}}{\sum\limits_{d_2=k}^{r^*-1} \binom{n}{d_2} \exp\left[-(n-d_2) \psi(T_2;\theta)\right] \{1 - \exp\left[-\psi(T_2;\theta)\right]\}^{d_2}}$$

$$f_4(x_s|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_{w=0}^{s-k-1} C_{4w} \psi'(x_s;\theta) \exp\left\{-(n-s+w+1)\left[\psi(x_s;\theta) - \psi'(x_k;\theta)\right]\right\}, \ x_s > x_k,$$
(18)

where  $\underline{\mathbf{x}} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_k)$  and  $C_{4w} = \frac{(-1)^w \binom{s-k-1}{w} (n-k)!}{(s-k-1)!(n-s)!}$ .

Upon combining (8) and (10), the Bayesian predictive density function of  $X_{s:n}$ , given the unified hybrid censored sample, is obtained as

$$f^{*}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \begin{cases} f_{1}^{*}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}), & \text{if } (D,T) = (D_{1},T_{1}), \\ f_{2}^{*}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}), & \text{if } (D,T) = (r,X_{r:n}), \\ f_{3}^{*}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}), & \text{if } (D,T) = (D_{2},T_{2}), \\ f_{4}^{*}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}), & \text{if } (D,T) = (k,X_{k:n}), \end{cases}$$
(19)

where

$$f_{1}^{*}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \int_{\theta\in\Theta} f_{1}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}})\pi^{*}(\theta|\underline{\mathbf{x}})d\theta$$
  
$$= I^{-1}\sum_{d_{1}=r}^{s-1}\sum_{w=0}^{s-d_{1}-1} C_{1w} \int_{\theta\in\Theta} \psi'(x_{s};\theta)\phi_{d_{1}}(T_{1};\theta)\eta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}})$$
  
$$\times \exp\left\{-\zeta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}}) - (n-s+w+1)\left[\psi(x_{s};\theta) - \psi(T_{1};\theta)\right]\right\}d\theta, \ x_{s} > T_{1},$$
(20)

$$f_{2}^{*}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \int_{\theta \in \theta} f_{2}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}})\pi^{*}(\theta|\underline{\mathbf{x}})d\theta$$
  
$$= I^{-1} \sum_{w=0}^{s-r-1} C_{2w} \int_{\theta \in \theta} \psi'(x_{s};\theta)\eta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}})$$
  
$$\times \exp\left\{-\zeta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}}) - (n-s+w+1)\left[\psi(x_{s};\theta) - \psi(x_{r};\theta)\right]\right\}d\theta, \ x_{s} > x_{r},$$
(21)

$$f_{3}^{*}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \int_{\theta \in \theta} f_{3}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}})\pi^{*}(\theta|\underline{\mathbf{x}})d\theta$$
  
$$= I^{-1}\sum_{d_{2}=k}^{r^{*}-1}\sum_{w=0}^{s-d_{2}-1} C_{3w} \int_{\theta \in \theta} \psi'(x_{s};\theta)\gamma_{d_{2}}(T_{2};\theta)\eta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}})$$
  
$$\times \exp\left\{-\zeta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}}) - (n-s+w+1)\left[\psi(x_{s};\theta) - \psi(T_{2};\theta)\right]\right\}d\theta, \ x_{s} > T_{2},$$
(22)

and

$$f_{4}^{*}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \int_{\theta \in \Theta} f_{4}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}})\pi^{*}(\theta|\underline{\mathbf{x}})d\theta$$
  
$$= I^{-1} \sum_{w=0}^{s-k-1} C_{4w} \int_{\theta \in \Theta} \psi'(x_{s};\theta)\eta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}})$$
  
$$\times \exp\left\{-\zeta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}}) - (n-s+w+1)\left[\psi(x_{s};\theta) - \psi(x_{k};\theta)\right]\right\}d\theta, \ x_{s} > x_{k}.$$
 (23)

The predictive survival function  $\bar{F}^*(t|\mathbf{x})$ , given the unified hybrid censored sample, can be obtained from (19) as

$$\bar{F}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \begin{cases} \bar{F}_{1}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}), & \text{if } (D,T) = (D_{1},T_{1}), \\ \bar{F}_{2}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}), & \text{if } (D,T) = (r,X_{r:n}), \\ \bar{F}_{3}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}), & \text{if } (D,T) = (D_{2},T_{2}), \\ \bar{F}_{4}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}), & \text{if } (D,T) = (k,X_{k:n}), \end{cases}$$
(24)

where  $t \ge 0$  and

$$\bar{F}_{1}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \int_{t}^{\infty} f_{1}^{*}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) dx_{s}$$

$$= I^{-1} \sum_{d_{1}=r}^{s-1} \sum_{w=0}^{s-d_{1}-1} \frac{C_{1w}}{n-s+w+1} \int_{\theta \in \theta} \phi_{d_{1}}(T_{1};\theta) \eta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}})$$

$$\times \exp\left\{-\zeta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}}) - (n-s+w+1) \left[\psi(t;\theta) - \psi(T_{1};\theta)\right]\right\} d\theta,$$
(25)

$$\bar{F}_{2}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \int_{t}^{s} f_{2}^{*}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}})dx_{s}$$

$$= I^{-1} \sum_{w=0}^{s-r-1} \frac{C_{2w}}{n-s+w+1} \int_{\theta \in \theta} \eta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}}) \exp\left\{-\zeta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}}) - (n-s+w+1)\left[\psi(t;\theta) - \psi(x_{r};\theta)\right]\right\} d\theta,$$
(26)

$$\bar{F}_{3}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \int_{t} f_{3}^{*}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) dx_{s}$$

$$= I^{-1} \sum_{d_{2}=k}^{r^{*}-1} \sum_{w=0}^{s-d_{2}-1} \frac{C_{3w}}{n-s+w+1} \int_{\theta \in \Theta} \gamma_{d_{2}}(T_{2};\theta) \eta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}})$$

$$\times \exp\left\{-\zeta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}}) - (n-s+w+1)\left[\psi(t;\theta) - \psi(T_{2};\theta)\right]\right\} d\theta,$$
(27)

and

$$\bar{F}_{4}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \int_{t}^{\infty} f_{4}^{*}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) dx_{s}$$

$$= I^{-1} \sum_{w=0}^{s-k-1} \frac{C_{4w}}{n-s+w+1} \int_{\theta \in \theta} \eta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}}) \exp\left\{-\zeta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}}) - (n-s+w+1)\left[\psi(t;\theta) - \psi(x_{k};\theta)\right]\right\} d\theta.$$
(28)

As in the case of estimation, prediction can be either a point or an interval prediction. The Bayesian point predictor of  $X_{s:n}$  can be obtained as the mean of the predictive density function in (19) and given by

$$\widehat{X}_{s:n} = \int_{0}^{\infty} x_s f^*(x_s | \underline{\mathbf{x}}) dx_s,$$
(29)

Therefore, the Bayesian predictive bounds of  $100(1 - \gamma)\%$  two-sided equi-tailed (ET) interval for  $X_{s:n}$  can be obtained by solving the following two equations:

$$\bar{F}^*(L_{ET}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{\gamma}{2}$$
 and  $\bar{F}^*(U_{ET}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = 1 - \frac{\gamma}{2},$  (30)

where  $L_{ET}$  and  $U_{ET}$  denote the lower and upper bounds of the ET interval, respectively.

For the highest posterior density (HPD) interval method, we need to solve the following two equations:

$$\bar{F}^*(L_{HPD}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) - \bar{F}^*(U_{HPD}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = 1 - \gamma$$

and

$$f^*(L_{HPD}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) - f^*(U_{HPD}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = 0,$$

where  $L_{HPD}$  and  $U_{HPD}$  denote the lower and upper bounds of the HPD interval, respectively.

## **4 Two-Sample Bayesian Prediction**

In two-sample Bayesian prediction, we use the observed unified hybrid censored sample to develop a general procedure for deriving the point and interval prediction for the order statistics from an unobserved future sample from the same distribution.

Let  $Y_{1:m} \le Y_{2:m} \le ... \le Y_{m:m}$  be the order statistics from a future random sample of size *m* from the same population. Then, the marginal density function of the order statistic  $Y_{q:m}$ ,  $1 \le q \le m$ , see [20], is given by

$$f_{Y_{s:m}}(y_s|\theta) = \frac{m!}{(s-1)!(m-s)!} [F(y_s)]^{s-1} [1-F(y_s)]^{m-s} f(y_s), \ y_s > 0.$$
(31)

Upon substituting (1) and (2) in (31), the marginal density function of  $Y_{s:m}$  becomes

$$f_{Y_{s:m}}(y_s|\theta) = \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} C_{5w} \psi'(y_s;\theta) \exp[-(m-s+w+1)\psi(y_s;\theta)], \quad y_s > 0.$$
(32)

where  $C_{5w} = \frac{(-1)^w {\binom{s-1}{w}}m!}{(s-1)!(m-s)!}$ . From (8) and (32), the Bayesian predictive density function of  $Y_{s:m}$ , given the unified hybrid censored sample, is obtained as

$$f_{Y_{s:m}}^{*}(y_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \int_{\theta\in\Theta} f_{Y_{s:m}}(y_{s}|\theta)\pi^{*}(\theta|\underline{\mathbf{x}})d\theta$$
$$= I^{-1}\sum_{w=0}^{s-1} C_{5w} \int_{\theta\in\Theta} \psi'(y_{s};\theta)\eta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}}) \exp[-\zeta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}}) - (m-s+w+1)\psi(y_{s};\theta)]d\theta, \ y_{s} > 0.$$
(33)

From (33), the predictive survival function  $\bar{F}^*_{Y_{cm}}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}})$ , for  $t \ge 0$ , is obtained as

$$\bar{F}_{Y_{s:m}}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \int_{t} f_{Y_{s:m}}^{*}(y_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}})dy_{s}$$
$$= I^{-1}\sum_{w=0}^{s-1} \frac{C_{5w}}{m-s+w+1} \int_{\theta \in \theta} \eta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}}) \exp[-\zeta(\theta;\underline{\mathbf{x}}) - (m-s+w+1)\psi(t;\theta)]d\theta.$$
(34)

The Bayesian point predictor of  $Y_{s:m}$ ,  $1 \le s \le m$ , can be obtained as the mean of the predictive density function in (33) and given by

$$\widehat{Y}_{s:m} = \int_{0}^{\infty} y_s f_{Y_{s:m}}^*(y_s | \underline{\mathbf{x}}) dy_s.$$
(35)

Therefore, the Bayesian predictive bounds of  $100(1 - \gamma)\%$  ET interval for  $Y_{s:m}$ ,  $1 \le s \le m$ , can be obtained by solving the following two equations:

$$\bar{F}_{Y_{s:m}}^*(L_{ET}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{\gamma}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{F}_{Y_{s:m}}^*(U_{ET}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = 1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}, \tag{36}$$

where  $L_{ET}$  and  $U_{ET}$  denote the lower and upper bounds of the ET interval, respectively.

For the HPD interval method, also we need solve the following equations:

$$ar{F}^*_{Y_{s:m}}(L_{HPD}|\mathbf{x}) - ar{F}^*_{Y_{s:m}}(U_{HPD}|\mathbf{x}) = 1 - \gamma$$

and

$$f_{Y_{s:m}}^*(L_{HPD}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) - f_{Y_{s:m}}^*(U_{HPD}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = 0,$$

where  $L_{HPD}$  and  $U_{HPD}$  denote the lower and upper bounds of the HPD interval, respectively.

# **5** Examples

In this section, we apply the procedure derived in the preceding sections for the the exponential and Pareto distributions as special cases from the general exponential form given in (1).

## 5.1 The Exponential Distribution

The survival function of the exponential distribution is

$$\bar{F}(x|\lambda) = \exp[-\lambda x], \quad x > 0, \tag{37}$$

where  $\lambda > 0$ , and then we have

$$\psi(x;\lambda) = \lambda x$$
 and  $\psi'(x;\lambda) = \lambda$ .

Thus, the likelihood function of  $\lambda$  using the unified hybrid censored sample, is given by

$$L(\lambda;\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{n!}{(n-D)!} \lambda^{D} \exp\left[-\lambda \left(\sum_{i=1}^{D} x_{i} + (n-D)T\right)\right],$$
(38)

where *D* and *T* are given as in (4). So, the ML estimator of  $\lambda$  is

$$\widehat{\lambda}_{ML} = \frac{D}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{D} x_i + (n-D)T}$$

For the Bayesian inference, we use the conjugate gamma prior for  $\lambda$  with density function

$$\pi(\lambda;\delta) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \lambda^{a-1} \exp[-b\lambda], \quad \lambda > 0,$$
(39)

where  $\delta = (a, b)$ , a and b are positive constants and  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  denotes the complete gamma function, and so we have

$$A(\lambda; \delta) = \lambda^{a-1}$$
 and  $B(\lambda; \delta) = \lambda b$ .

Then, the posterior density function is given as in (8) where

$$\eta(\lambda; \underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \lambda^{D+a-1}, \quad \zeta(\lambda; \underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \lambda \left[\sum_{i=1}^{D} x_i + (n-D)T + b\right]$$

and

$$I = \Gamma(D+a) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{D} x_i + (n-D)T + b \right]^{-(D+a)}$$

Therefor, the Bayesian estimator of  $\lambda$  under the squared error loss function is

$$\widehat{\lambda}_B = \frac{D+a}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{D} x_i + (n-D)T + b}.$$
(40)

#### 5.1.1 One-sample Bayesian prediction

 $f_2^*(x_s|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = I^-$ 

The Bayesian predictive density function of  $X_{s:n}$ , given the unified hybrid censored sample, in this case is given as in (19), where

$$f_{1}^{*}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = I^{-1} \sum_{d_{1}=r}^{s-1} \sum_{w=0}^{s-d_{1}-1} C_{1w} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{d_{1}+a} \phi_{d_{1}}(T_{1},\lambda)$$

$$\times \exp\left\{-\lambda \left[\sum_{i=1}^{d_{1}} x_{i} + (n-d_{1})T_{1} + (n-s+w+1)(x_{s}-T_{1}) + b\right]\right\} d\lambda,$$
(41)

with

$$\phi_{d_1}(T_1,\lambda) = \frac{\binom{n}{d_1} \exp[-(n-d_1)\lambda T_1](1-\exp[-\lambda T_1])^{d_1}}{\sum\limits_{d_1=r}^{s-1} \binom{n}{d_1} \exp[-(n-d_1)\lambda T_1](1-\exp[-\lambda T_1])^{d_1}},$$

$${}^{1}\Gamma(r+a+1) \sum\limits_{w=0}^{s-r-1} C_{2w} \left[\sum\limits_{i=1}^r x_i + (n-r)x_r + (n-s+w+1)(x_s-x_r) + b\right]^{-(r+a+1)}, \quad (42)$$

$$f_{3}^{*}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = I^{-1} \sum_{d_{2}=k}^{r^{*}-1} \sum_{w=0}^{s-d_{2}-1} C_{3w} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{d_{2}+a} \gamma_{d_{2}}(T_{2},\lambda)$$

$$\times \exp\left\{-\lambda \left[\sum_{i=1}^{d_{2}} x_{i} + (n-d_{2})T_{2} + (n-s+w+1)(x_{s}-T_{2}) + b\right]\right\} d\lambda,$$
(43)

with

$$\gamma_{d_2}(T_2,\lambda) = \frac{\binom{n}{d_2} \exp[-(n-d_2)\lambda T_2](1-\exp[-\lambda T_2])^{d_2}}{\sum\limits_{d_2=k}^{r^*-1} \binom{n}{d_2} \exp[-(n-d_2)\lambda T_2](1-\exp[-\lambda T_2])^{d_2}},$$

and

$$f_4^*(x_s|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = I^{-1}\Gamma(k+a+1) \sum_{w=0}^{s-k-1} C_{4w} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k x_i + (n-r)x_k + (n-s+w+1)(x_s-x_k) + b \right]^{-(k+a+1)}.$$
(44)

The Bayesian predictive survival function of  $X_{s:n}$ , given the unified hybrid censored sample, is then given as in (24), where

$$\bar{F}_{1}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = I^{-1} \sum_{d_{1}=r}^{s-1} \sum_{w=0}^{s-d_{1}-1} \frac{C_{1w}}{(n-s+w+1)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{d_{1}+a} \phi_{d}(T_{1},\lambda) \\ \times \exp\left\{-\lambda \left[\sum_{i=1}^{d_{1}} x_{i} + (n-d_{1})T_{1} + (n-s+w+1)(t-T_{1}) + b\right]\right\} d\lambda,$$
(45)

$$\bar{F}_{2}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = I^{-1}\Gamma(r+a) \sum_{w=0}^{s-r-1} \frac{C_{2w}}{(n-s+w+1)} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i} + (n-r)x_{r} + (n-s+w+1)(t-x_{r}) + b \right]^{-(r+a)},$$
(46)

$$\bar{F}_{3}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = I^{-1} \sum_{d_{2}=k}^{r^{*}-1} \sum_{w=0}^{s-d_{2}-1} \frac{C_{3w}}{(n-s+w+1)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{d_{2}+a} \gamma_{d}(T_{2},\lambda) \times \exp\left\{-\lambda \left[\sum_{i=1}^{d_{2}} x_{i} + (n-d_{2}) T_{2} + (n-s+w+1)(t-T_{2}) + b\right]\right\} d\lambda,$$
(47)

and

$$\bar{F}_{4}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = I^{-1}\Gamma(k+a) \sum_{w=0}^{s-k-1} \frac{C_{4w}}{(n-s+w+1)} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i + (n-r)x_k + (n-s+w+1)(t-x_k) + b \right]^{-(k+a)}.$$
(48)

#### 5.1.2 Two-sample Bayesian prediction

The Bayesian predictive density function of  $Y_{s:m}$ , given the unified hybrid censored sample, is given by

$$f_{Y_{s:m}}^{*}(y_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = I^{-1}\Gamma(D+a+1)\sum_{w=0}^{s-1}C_{5w}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{D}x_{i}+(n-D)T+(m-s+w+1)y_{s}+b\right]^{-(D+a+1)},$$
(49)

and the Bayesian predictive survival function of  $Y_{s:m}$ , given the unified hybrid censored sample, is then given by

$$\bar{F}_{Y_{s:m}}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = I^{-1}\Gamma(D+a)\sum_{w=0}^{s-1} \frac{C_{5w}}{(m-s+w+1)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{D} x_i + (n-D)T + (m-s+w+1)t + b\right]^{-(D+a)}$$
(50)

## 5.2 The Pareto distribution

The survival function for Pareto distribution is given by

$$\bar{F}(x|\alpha,\beta) = \left(\frac{\beta}{x}\right)^{\alpha}, x \ge \beta,$$
(51)



where  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ , and so we have

$$\Psi(x; \alpha, \beta) = \alpha \log\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right) \text{ and } \Psi'(x; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\alpha}{x}.$$

Thus, the likelihood function of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  using the unified hybrid censored sample, is

$$L(\alpha,\beta;\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{n!}{(n-D)!} \alpha^D \left(\prod_{i=1}^D \frac{1}{x_i}\right) \exp\left\{-\alpha \left[\sum_{i=1}^D \log x_i + (n-D)\log T - n\log\beta\right]\right\},\tag{52}$$

where D and T are given as in (4).

It is clear that the likelihood function is monotone increasing function in  $\beta$ , so its maximum value  $\hat{\beta}_{ML}$  will be attained at the minimum value  $x_1$  of  $\beta$ . So, the ML estimator of  $\alpha$  is obtained as

$$\widehat{\alpha}_{ML} = \frac{D}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{D} \log x_i + (n-D)\log T - n\log x_1}.$$
(53)

For the Bayesian estimation and prediction, we consider here the joint prior density function of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  which was suggested by Lwin in [21] and generalized by Arnold and Press in [22], and given by

$$\pi(\alpha,\beta;\delta) \propto \alpha^{a}\beta^{-1}\exp[-\alpha(\log c - b\log\beta)], \ \alpha > 0, \ 0 < \beta < d,$$
(54)

where a, b, c, d are positive constants and  $d^b < c$ . Then, we have

$$A(\alpha,\beta;\delta) = \alpha^a \beta^{-1}$$
 and  $B(\alpha,\beta;\delta) = \alpha(\log c - b \log \beta)$ 

where  $\delta = (a, b, c, d)$ . The posterior density function of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is then given by (8), where

$$\eta(\alpha,\beta;\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \alpha^{D+a}\beta^{-1},$$
$$\zeta(\alpha,\beta;\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \alpha \left[\sum_{i=1}^{D} \log x_i + (n-D)\log T - (n+b)\log\beta + \log c\right],$$

and

$$I = \frac{\Gamma(D+a)}{n+b} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{D} \log x_i + (n-D) \log T - (n+b) \log x_0 + \log c \right]^{-(D+a)}$$

with  $x_0 = \min(x_1, d)$ .

Hence, under the squared error loss function, the Bayesian estimator of  $\alpha$  is obtained as

$$\widehat{\alpha}_{B} = \frac{D+a}{\sum_{i=1}^{D} \log x_{i} + (n-D)\log T - (n+b)\log x_{0} + \log c},$$
(55)

and the Bayesian estimator of  $\beta$  is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\beta}_{B} &= I^{-1} x_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{D+a}}{\alpha (n+b)+1} \exp\left\{-\alpha \left[\sum_{i=1}^{D} \log x_{i} + (n-D) \log T - (n+b) \log x_{0} + \log c\right]\right\} d\alpha \\ &= \frac{I^{-1} x_{0}}{(n+b)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{D} \log x_{i} + (n-D) \log T - (n+b) \log x_{0} + \log c\right]^{-(D+a)} \\ &\times \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{D+a} e^{-t}}{t + \left[\sum_{i=1}^{D} \log x_{i} + (n-D) \log T - (n+b) \log x_{0} + \log c\right] / (n+b)} dt \\ &= \frac{x_{0}}{\Gamma(m+a)} \Phi\left(D + a, \frac{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{D} \log x_{i} + (n-D) \log T - (n+b) \log x_{0} + \log c\right]}{(n+b)}\right), \end{aligned}$$
(56)

where

$$\Phi(x,y) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{x}e^{-t}}{t+y} dt.$$

A partial tabulation of  $\psi(x,y) = (y/\Gamma(x))\Phi(x-1,y)$  has been provided by Arnold and Press in [22].

#### 5.2.1 One-sample Bayesian prediction

The Bayesian predictive density function of  $X_{s:n}$ , given the unified hybrid censored sample, is given as in (19), where

$$f_{1}^{*}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_{d_{1}=r}^{s-1} \sum_{w=0}^{s-d_{1}-1} \frac{C_{1w}}{x_{s}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha^{d_{1}+a+1} \beta^{-1} \phi_{d_{1}}(T_{1};\alpha,\beta)$$

$$\times \exp\left\{-\alpha \left[\sum_{i=1}^{d_{1}} \log x_{i} + (n-d_{1}) \log T_{1} - (n+b) \log \beta + (n-s+w+1)(\log x_{s} - \log T_{1}) + \log c\right]\right\} d\alpha d\beta,$$
(57)

with

$$\phi_{d_1}(T_1; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\binom{n}{d_1} (\beta/T_1)^{(n-d_1)\alpha} (1 - \beta/T_1)^{d_1}}{\sum\limits_{d_1=r}^{s-1} \binom{n}{d_1} (\beta/T_1)^{(n-d_1)\alpha} (1 - \beta/T_1)^{d_1}},$$

$$f_{2}^{*}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{I^{-1}\Gamma(r+a+1)}{n+b} \sum_{w=0}^{s-r-1} \frac{C_{2w}}{x_{s}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{r} \log x_{i} + (n-r)\log x_{r} - (n+b)\log x_{0} + (n-s+w+1)(\log x_{s} - \log x_{r}) + \log c \right]^{-(r+a+1)},$$
(58)

$$f_{3}^{*}(x_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_{d_{2}=k}^{r^{*}-1} \sum_{w=0}^{s-d_{2}-1} \frac{C_{3w}}{x_{s}} \int_{0}^{x_{0}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha^{d_{2}+a+1} \beta^{-1} \gamma_{d_{2}}(T_{2};\alpha,\beta) \\ \times \exp\left\{-\alpha \left[\sum_{i=1}^{d_{2}} \log x_{i} + (n-d_{2})\log T_{2} - (n+b)\log\beta + (n-s+w+1)(\log x_{s} - \log T_{2}) + \log c\right]\right\} d\alpha d\beta,$$
(59)

with

$$\gamma_{d_2}(T_2; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\binom{n}{d_2} (\beta/T_2)^{(n-d_2)\alpha} (1-\beta/T_2)^{d_2}}{\sum\limits_{d_2=k}^{r^*-1} \binom{n}{d_2} (\beta/T_2)^{(n-d_2)\alpha} (1-\beta/T_2)^{d_2}},$$

and

$$f_4^*(x_s|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{I^{-1}\Gamma(k+a+1)}{n+b} \sum_{w=0}^{s-k-1} \frac{C_{4w}}{x_s} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k \log x_i + (n-k)\log x_k - (n+b)\log x_0 + (n-s+w+1)(\log x_s - \log x_k) + \log c \right]^{-(k+a+1)}.$$
(60)

Therefore, the Bayesian predictive survival function of  $X_{s:n}$ , given the unified hybrid censored sample, is given as in (24), where

$$\bar{F}_{1}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_{d_{1}=r}^{s-1} \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} \frac{C_{1w}}{n-s+w+1} \int_{0}^{x_{0}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha^{d_{1}+a} \beta^{-1} \phi_{d_{1}}(T_{1};\alpha,\beta)$$

$$\times \exp\left\{-\alpha \left[\sum_{i=1}^{d_{1}} \log x_{i} + (n-d_{1}) \log T_{1} - (n+b) \log \beta + (n-s+w+1)(\log t - \log T_{1}) + \log c\right]\right\} d\alpha d\beta,$$
(61)



$$\bar{F}_{2}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{I^{-1}\Gamma(r+a)}{n+b} \sum_{w=0}^{s-r-1} \frac{C_{2w}}{n-s+w+1} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{r} \log x_{i} + (n-r)\log x_{r} - (n+b)\log x_{0} + (n-s+w+1)(\log t - \log x_{r}) + \log c \right]^{-(r+a)},$$
(62)

$$\bar{F}_{3}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_{d_{2}=k}^{r^{*}-1} \sum_{w=0}^{s-d_{2}-1} \frac{C_{3w}}{n-s+w+1} \int_{0}^{x_{0}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha^{d_{2}+a} \beta^{-1} \gamma_{d_{2}}(T_{2};\alpha,\beta) \\ \times \exp\left\{-\alpha \left[\sum_{i=1}^{d_{2}} \log x_{i} + (n-d_{2}) \log T_{2} - (n+b) \log \beta + (n-s+w+1)(\log t - \log T_{2}) + \log c\right]\right\} d\alpha d\beta,$$
(63)

and

$$\bar{F}_{4}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{I^{-1}\Gamma(k+a)}{n+b} \sum_{w=0}^{s-k-1} \frac{C_{4w}}{n-s+w+1} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \log x_{i} + (n-k) \log x_{k} - (n+b) \log x_{0} + (n-s+w+1) (\log t - \log x_{k}) + \log c \right]^{-(k+a+1)}.$$
(64)

## 5.2.2 Two-sample Bayesian prediction

The Bayesian predictive density function of  $Y_{s:m}$ , given the unified hybrid censored sample, is then given by

$$f_{Y_{s:m}}^{*}(y_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \begin{cases} f_{1Y_{s:m}}^{*}(y_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}), & 0 < y_{s} \le x_{0}, \\ f_{2Y_{s:m}}^{*}(y_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}), & y_{s} > x_{0}, \end{cases}$$
(65)

where

$$f_{1Y_{s:m}}^{*}(y_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \int_{0}^{y_{s}} \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{Y_{s:m}}(y_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) \pi^{*}(\alpha, \sigma|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) d\alpha d\beta$$
  
=  $I^{-1}\Gamma(D+a+1) \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} \frac{C_{5w}y_{s}^{-1}}{n+b+m-s+w+1}$   
 $\times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{D} \log x_{i} + (n-D) \log T - (n+b) \log y_{s} + \log c \right]^{-(D+a+1)}$ 

and

.

$$f_{2Y_{s:m}}^{*}(y_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \int_{0}^{x_{0}} \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{Y_{s:m}}(y_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) \pi^{*}(\alpha,\beta|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) d\alpha d\beta$$
  
=  $I^{-1}\Gamma(D+a+1) \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} \frac{C_{5w}y_{s}^{-1}}{n+b+m-s+w+1} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{D} \log x_{i} + (n-D) \log T -(n+b+m-s+w+1) \log x_{0} + (m-s+w+1) \log y_{s} + \log c \right]^{-(D+a+1)}.$ 

Therefore, we can obtain the predictive survival function of  $Y_{s:m}$ , given the unified hybrid censored sample, as

$$\bar{F}_{Y_{s:m}}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \begin{cases} \bar{F}_{1Y_{s:m}}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}), & 0 < t \le x_{0}, \\ \bar{F}_{2Y_{s:m}}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}), & t > x_{0}, \end{cases}$$
(66)

where

$$\bar{F}_{1Y_{s;m}}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \int_{t}^{x_{0}} f_{1Y_{s;m}}^{*}(y_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}})dy_{s} + \int_{x_{0}}^{\infty} f_{2Y_{s;m}}^{*}(y_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}})dy_{s} \\
= I^{-1}\Gamma(D+a) \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} \frac{C_{5w}}{(n+b+m-s+w+1)(n+b)(m-s+w+1)} \\
\times \left\{ (n+b+m-s+w+1) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{D} \log x_{i} + (n-D)\log T - (n+b)\log x_{0} + \log c \right]^{-(D+a)} \\
- (m-s+w+1) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{D} \log x_{i} + (n-D)\log T - (n+b)\log t + \log c \right]^{-(D+a)} \right\},$$
(67)

and

$$\bar{F}_{2Y_{s:m}}^{*}(t|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \int_{t}^{\infty} f_{2Y_{s:m}}^{*}(y_{s}|\underline{\mathbf{x}}) dy_{s}$$

$$= I^{-1} \Gamma(D+a) \sum_{w=0}^{s-1} \frac{C_{5w}}{(n+b+m-s+w+1)(m-s+w+1)} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{D} \log x_{i} + (n-D) \log T - (n+b+m-s+w+1) \log x_{0} + (m-s+w+1) \log t + \log c \right]^{-(D+a)}.$$
(68)

## **6** Numerical Results

In this section, we present two numerical examples to illustrate the inferential procedures developed in the preceding sections.

#### 6.1 Numerical example for the exponential distribution

In order to illustrate all the inferential results established for the exponential distribution, we consider here the following real data: 0.19, 0.78, 0.96, 1.31, 2.78, 3.16, 4.15, 4.67, 4.85, 6.50, 7.35, 8.01, 8.27, 12.06, 31.75, 32.52, 33.91, 36.71 and 72.89, which consisting of the times to breakdown on insulating fluids tested at 34 kilovolts produced by Viveros and Balakrishnan in [23] (1994) from Table 6.1 of ([24], p.228). We assume the exponential distribution for these times to breakdown and consider the following four unified hybrid censoring schemes:

- 1.Scheme 1: Suppose k = 4, r = 6,  $T_1 = 4$  and  $T_2 = 8$ , then  $x_{4:19} < x_{6:19} < T_1$  and the experiment would have terminated at  $T_1 = 4$ . Therefore, we would have the following data: 0.19, 0.78, 0.96, 1.31, 2.78 and 3.16;
- 2.Scheme 2: Suppose k = 6, r = 9,  $T_1 = 4$  and  $T_2 = 8$ , then  $x_{6:19} < T_1 < x_{9:19} < T_2$  and the experiment would have terminated at  $x_{9:20} = 4.85$ . Therefore, we would have the following data: 0.19, 0.78, 0.96, 1.31, 2.78, 3.16, 4.15, 4.67 and 4.85;
- 3.Scheme 3: Suppose k = 6, r = 12,  $T_1 = 4$  and  $T_2 = 8$ , then  $x_{6:19} < T_1 < T_2 < x_{12:19}$  and the experiment would have terminated at  $T_2 = 8$ . Therefore, we would have the following data: 0.19, 0.78, 0.96, 1.31, 2.78, 3.16, 4.15, 4.67, 4.85, 6.5 and 7.35;
- 4.Scheme 4: Suppose k = 14, r = 15,  $T_1 = 4$  and  $T_2 = 8$ , then  $T_1 < T_2 < x_{14,19} < x_{15,19}$  and the experiment would have terminated at  $x_{14:19} = 12.06$ . Therefore, we would have the following data: 0.19, 0.78, 0.96, 1.31, 2.78, 3.16, 4.15, 4.67, 4.85, 6.50, 7.35, 8.01, 8.27 and 12.06.

Based on the above four unified hybrid censoring schemes, we used the results presented in Subsection 5.1 to calculate the ML and Bayesian estimates of the unknown parameter  $\lambda$ . Also, we calculated the point predictor and 95% two-sided ET and HPD prediction intervals for the future order statistics  $X_{s,19}$ , where  $15 \le s \le 19$ , from the same sample and that for the order statistics  $Y_{s,10}$ , where  $1 \le s \le 10$ , from a future unobserved sample with size m = 10. All obtained results for the Bayesian estimation and prediction, presented in Tables 1-3, are computed based on two different choices of the hyperparameters a and b, namely,

(67)

**Table 1:** The ML and Bayesian estimates of  $\lambda$ .

	$\hat{\lambda}_{ML}$	$\hat{\lambda}_B$		
		GP	JP	
Scheme1	0.0981	0.0857	0.0817	
Scheme2	0.1261	0.1119	0.1121	
Scheme3	0.1092	0.1003	0.0993	
Scheme4	0.1117	0.1042	0.1037	

**Table 2:** Bayesian point predictor and 95% ET and HPD prediction intervals for  $X_{s:19}$  for s = 15, ..., 19.

		GP			J	Р	
Scheme	S	$X_{s:19}$	ET interval	HPD interval	$\widehat{X}_{s:19}$	ET interval	HPD interval
Scheme1	15	19.308	(8.529,43.908)	(6.851,37.456)	20.776	(8.577,50.829)	(6.705,42.346)
	16	22.797	(2.128,52.681)	(7.657,44.848)	24.599	(9.754,61.165)	(7.471,50.848)
	17	27.449	(11.203,64.626)	(8.670,54.863)	29.698	(11.267,75.199)	(8.434,62.345)
	18	34.428	(1.546,83.231)	(10.023,70.323)	37.345	(13.383,96.950)	(9.723,80.031)
	19	48.385	(16.853,123.775)	(12.055,103.324)	52.640	(16.980,143.852)	(11.672,117.498)
Scheme2	15	13.343	(7.242,25.941)	(6.318,22.972)	13.469	(7.201,26.873)	(6.248,23.591)
	16	15.854	(8.163,31.623)	(6.989,27.939)	16.018	(8.102,32.833)	(6.888,28.746)
	17	19.201	(9.356,39.387)	(7.848,34.679)	19.415	(9.270,40.966)	(7.707,35.735)
	18	24.223	(11.028,51.585)	(9.003,45.138)	24.512	(10.907,53.706)	(8.810,46.561)
	19	34.266	(13.841,78.677)	(10.720,67.758)	34.705	(13.666,81.838)	(10.456,69.879)
Scheme3	15	14.955	(9.576,26.072)	(8.796,23.453)	15.100	(9.579,26.759)	(8.778,23.947)
	16	17.695	(10.550, 32.106)	(7.087,28.285)	17.897	(10.552,33.054)	(9.457,29.490)
	17	21.348	(11.850,40.327)	(10.425,36.033)	21.626	(11.848,41.623)	(10.374,36.974)
	18	26.828	(13.697,53.257)	(11.715,47.244)	27.221	(13.690,55.076)	(11.638,48.571)
	19	37.789	(16.823,82.156)	(13.647,71.579)	38.410	(16.810,85.023)	(13.538,73.670)
Scheme4	15	14.126	(12.109,20.154)	(12.060,18.468)	14.149	(12.109,20.285)	(12.060,18.557)
	16	16.709	(12.567,26.300)	(12.122,23.839)	16.760	(12.568,26.565)	(12.121,24.025)
	17	20.153	(13.514,34.158)	(12.610,30.805)	20.242	(13.515,34.602)	(12.600,31.122)
	18	25.318	(15.071,46.263)	(13.594,41.415)	25.464	(15.070,46.978)	(13.571,41.929)
	19	35.650	(17.876,73.194)	(10.136,63.163)	35.909	(17.870,74.452)	(10.166,64.086)

1.: a = 0.1 and b = 10 Gamma informative prior (*GP*) by letting the mean of the prior distribution of  $\lambda$  is 0.01 and its variance is 0.001).

2.: a = 0 and b = 0 Jeffreys non-informative prior (JP).

From the results in Tables 5 and 6, we notice that, The point predictor of mean is between the upper and lower bounds of the prediction intervals. Also, a comparison of the results for the Gamma informative prior with the corresponding ones for Jeffreys non-informative prior reveals that the former produce more precise results, as we would expect. Moreover, the HPD prediction intervals seem to be more precise than the ET prediction intervals, Finally when we use the same value of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  but increasing k and r, the Bayesian prediction bounds become tighter as expected since the duration of the life-testing experiment is longer in this case.

### 6.2 Numerical example for the Pareto distribution

In order to illustrate all the inferential results established for the Pareto distribution, we generated order statistics from a sample of size n = 20 from the Pareto distribution with  $\alpha = 5$  and  $\beta = 7$ . The generated order statistics as follows: 7.032, 7.159, 7.307, 7.340, 7.583, 7.718, 7.742, 7.744, 7.785, 7.826, 7.903, 8.874, 9.100, 9.141, 9.237, 9.417, 9.660, 10.132, 12.499 and 21.590. We will apply the following four unified hybrid censoring schemes:

1.Scheme 1: Suppose k = 2, r = 4,  $T_1 = 7.650$  and  $T_2 = 7.800$ , then  $x_{2:20} < x_{4:20} < T_1$  and the experiment would have terminated at  $T_1 = 7.65$ . Therefore, we would have the following data: 7.032, 7.159, 7.307, 7.340, and 7.583;

		IP			NIP		
Scheme	S	$\widehat{Y}_{s:10}$	ET interval	HPD interval	$\widehat{Y}_{s:10}$	ET interval	HPD interval
Scheme1	1	1.396	(0.030,5.913)	(0.000,4.514)	1.530	(0.031,6.676)	(0.000,5.020)
	2	2.946	(0.281,10.093)	(0.023,8.002)	3.229	(0.292,11.550)	(0.020,8.999)
	3	4.691	(0.729,14.546)	(0.213,11.774)	5.141	(0.751,16.776)	(0.198,13.318)
	4	6.685	(1.325,19.548)	(0.560,16.024)	7.326	(1.356,22.665)	(0.526,18.194)
	5	9.011	(2.063,25.363)	(1.031,20.954)	9.875	(2.103,29.516)	(0.974,23.860)
	6	11.802	(2.967, 32.370)	(1.629,26.878)	12.934	(3.016,37.769)	(1.542,30.668)
	7	15.292	(4.092,41.223)	(2.378,34.335)	16.758	(4.151,48.185)	(2.254,39.234)
	8	19.944	(5.550,53.264)	(3.337,44.423)	21.856	(5.621,62.318)	(3.167,50.804)
	9	26.922	(7.597,72.012)	(4.631,59.984)	29.504	(7.687,84.219)	(4.400,68.593)
	10	40.879	(11.065,112.863)	(6.580,93.191)	44.799	(11.202,131.456)	(6.268,106.278)
Scheme2	1	1.004	(0.023, 4.066)	(0.000,3.171)	1.019	(0.023,4.180)	(0.000,3.241)
	2	2.120	(0.219,6.796)	(0.023,5.527)	2.152	(0.218,7.028)	(0.021,5.676)
	3	3.376	(0.576, 9.669)	(0.198,8.059)	3.426	(0.570,10.036)	(0.187,8.297)
	4	4.810	(1.055,12.880)	(0.510,10.896)	4.882	(1.041,13.404)	(0.484,11.239)
	5	6.484	(1.655,16.604)	(0.932,14.180)	6.581	(1.629,17.313)	(0.887, 14.648)
	6	8.493	(2.392,21.091)	(1.468,18.122)	8.619	(2.351,22.023)	(1.398,18.741)
	7	11.004	(3.313,26.773)	(2.139,23.089)	11.168	(3.252,27.983)	(2.038,23.896)
	8	14.351	(4.506,34.537)	(2.998,29.829)	14.565	(4.420,36.116)	(2.857,30.885)
	9	19.373	(6.178,46.735)	(4.153,40.288)	19.662	(6.057,48.856)	(3.960,41.711)
	10	29.416	(8.991,73.827)	(5.870,62.908)	29.855	(8.816,76.988)	(5.606,65.029)
Scheme3	1	1.096	(0.025, 4.364)	(0.000,3.430)	1.119	(0.026,4.492)	(0.000,3.517)
	2	2.314	(0.246,7.236)	(0.028,5.939)	2.362	(0.248, 7.479)	(0.027,6.110)
	3	3.684	(0.650,10.242)	(0.237,8.628)	3.761	(0.653,10.614)	(0.231,8.893)
	4	5.250	(1.196,13.594)	(0.607,11.636)	5.359	(1.198,14.113)	(0.593,12.009)
	5	7.076	(1.880,17.477)	(1.108,15.113)	7.224	(1.881,18.169)	(1.084,15.613)
	6	9.268	(2.724,22.156)	(1.743,19.284)	9.462	(2.722,23.056)	(1.706,19.938)
	7	12.009	(3.779,28.086)	(2.540,24.542)	12.259	(3.773,29.248)	(2.485,25.389)
	8	15.662	(5.147,36.208)	(3.558,31.684)	15.989	(5.135,37.718)	(3.482,32.789)
	9	21.142	(7.062,49.018)	(4.924, 42.799)	21.583	(7.043,51.051)	(4.822,44.289)
	10	32.103	(10.273,77.712)	(6.943,66.981)	32.772	(10.248,80.785)	(6.807,69.232)
C 1 4	1	1.022	(0.024.4.047)	(0,000,2,004)	1.045	(0.024.4.112)	(0,000,2,2,48)
Scheme4	1	1.033	(0.024, 4.047)	(0.000, 3.204)	1.045	(0.024, 4.113)	(0.000, 3.248)
	2	2.181	(0.239, 6.658)	(0.030, 5.513)	2.205	(0.239, 6.782)	(0.029, 5.601)
	3	3.472	(0.033, 9.377)	(0.245, 7.981)	5.511	(0.034, 9.307)	(0.241, 8.117)
	4	4.948	(1.169,12.399)	(0.624, 10.735)	5.003	(1.168, 12.666)	(0.614, 10.927)
	5	0.6/0	(1.843,15.896)	(1.130, 13.914)	6.744	(1.840,16.253)	(1.119, 14.172)
	6	8.737	(2.677, 20.109)	(1.786, 17.724)	8.833	(2.6/1,20.575)	(1.759, 18.063)
	/	11.319	(5.720,25.454)	(2.601, 22.529)	11.444	(3./10,26.056)	(2.562, 22.969)
	8	14./63	(5.0/4, 32.791)	(3.642,29.066)	14.926	(5.058,33.574)	(3.588,29.640)
	9	19.929	(6.968,44.418)	(5.036,39.269)	20.148	(6.944,45.470)	(4.962,40.042)
	10	30.260	(10.133,70.698)	(7.082,61.608)	30.593	(10.099,72.278)	(6.984,62.770)

- 2.Scheme 2: Suppose k = 4, r = 7,  $T_1 = 7.650$  and  $T_2 = 7.800$ , then  $x_{4:20} < T_1 < x_{7:20} < T_2$  and the experiment would have terminated at  $x_{7:20} = 45$ . Therefore, we would have the following data: 7.032, 7.159, 7.307, 7.340, 7.583, 7.718, and 7.742;
- 3.Scheme 3: Suppose k = 6, r = 12,  $T_1 = 7.650$  and  $T_2 = 7.800$ , then  $T_1 < x_{6:20} < T_2 < x_{14:20}$  and the experiment would have terminated at  $T_2 = 7.800$ . Therefore, we would have the following data: 7.032, 7.159, 7.307, 7.340, 7.583, 7.718, 7.742, and 7.744;
- 4.Scheme 4: Suppose k = 11, r = 13,  $T_1 = 7.650$  and  $T_2 = 7.800$ , then  $T_1 < T_2 < x_{11:20} < x_{13:20}$  and the experiment would have terminated at  $x_{11:20} = 7.903$ . Therefore, we would have the following data: 7.032, 7.159, 7.307, 7.340, 7.583, 7.718, 7.742, 7.744, 7.785, 7.826, and 7.903.

**Table 4:** The ML and Bayesian estimates of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

				,	
$\hat{lpha}_{ML}$	â	$B_B$	$\hat{eta}_{ML}$	β	В
	IP	NIP		IP	NIP
3.476	3.837	2.780	7.032	6.931	6.869
4.335	4.387	3.716	-	6.945	6.921
5.286	5.033	4.698	-	6.958	6.947
5.988	5.536	5.444	-	6.965	6.961
	$\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$ 3.476 4.335 5.286 5.988	$\begin{array}{c c} \hat{\alpha}_{ML} & \hat{\alpha} \\ \hline IP \\ \hline 3.476 & 3.837 \\ 4.335 & 4.387 \\ 5.286 & 5.033 \\ 5.988 & 5.536 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} \hat{\alpha}_{ML} & \hat{\alpha}_B \\ \hline IP & NIP \\ \hline 3.476 & 3.837 & 2.780 \\ \hline 4.335 & 4.387 & 3.716 \\ \hline 5.286 & 5.033 & 4.698 \\ \hline 5.988 & 5.536 & 5.444 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

**Table 5:** Bayesian point predictor and 95% ET and HPD prediction intervals for  $X_{s:20}$  for s = 16, ..., 20.

16 17	$\widehat{X}_{s:20}$ 13.265	ET interval (8.226,16.791)	HPD interval	$\widehat{X}_{s:20}$	ET	HPD
16 17	13.265	interval (8.226,16.791)	interval		interrol	
16 17	13.265	(8.226,16.791)			Interval	interval
17	14005	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(7.618,15.054)	13.379	(5.648,38.244)	(8.067,27.318)
10	14.985	(7.658,19.650)	(8.191,17.157)	15.219	(6.374,52.802)	(7.393,34.803)
18	15.096	(8.597,24.366)	(7.687,20.644)	16.609	(8.582,81.710)	(7.709,49.503)
19	21.318	(9.079,34.161)	(8.667,27.384)	22.079	(8.881,160.138)	(7.290,81.055)
20	29.648	(9.447,72.251)	(8.878,50.664)	31.679	(9.409,671.114)	(7.591,252.658)
16	10.968	(8.268,14.468)	(8.084,13.348)	11.020	(6.526,19.590)	(8.080,16.699)
17	13.451	(8.491,16.577)	(8.234,15.006)	13.689	(6.639,24.027)	(8.163,19.828)
18	14.458	(8.732,19.980)	(8.382,17.635)	15.986	(8.739,31.724)	(8.300,24.877)
19	16.008	(9.441,26.827)	(8.738,22.643)	17.761	(9.086,48.899)	(8.441,35.470)
20	22.124	(9.688,51.876)	(8.966,39.084)	23.145	(9.703,125.436)	(8.490,75.559)
16	9.615	(8.310,12.297)	(8.196,11.521)	10.539	(8.592,13.647)	(8.092,12.536)
17	10.965	(8.741,13.762)	(8.312,12.819)	11.179	(6.810,15.695)	(8.187,14.122)
18	13.984	(9.027,16.061)	(8.484,14.663)	14.484	(9.137,18.998)	(8.318,16.600)
19	15.457	(9.534,20.511)	(8.502,18.082)	16.219	(9.588,25.628)	(8.478,21.219)
20	19.968	(10.177,35.580)	(8.791,28.600)	20.989	(10.404,49.642)	(8.664,36.597)
16	9.219	(8.523,11.154)	(8.240,10.667)	10.303	(8.711,11.599)	(8.181,10.967)
17	9.456	(8.789,12.336)	(8.371,11.666)	10.693	(8.980,12.996)	(8.308,12.106)
18	11.847	(9.092,14.162)	(8.539,13.060)	13.359	(9.334,15.180)	(8.433,13.738)
19	14.959	(8.905,17.621)	(8.569,15.903)	15.725	(9.856,19.378)	(8.602,17.023)
20	18.254	(10.324,28.878)	(8.718,24.035)	19.270	(10.816,33.393)	(8.761,26.527)
	17 18 19 20 16 17 18 19 20 10 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	17       14.985       (7.658,19.650)         18       15.096       (8.597,24.366)         19       21.318       (9.079,34.161)         20       29.648       (9.447,72.251)         16       10.968       (8.268,14.468)         17       13.451       (8.491,16.577)         18       14.458       (8.732,19.980)         19       16.008       (9.441,26.827)         20       22.124       (9.688,51.876)         16       9.615       (8.310,12.297)         17       10.965       (8.741,13.762)         18       13.984       (9.027,16.061)         19       15.457       (9.534,20.511)         20       19.968       (10.177,35.580)         16       9.219       (8.523,11.154)         17       9.456       (8.789,12.336)         18       11.847       (9.092,14.162)         19       14.959       (8.905,17.621)         20       18.254       (10.324,28.878)	1714.985(7.658,19.650)(8.191,17.157)1815.096(8.597,24.366)(7.687,20.644)1921.318(9.079,34.161)(8.667,27.384)2029.648(9.447,72.251)(8.878,50.664)1610.968(8.268,14.468)(8.084,13.348)1713.451(8.491,16.577)(8.234,15.006)1814.458(8.732,19.980)(8.382,17.635)1916.008(9.441,26.827)(8.738,22.643)2022.124(9.688,51.876)(8.966,39.084)169.615(8.310,12.297)(8.196,11.521)1710.965(8.741,13.762)(8.312,12.819)1813.984(9.027,16.061)(8.484,14.663)1915.457(9.534,20.511)(8.502,18.082)2019.968(10.177,35.580)(8.791,28.600)169.219(8.523,11.154)(8.240,10.667)179.456(8.789,12.336)(8.371,11.666)1811.847(9.092,14.162)(8.539,13.060)1914.959(8.905,17.621)(8.569,15.903)2018.254(10.324,28.878)(8.718,24.035)	17 $14.985$ $(7.658, 19.650)$ $(8.191, 17.157)$ $15.219$ $18$ $15.096$ $(8.597, 24.366)$ $(7.687, 20.644)$ $16.609$ $19$ $21.318$ $(9.079, 34.161)$ $(8.667, 27.384)$ $22.079$ $20$ $29.648$ $(9.447, 72.251)$ $(8.878, 50.664)$ $31.679$ $16$ $10.968$ $(8.268, 14.468)$ $(8.084, 13.348)$ $11.020$ $17$ $13.451$ $(8.491, 16.577)$ $(8.234, 15.006)$ $13.689$ $18$ $14.458$ $(8.732, 19.980)$ $(8.382, 17.635)$ $15.986$ $19$ $16.008$ $(9.441, 26.827)$ $(8.738, 22.643)$ $17.761$ $20$ $22.124$ $(9.688, 51.876)$ $(8.966, 39.084)$ $23.145$ $16$ $9.615$ $(8.310, 12.297)$ $(8.196, 11.521)$ $10.539$ $17$ $10.965$ $(8.741, 13.762)$ $(8.312, 12.819)$ $11.179$ $18$ $13.984$ $(9.027, 16.061)$ $(8.484, 14.663)$ $14.484$ $19$ $15.457$ $(9.534, 20.511)$ $(8.502, 18.082)$ $16.219$ $20$ $19.968$ $(10.177, 35.580)$ $(8.791, 28.600)$ $20.989$ $16$ $9.219$ $(8.523, 11.154)$ $(8.240, 10.667)$ $10.303$ $17$ $9.456$ $(8.789, 12.336)$ $(8.371, 11.666)$ $10.693$ $18$ $11.847$ $(9.092, 14.162)$ $(8.59, 15.903)$ $15.725$ $20$ $18.254$ $(10.324, 28.878)$ $(8.718, 24.035)$ $19.270$	17 $14.985$ $(7.658, 19.650)$ $(8.191, 17.157)$ $15.219$ $(6.374, 52.802)$ $18$ $15.096$ $(8.597, 24.366)$ $(7.687, 20.644)$ $16.609$ $(8.582, 81.710)$ $19$ $21.318$ $(9.079, 34.161)$ $(8.667, 27.384)$ $22.079$ $(8.881, 160.138)$ $20$ $29.648$ $(9.447, 72.251)$ $(8.878, 50.664)$ $31.679$ $(9.409, 671.114)$ $16$ $10.968$ $(8.268, 14.468)$ $(8.084, 13.348)$ $11.020$ $(6.526, 19.590)$ $17$ $13.451$ $(8.491, 16.577)$ $(8.234, 15.006)$ $13.689$ $(6.639, 24.027)$ $18$ $14.458$ $(8.732, 19.980)$ $(8.382, 17.635)$ $15.986$ $(8.739, 31.724)$ $19$ $16.008$ $(9.441, 26.827)$ $(8.738, 22.643)$ $17.761$ $(9.086, 48.899)$ $20$ $22.124$ $(9.688, 51.876)$ $(8.966, 39.084)$ $23.145$ $(9.703, 125.436)$ $16$ $9.615$ $(8.310, 12.297)$ $(8.196, 11.521)$ $10.539$ $(8.592, 13.647)$ $17$ $10.965$ $(8.741, 13.762)$ $(8.312, 12.819)$ $11.179$ $(6.810, 15.695)$ $18$ $13.984$ $(9.027, 16.061)$ $(8.484, 14.663)$ $14.484$ $(9.137, 18.998)$ $19$ $15.457$ $(9.534, 20.511)$ $(8.502, 18.082)$ $16.219$ $(9.588, 25.628)$ $20$ $19.968$ $(10.177, 35.580)$ $(8.771, 11.666)$ $10.693$ $(8.980, 12.996)$ $16$ $9.219$ $(8.523, 11.154)$ $(8.240, 10.667)$ $10.303$ $(8.711, 11.599)$ $17$ $9.4$

Based on the above four unified hybrid censoring schemes, we used the results presented in Subsection 5.2 to calculate the ML and Bayesian estimates of the unknown parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Also, we calculate the point predictor and 95% ET and HPD prediction intervals for the future order statistics  $X_{s:20}$ , where  $16 \le s \le 20$ , from the same sample and that for the order statistics  $Y_{s:10}$ , where  $1 \le s \le 10$ , from a future unobserved sample with size m = 10. All obtained results for the Bayesian estimation and prediction, presented in Tables 4-6, are computed based on two different choices of the hyperparameters (a, b, c, d), namely,

1.: a = 3.57, b = 0.20, c = 3.27 and d = 10.83: informative prior (*IP*) (by letting the mean of the marginal prior distribution of  $\alpha$  is 5 and its variance is 7, and the median of the marginal prior distribution of  $\beta$  is 0.5 and its third quartile is 0.25).

2.: a = -1, b = 0, c = 1 and  $d = \infty$ : noninformative prior (*NIP*).

From the results in Tables 5 and 6, we notice that, The point predictor of mean is between the upper and lower bounds of the prediction intervals. Also, a comparison of the results for the informative prior with the corresponding ones for non-informative prior reveals that the former produce more precise results, as we would expect. Moreover, the HPD prediction intervals seem to be more precise than the ET prediction intervals, Finally when we use the same value of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  but increasing k and r, the Bayesian prediction bounds become tighter as expected since the duration of the life-testing experiment is longer in this case.

			IP			NIP	
S		$\widehat{Y}_{s:10}$	ET	HPD	$\widehat{Y}_{s:10}$	) ET	HPD
			interval	interval		interval	interval
Scheme1	1	7.224	(6.586,8.444)	(6.457,8.218)	7.14	2 (6.764,7.806)	(6.703,7.709)
	2	7.671	(6.819,9.892)	(6.604,9.280)	7.38	6 (6.895,8.447)	(6.799,8.240)
	3	8.269	(7.010,11.778)	(6.750,10.606)	7.67	3 (7.018,9.187)	(6.897,8.844)
	4	9.136	(7.171,14.375)	(6.887,12.363)	8.01	8 (7.139 10.099)	(6.995,9.577)
	5	10.506	(7.343,18.158)	(7.012,14.814)	8.44	6 (7.276,11.275)	(7.092,10.508)
	6	12.880	(7.547,24.083)	(7.122,18.458)	9.00	0 (7.442,12.880)	(7.186,11.756)
	7	17.447	(7.800,34.411)	(7.219,24.394)	9.76	3 (7.649,15.249)	(7.277,13.555)
	8	27.511	(8.134,55.817)	(7.301,35.613)	10.93	(6.640,19.210)	(7.364,16.464)
	9	55.218	(8.624,117.759)	(7.367,63.752)	13.15	(8.319,27.611)	(7.447,22.301)
	10	182.722	(9.524,579.130)	(7.569,217.816)	21.64	9 (9.027,61.720)	(7.518,43.155)
Scheme2	1	7.153	(6.732,7.910)	(6.660,7.792)	7.12	5 (6.803,7.678)	(6.755,7.602)
	2	7.423	(6.882, 8.687)	(6.764,8.411)	7.33	1 (6.913,8.193)	(6.837,8.037)
	3	7.744	(7.016,9.613)	(6.869,9.132)	7.57	2 (7.020,8.775)	(6.923, 8.523)
	4	8.136	(7.139,10.781)	(6.971,10.024)	7.85	8 (7.127,9.476)	(7.010,9.102)
	5	8.632	(7.275, 12.328)	(7.067,11.182)	8.20	9 (7.248,10.363)	(7.097,9.826)
	6	9.293	(7.438,14.497)	(7.157,12.766)	8.65	7 (7.396,11.546)	(7.184,10.778)
	7	10.253	(7.640,17.799)	(7.240,15.103)	9.26	0 (7.581,13.243)	(7.270, 12.118)
	8	11.869	(7.905,23.541)	(7.316,18.988)	10.15	(7.825,15.984)	(7.354,14.225)
	9	15.554	(8.290,36.395)	(7.383,27.086)	11.74	2 (8.176,21.505)	(7.436,18.281)
	10	34.592	(8.981,94.068)	(7.437,58.055)	16.57	(8.798,41.769)	(7.511,31.675)
Scheme3	1	7.121	(6.807,7.666)	(6.758,7.589)	7.11	1 (6.836,7.577)	(6.796,7.515)
	2	7.320	(6.916,8.189)	(6.838,8.022)	7.28	7 (6.930,7.999)	(6.868,7.877)
	3	7.553	(7.019,8.787)	(6.920,8.509)	7.49	0 (7.022,8.469)	(6.943,8.276)
	4	7.830	(7.118,9.512)	(7.001,9.093)	7.73	1 (7.115,9.026)	(7.020,8.745)
	5	8.170	(7.229,10.434)	(7.081,9.826)	8.02	3 (7.222,9.720)	(7.098,9.324)
	6	8.605	(7.362,11.668)	(7.157,10.792)	8.392	2 (7.353,10.630)	(7.176,10.073)
	7	9.193	(7.527, 13.445)	(7.231,12.155)	8.882	2 (7.516,11.909)	(7.255,11.110)
	8	10.071	(6.717,16.319)	(7.300,14.297)	9.59	5 (7.731,13.919)	(7.334,12.704)
	9	11.660	(8.056,22.113)	(7.365,18.413)	10.81	7 (8.039,17.819)	(7.413,15.678)
	10	16.949	(8.606,43.285)	(7.422,31.888)	14.13	(8.580,31.082)	(7.488,24.918)
Scheme4	1	7.106	(6.843.7.552)	(6.805.7.493)	7.10	3 (6.856.7.516)	(6.821.7.463)
	2	7.272	(6.934.7.964)	(6.873.7.841)	7.26	0 (6.940.7.884)	(6.886.7.781)
	3	7.464	(7.021.8.425)	(6.943.8.226)	7.44	1 (7.023.8.289)	(6.954.8.129)
	4	7.690	(7.107.8.97)	(7.014.8.680)	7.65	4  (7.108.8.766)	(7.025.8.536)
	5	7.966	(7.204,9.657)	(7.085.9.241)	7.91	3 (7.206.9.353)	(7.097.9.032)
	6	8.313	(7.322, 10.552)	(7.155,9.967)	8.23	6 (7.326.10.115)	(7.171.9.669)
	7	8.774	(7.468, 11.810)	(7.223.10.970)	8.66	4 (7.476.11.173)	(7.245.10.542)
	8	9.443	(7.660, 13.779)	(7.290,12.506)	9.27	8 (7.673.12.810)	(7.321.11.866)
	9	10.589	(7.934,17.571)	(7.355.15.350)	10.31	1 (7.955.15.917)	(7.398.14.290)
	10	13.734	(8.413,30.230)	(7.415,24.031)	12.97	(8.447,26.027)	(7.474,21.557)
	~		(		-=.>,	(	(

Table 6: Bayesian point	predictor and 95% ET an	nd HPD prediction intervals	s for $Y_{s:10}$ for $s = 1,, 10$ .
-------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------------------------

# References

[1] Epstein, B. (1954). Truncated life tests in the exponential case. Annals of Mathematical Statistics 25, 555-564.

[2] Childs, A., Chandrasekar, B., Balakrishnan, N. and Kundu, D.(2003). Exact likelihood inference based on Type-I and Type-II hybrid censored samples from the exponential distribution. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics* **55**, 319-330.

[3] Chandrasekar, B., Childs, A. and Balakrishnan, N. (2004). Exact likelihood inference for the exponential distribution under generalized Type-I and Type-II hybrid censoring. *Naval Research Logistics* **51**, 994-1004.

[4] Balakrishnan N, Kundu D. (2013) models, inferential results and applications. Comput Stat Data Anal. 57, 166-209.

IID



- [5] Balakrishnan N, Rasouli A, Sanjari Farsipour N. (2008) Exact likelihood inference based on an unified hybrid censored sample from the exponential distribution. *J Stat Comput Sithetamul.* 78, 475-488.
- [6] Huang W.T., Yang K.C. (2010). A new hybrid censoring scheme and some of its properties. amsui Oxford J Math Sci 26, 355-367.
- [7] Habibi R.A, Izanlo M. (2011). An EM algorithm for estimating the parameters of the generalized exponential distribution under unified hybrid censored data. *J Stat Res Iran* **8**, 149-162.
- [8] Ateya S.F. (2015). Estimation under Inverse Weibull Distribution basedon Balakrishnan's Unified Hybrid Censored Scheme. *Communications in Statistics Simulation and Computation*, DOI:10.1080/03610918.2015.1099666.
- [9] Panahi H. and Sayyareh A. (2016). Estimation and prediction for a unified hybrid-censored Burr Type XII distribution. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation* 86, 55-73.
- [10] AL-Hussaini, E.K. (1999). Predicting observables from a general class of distributions. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference* **79**, 79-91.
- [11] Al-Hussaini, E.K. and Ahmed, A.A. (2003). On Bayesian predictive distributions of generalized order statistics. *Metrika* 57, 165-176.
- [12] Abdel-Aty, Y., Franz, J. and Mahmoud, M.A.W. (2007). Bayesian prediction based on generalized order statistics using thetaltiply type-II censoring. *Statistics* **41**, 495-504.
- [13] AL-Hussaini, E. K. and Al-Awadhi, F. (2010). Bayes two-sample prediction of generalized order statistics with fixed and random sample size. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation* **80**, 13-28.
- [14] Mohie El-Din, M. M., Abdel-Aty, Y., Shafay, A. R. (2012). Two-sample Bayesian prediction intervals of generalized order statistics based on multiply Type II censored data. *Communications in Statistics – Theory and Methods* 41, 381-392.
- [15] Balakrishnan, N. and Shafay, A.R. (2012). One- and Two-Sample Bayesian Prediction Intervals Based on Type-II Hybrid Censored Data. Communications in Statistics – Theory and Methods 41, 1511-1531.
- [16] Shafay, A.R. and Balakrishnan, N. (2012). One- and two-sample Bayesian prediction intervals based on Type-I hybrid censored data. *Communications in Statistics Simulation and Computation* **41**, 65-88.
- [17] Mohie El-Din, M.M. and Shafay, A.R. (2013). One- and two-sample Bayesian prediction intervals based on progressively Type-II censored data. *Statistical Papers* 54, 287-307.
- [18] Shafay, A.R. (2016). Bayesian estimation and prediction based on generalized Type-II hybrid censored sample. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, **86**(10), 1970-1988.
- [19] Mohie El-Din, M. M., Sadek, A. and Nagy, M (2016). Bayesian Estimation and Two-sample Prediction Based on Unified Hybrid Censored Sample. *Journal of Statistics Applications - Probability*, 5(3), 1-10.
- [20] Arnold, B.C., Balakrishnan, N. and Nagaraja, H.N. (1992). A First Course in Order Statistics. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- [21] Lwin, T. (1972). Estimation of the tail of the Paretian law. Skandinavisk Aktuarietidskrift 55, 170-178.
- [22] Arnold, B.C. and Press, S.J. (1989). Bayesian estimation and prediction for Pareto data. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* **84**, 1079-1084.
- [23] Viveros, R. and Balakrishnan, N. (1994). Interval estimation of life parameters based on progressively censored data. *Technometrics* 36, 84-91.
- [24] Nelson, W. (1982). Applied Life Data Analysis, John Wiley Sons, New York.



**Mostafa M. Mohie El-Din** is Professor of Mathematical Statistics at Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Egypt. His supervisor for graduated student of statistics. His research interests are in the areas of Statistical Inference, Order Statistics and Censored Data. He has published research articles in reputed international journals of Statistics. He is referee of several international journals in the frame of Statistics.



**Magdy Nagy** received Master degree in Mathematical Statistics at Al-Azhar University, University, Egypt. He is a researcher at the PhD degree in Mathematics Specialization Mathematical Statistics. He is working as specialist Statistics and Mathematics in Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Fayoum University, Fayoum, Egypt. His research interests are in the areas of Statistical Inference, Bayesian Estimation, Bayesian Prediction, Order Statistics and Censored Data. He has published research articles in reputed international journals of mathematical and statistics.





**Ahmed R. Shafay** received the PhD degree in Mathematical Statistics at Fayoum University, Egypt. His research interests are in the areas of Statistical Inference, Bayesian Estimation, Bayesian Prediction, Order Statistics and Censored Data. He has published research articles in reputed international journals of Statistics. He is referee of Statistical journals.